University of Southern Queensland Faculty of Engineering and Surveying

# Testing the Accuracy of Machine Guidance in Road Construction

A dissertation submitted by

Mr. Said Kiongoli

In fulfilment of the requirements of

### ENG 4111/4112, Research Project

towards the degree of

**Bachelor of Spatial Science, Surveying** 

Submitted: October 2010

# Abstract

3D Machine Control and Guidance Systems first appeared on the market in the late 1990's. These systems put a small computer within the cab of earthwork machines that utilized Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites to relay position information to the computer (see figure 1.1). The computer evaluates the actual position relative to its location in the proposed model. The operator uses the information from the onboard computer to control the machine's equipment. In advanced cases, the onboard computer can be directly linked to the machine hydraulics, controlling their operation with minimal input from operator.

Automated machine guidance using RTS was the major new application of this advancement in technology. Robotic Total Stations (RTSs) were first introduced by Geodimeter in 1990. These instruments incorporated servomotors and advanced tracking sensors which allowed the instrument to track a target. RTS's are now utilized in the construction and extractive industries for the guidance of major earthworks machinery as well as in agriculture industry for the guidance of machinery such as tractors and harvesters.

In today's world, with the application of RTS, ATSs and now moving into real time AMG. The accuracies and latency of both operations are still not well understood, it has become critical to understand the exact accuracies that these instruments are capable of achieving whilst operating in the field. Thus upon the completion of this project my aim is to have a better understanding of both operational accuracies of several instruments, as well as their performances.

The working specification in most of road construction are general requires the tolerance of  $\pm 0.02$ m. In order to achieve this tolerance required for such work we need to determine if these technologies are capable of meeting such accuracies.

Upon the completion of this project, we will have a better understanding of how the accuracies of the machine guidance works and under what conditions the contractor, engineers or surveyors can understand the performance of the AMG works better.

**University of Southern Queensland** 

**Faculty of Engineering and Surveying** 

#### ENG4111 Research Project Part 1 & ENG4112 Research Project Part 2

#### **Limitations of Use**

The Council of the University of Southern Queensland, its Faculty of Engineering and Surveying, and the staff of the University of Southern Queensland, do not accept any responsibility for the truth, accuracy or completeness of material contained within or associated with this dissertation.

Persons using all or any part of this material do so at their own risk, and not at the risk of the Council of the University of Southern Queensland, its Faculty of Engineering and Surveying or the staff of the University of Southern Queensland.

This dissertation reports an educational exercise and has no purpose or validity beyond this exercise. The sole purpose of the course "Project and Dissertation" is to contribute to the overall education within the student's chosen degree programme. This document, the associated hardware, software, drawings, and other material set out in the associated appendices should not be used for any other purpose: if they are so used, it is entirely at the risk of the user.

Joh Bull

Professor Frank Bullen Dean Faculty of Engineering and Surveying

# **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

Student Name: Said kiongoli Student Number: 001131032

Signature

\_\_\_\_\_28-10-2010\_\_\_ Date

# AKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the support and guidance received from Dr. Albert Chong of the University of Southern Queensland, throughout the course of the research project.

Special thanks must also be made to the following organisations and people for the provision of equipment, facilities and guidance:

- Mark Tranter and Peter Fripp of Abigroup Leightons –ALJV (Gateway Project) for letting me do the field work and providing all the assistance I needed.
- Mr Shane Simon of the University of Southern Queensland for his support and assistance.
- All the Staff and members of the University of Southern Queensland for helping me to achieve my goals.

Said Kiongoli

University of Southern Queensland October 2010

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Co	ntents	Page
Abs	stract	2
Lim	itation of Use	3
Cer	tification	4
Ack	cnowledgement	5
List	of figures	10
List of table		11
List of Appendices		11
Abł	previations	12
CH	IAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of research	13
1.2	Aim	14
1.3	Objective	14
1.4	Justification	14
1.5	Overview of Dissertation	15

### **CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 I	Introduction	16
2.1.1 0	Overview of Machine Control	18
2.2 Real	l Time Kinematic (RTK), Global Positioning System –GPS	18
2.2.1 H	RTK GPS surveying	18
2.2.2 H	RTK receivers	18

2.2.3 Radio Signals	19
2.2.4 (VRS) GPS	19
2.2.5 Laser Augmented RTK GPS	19
2.2.6 Accuracy	19
2.2.7 How good is RTK GPS?	20
2.3 Trimble GCS500 and 600 Grade Control System Cross Slope Control	21
2.3.1 GCS500 Grade Control System Cross Slope Control	21
2.3.2 GCS600 Grade Control System Cross-Slope and Elevation Control	21
2.3.2.1 Trimble ST400 Sonic Tracer	21
2.4 Leica TPS 1200	22
2.4.1 Introduction	22
2:4:2 Power search (PS)	22
2:4:3 Angles	23
2.4.4 Distance measurement.	23
2.4.5 ATR	24
2.4.6 Servo Drive.	25
2.5 Trimble 5600 (ATS) Total station	25
2.5.1 ATS (Advanced Tracking Sensor)	25
2.5.2 Synchronization	26
2.5.3 Latency	26
2.5.4 Servo controls.	27
2.5.5 RMT Super Multi Channel	28
2.5.6 Distance meter Calibration.	28
2.5.7 Auto-Search	28
2.5.8 Distance	29
2.5.9 Angle measurement System.	29
2.5.10 Dual Axis Compensator	29

2.5.11 Collimation Errors	29
2.5.12 Trunion axis Tilt	29
2.6 Previous Tests Undertaken	30
2.6.1 – Chua 2004.	30
2.6.2 Ceryova 2002	30
2.6.3 Dennis Garget.	31
2.6.4 Conclusion.	31
2.7 RTK GPS latency in Dynamic Environment	32
2.8 Trimble ATS Evolution.	33
2.8.2 3D Positioning Accuracy.	33

## **CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Data collection and testing.	35
3.2.1 - Equipment used	35
3.2.2 Main components of the instruments:	35
3.2.3 Trimble GCS600 Grade Control System	35
3.3 Project Planning.	35
3.4 Literature Contribution to Research Method	36
3.5 Field Testing.	36
3.6 Operation of RTS (leica1200), ATS (5600s) and RTK GPS	38
3.6.1 RTK GPS	38
3.6.2 Operating on GPS (AMG)	39
3.6.2 Operation of an ATS5600 (Field)	39
3.6.2.1 Setup the instrument	39
3.7 Operation of a Leica 1202 (Field)	40
Conclusion	

### **CHAPTER 4 - DATA ANALYSIS AND DISSCUSSION**

4.1 Introduction	41
4.2 Data Analysis	42
4.2.1 Raw data collection and Transfer	42
4.2.2 Data transfer to a personal computer	43
4.3 Software utilised and outputting data for analysis	43
4.4 Analysing the Database information	43
4.4.1 Analysing the GCS600 GPS	44
4.4.2 Analysing the Trimble ATS5600	46
4.4.3 Further Analysis for the Trimble ATS5600 and GPS grader	47
4.5 Results	61
4.5.1 CG600 GPS	61
4.5.2 Trimble ATS5600 results	66
4.6 Summary of results	70
4.7 Discussion	71
4.7.1 Reliability	71
4.7.2 Accuracy of Tracking	71

### **CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS**

5.1	Introduction	72
5.2	Further Research and Recommendations	72
5.2.1	Testing GCS600 GPS	72
5.2.2	Additional device (Laser augmented RTK GPS)	72
5.2.3	Testing at Speeds	73
5.2.4	Testing Trimble ATS5600	73

# LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1.1: Trimble GCS900 on a Motor Grader with Dual GPS	13
Figure 2.1: the new Trimble SPS630, SPS730 and SPS930 Universal Total Stations	16
Figure 2.2: Trimble ATS 600	16
Figure 2.3: Trimble Control Unit	16
Figure 2.4: Trimble GCS900 on a Dozer with Single GPS and Laser Augmentation	16
Figure 2.5: 3D-MILLIMETER GPS+	17
Figure 2.6: MILLIMETER GPS FOR PAVING	17
Figure 2.7: LPS-900	17
Figure 2.8: Topcon Control Unit	17
Figure 2.10: T400 Sonic Tracer	22
Figure 2.11: Synchronization	26
Figure 2.12: Latency (ATS 5600)	27
Figure 2.13: RMT ATS Multi Channel	28
Figure 3.1 Showing a plan view of the road at Gateway Project	37
Figure 4.1; Analysis process	42
Figure 4.2; Latency errors-50m interval for GPS	61
Figure 4.3 – 4.11; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs	62 - 66
Figure 4.12, vertical errors during 50m interval check for ATS	67
Figure 4.13 – 4.18; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs	67 - 70

73

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Manufacturer RTK equipment Specifications	20
Table 2.2; PS specifications	22
Table 2.3 TPS 1200 Series angle Accuracies (STD Dev)	23
Table 2.4 TPS Distance measurements with (IR mode) prisms-reflectors	24
Table 2.5: LEICA ATR Specifications	24
Table 2.6: ATR Range	25
Table 4.1showing an example of database information on spreadsheet.	44
Table 4.2 showing analysis of data for Trimble GCS600, captured by leica instrument	45
Table 4.3 showing readings obtained from GPS machine operator	45
Table 4.4 COMPARISON OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM TABLE (GPS)	45
Table 4.5 showing analysis of data for Trimble ATS5600	46
Table 4.6 showing readings obtaiened from ATS machine operator	46
Table 4.7 COMPARISON OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM TABLE (ATS)	46
Table         4.8 Showing the analysis of data for Trimble GCS600 GPS	47 - 54
Figure 4.9 Showing analysis of data for Trimble ATS 5600	54 - 60

### APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A; Project Specification
- APPENDIX B; Safe Work Method Statement and Risk Assessment
- APPENDIX C; Cross Sections
- APPENDIX C; Trimble ATS5600 Notes
- APPENDIX D: Trimble GC600 Notes
- APPENDIX E: Digital Terrain Modal (DTM or TIN

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

- TIN Triangular Irregular Network
- RTK Real time Kinematic
- USQ University of Southern Queeensland
- VRS Virtual reference Station
- PC Personal Computer
- GNSS Global Position System

### **University of Southern Queensland**

### FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING

### ENG 4111/4112 Research Project

### **PROJECT APPRECIATION**

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### **1:1 Background of research**

Automated Machine Guidance (AMG) is also known as Machine Control (MC). It's a process that uses continually updating measurements from:

- Robotic Total Stations (RTS)
- Real Time Kinetic (RTK) Global Positioning System (GPS)
- Laser System, or
- Sonic System

3D Machine Control and Guidance Systems first appeared on the market in the late 1990's. These systems put a small computer within the cab of earthwork machines that utilized Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites to relay position information to the computer (see figure 1.1). The computer evaluates the actual position relative to its location in the proposed model. The operator uses the information from the onboard computer to control the machine's equipment. In advanced cases, the onboard computer can be directly linked to the machine hydraulics, controlling their operation with minimal input from operator.



Figure 1.1: Trimble GCS900 on a Motor Grader with Dual GPS (*Trimble*, 2010)

The success 3D Machine control system relies upon several variables, including;

- The ability of the operator to accurately apply the design in the field
- The ability of the owner to approve and review the design
- The quality of proposed construction model.

Automated machine guidance using RTS was the major new application of this advancement in technology. Robotic Total Stations (RTSs) were first introduced by Geodimeter in 1990. These instruments incorporated servomotors and advanced tracking sensors which allowed the instrument to track a target. RTS's are now utilized in the construction and extractive industries for the guidance of major earthworks machinery as well as in agriculture industry for the guidance of machinery such as tractors and harvesters.

The accuracies and latency of both operations are still not well understood, it has become critical to understand the exact accuracies that these instruments are capable of achieving whilst operating in the field. Thus upon the completion of this project my aim is to have a better understanding of both operational accuracies of several instruments, as well as their performances.

### **1.2** Aims

The Aim of this project is to test the accuracy and reliability of Machine Guidance when used in Road construction.

## **1.3** Objectives

1. Research the background information in relation to Machine Guidance

2. Review existing literature concerned Real time and conventional or traditional guidance systems (ATS, RTSs).

3. Establish and conduct a series of testing under various conditions.

4. Undertaking analysis of test results, and

5. Determining the final accuracies of machine guidance systems.

### **1.4 Justification**

In today's world, with the application of RTS, ATSs and now moving into real time AMG. The accuracies and latency of both operations are still not well understood, it has become critical to understand the exact accuracies that these instruments are capable of achieving whilst operating in the field. The working specification in most of road construction are general requires the tolerance of  $\pm 0.02$ m. In order to achieve this tolerance required for such work we need to determine if these technologies are capable of meeting such accuracies.

There will be some conditions to be achieved to meet the accuracies requirements. Such conditions are:

- Distances for ATS, RTS
- Angles for ATS, RTS
- Speed of moving targets
- Environmental obstruction on prism locks.
- Number of satellites –RTK GPS
- Environmental obstruction on GPS returning false answer
- GPS precision

Upon the completion of this project, we will have a better understanding of how the accuracies of the machine guidance works and under what conditions the contractor, engineers or surveyors can understand the performance of the AMG works better.

# **1.5** Overview of Dissertation

The brief overview of each chapter contained in the dissertation is provided below.

Chapter 2 will be mainly used for providing conclusion and comparison with the relevant or similar research which was investigated by any other part. It does this by providing the following information:

1. Research the background information in relation to Machine Guidance

2. Review existing literature concerning Real time and conventional or traditional guidance systems (ATS, RTSs), and comment on previous test undertaken.

3. Establish and conduct a series of testing, analyse the result and determining the final accuracies of machine guidance systems.

Chapter 3 provides detailed information into both the testing regime which has been implemented and the data analysis methodology.

Chapter 4 will provide analysis and discussion concerning the results obtained on chapter 3.

Chapter 5 is where the conclusion will be drawn and recommendations will be presented.

### **CHAPTER 2**

### LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Introduction

In order to provide some background into the operations of Automated machine guidance, I would like to describe briefly the mechanical workings of various forms of AMG, two or three of them will be tested. These instruments or machines are Trimble ATS 5600 and ATS 600 TCS2 Total stations, GCS900 Universal Total Stations, Trimble GCS600 and GCS900: Dual or single GPS + GLONASS. Topcon's 3D-Millimeter GPS+, Millimeter GPS for paving. (See Figure 2):



TRIMBLE INSTRUMENTS



Figure 2.2: Trimble ATS 600

Figure 2.1: the new Trimble SPS630, SPS730 and SPS930 Universal Total Stations





Figure 2.3: Trimble Control Unit

Figure 2.4: Trimble GCS900 on a Dozer with Single GPS and Laser Augmentation

### **TOPCON INSTRUMENTS**





Figure 2.5: 3D-MILLIMETER GPS+

Figure 2.6: MILLIMETER GPS FOR PAVING



Figure 2.7: LPS-900



Figure 2.8: Topcon Control Unit

Throughout history, the construction industry has evolved and become more efficient as a result of technology. Frequently, engineers, surveyors are required to accommodate these new innovative construction techniques in their design. Construction techniques have changed by so much over the past 150 years including the use of network of satellites circling the earth providing real time position information. The advantage of these innovative technologies is for completion of projects in a more efficient manner. Efficiency reduces cost and schedule duration.

It believed to be one of the newest and fastest growing technology in the construction industry is Machine control and guidance systems.

### 2.1.1 Overview of Machine Control

Various forms of machine control have been around since the late twentieth century, using relevant forms of technology. The first systems relied on hydraulic valves following string lines, and subsequently lasers, for control. The technology trend is to make machine more "intelligent" providing abundant and more easily understood information to the operator. These procedures, though always improving overall efficiency, had the distinct disadvantage that they were heavily reliant upon manual survey methods. Surveyors were usually on site daily placing pegs/stakes and establishing cut and fills information using those pegs. A hard copy, hand calculated sheet was given to the crew foreman to complete the work. These technologies required someone to interpret the plans in order for construction to occur.

Automated machine guidance (AMG) links sophisticated design software with construction equipment to direct the operation of the machinery with a high level of precision, improving the speed and accuracy of the construction process. Because AMG eliminates much of the guesswork, manual control, and labour involved in traditional methods, it improves workers safety and saves agencies and contractor's time and money, enhancing their ability to deliver construction projects better, faster, and cheaper. This technology has the potential to improve the overall quality and efficiency of transportation project construction.

The second stage of the literature review will be to examine and discuss all literature relating to the testing of automated machine guidance. This will follow in conjunction with the Testing results.

#### 2.2 Real Time Kinematic (RTK), Global Positioning System - GPS

**2.2.1 RTK GPS surveying** is the process of determining and recording three-dimensional coordinates of unknown points using an RTK GPS system (i.e. instrumentation and software/firmware) RTK GPS systems comprise a reference receiver and antenna set up over a point whose three dimensional coordinates (geodetic latitude, longitude and ellipsoidal height) are known with respect to a geocentric datum. The reference receiver whose antenna is situated above an unknown point. The coordinates of the unknown point, and associated internal quality indicator, are computed in 'real time' by the roving receiver and recorded by some form of data logging device.

**2.2.2 RTK receivers** are implicitly of geodetic quality and use dual-frequency carrier phase measurements as the primary GPS observables to compute positions. Fundamentally, RTK GPS systems measure the three-dimensional vector (nominally in the WGS84 geocentric Cartesian coordinate system) from the reference station to the unknown point. The computed three dimensional vectors are added to the three-dimensional coordinates of the reference station to the unknown station. Therefore, the determined position of the unknown station is dependent on:

- a) The accuracy of the coordinates of the reference station;
- b) The accuracy of the computed three-dimensional vector.

The coordinates of the unknown station can be transformed to any local geodetic datum; provided that the transformation parameters are known. These parameters must be input to the RTK GPS system in order to perform a 'real-time' transformation, or applied at a post-processing stage. (*Source; Department of spatial Sciences, Curtin University 2010*)

#### 2.2.3 Radio Signals

RTK GPS Computes its position based on radio signals received from satellites in orbit around the earth in relation to a correction signal transmitted from a known positions on the earth. This is why we have a base unit set on a known station and a rover unit installed on the machine.

RTK GPS also requires that we have a direct radio communication link between the base and the rover. Often times this is an internal radio, but can externals as well. (*John Dillingham*, *P.E. USA*)

### 2.2.4 (VRS) GPS

It is kind of RTK which, in general can be called virtual Reference Station (VRS). GPS VRS is not widely used in construction, but is being tested. At first glance VRS appears that a single GPS unit is being used, but in reality, there is a base located off site that is transmitting the correction via an internet link. The most important thing is that all GPS, no matter what kind of process we are using requires a base unit and rover unit.

**2.2.5** Laser Augmented RTK GPS. There are laser Augmented Systems (on blade) that are solid based on their ability to increase the vertical precision of RTK GPS.

- These new units must be tested by establishing known elevations with procedures that are trusted by a spatial scientist on specific points, such as points (controls) used by a stakeout personally.
- It's important to know the manufacturers specifications, accuracies and procedure to attain that accuracy during testing the survey control. Control points at the furthest distance (working distance) must also be checked.

### 2.2.6 Accuracy.

As a rule of thumb the horizontal precision of RTK is  $\pm$  10 mm and the vertical precision is  $\pm$  0. 30mm.

• Horizontal precision which stated as 10mm + 1ppm means that for any measurement we make, the precision is 10mm (for the base) and horizontally, and

• Vertical precision which stated as 15mm + 1ppm means that for any measurement we make, the precision is 15mm (for the base) and 15mm (for the rover) which add up to 30mm vertically. The manufacturer will not guarantee any measurement is more precise than the stated precision.

 ppm is part per million based on the distance from the base to the rover ppm precision is insignificant for most distances used in construction. The ppm error for 1 mile equals to 0.0053' +/: This would be added to the horizontal or vertical precision.

#### 2.2.7 How good is RTK GPS?

In the table below, the most recent RTK specifications for four leading manufactures are given. Information is quoted for the 'best' dual frequency RTK systems on offer from the latest available data the manufactures. All comments below are quoted verbatim from manufacturer's information sheets. (*See figure 2.9*)

The problem with RTK GPS is that perfect observing conditions rarely occur in practice. Many variables can affect performance and it is the role of spatial scientist to minimise the negative effect of any of these variables by good survey practise.

In terms of the accuracy actually achieved in real life survey, the above specifications aren't much help, because the qualifiers added by the manufactures mean that the conditions necessary to meet the above specifications rarely occur in practice. However, these performance specifications can be used as a basis for deciding if it possible to achieve job specifications using RTK GPS.

manufacturer	Performance	Baseline	On-the-fly	Other comments
	specifications	length	initialisation	-
Ashtech	While moving (rms) Horizontal 3cm + 2ppm Vertical 5cm + 2ppm 2 second static occupation (rms) Horizontal 1cm + 2ppm Vertical 1.7cm + 2ppm Sub centimeter accuracy with longer occupation	Recommended <10km Maximum: 40km	<ul> <li>&gt;99.9%</li> <li>reliability.</li> <li>Initialisation</li> <li>times as short</li> <li>as 30 seconds</li> <li>following</li> <li>acquisition of</li> <li>8 satellites</li> </ul>	Accuracies assume miminum of 5 satellites, following procedures recommended in the product manual. High multipath areas, high PDOP values, and periods of high-activity atmospheric conditions will degrade accuracy.
Dassault- Sercel	Accuracy 2-3cm	Up to 50km (in open space)		-
Leica	Kinematic 10mm + 2ppm rms (position)	Typically up to 10km in normal conditions with standard radio. Over 10km in favourable conditions with a powerful radio	Typically 30 secs with 5 or more satellites on L1 or L2.	Baseline rms, accuracy in position and accuracy in height are dependent upon various factors including number of satellites, geometry, observation time, ephemeris accuracy, ionospheric conditions, multipath etc. Figures quoted assume normal to favourable conditions. Times can also not be quoted exactly. Time required are dependent on various factors including number of satellites etc
Topcon (Javad)	Kinematic 10mm + 1.5ppm			
Trimble	Accuracy Horizontal ±1cm + 1ppm Vertical ±2cm + 1ppm Performance specifications are RMS.	Range varies depending on radios used, local terrain and operating conditions.	>99.9% reliability. < 1 minute < 10 seconds (typical for known points)	Performance criteria are a function of the number of satellites visible, occupation time, observation conditions, baseline length and environmental effects, and are based on favorable atmospheric conditions. Assumes five satelliters (minimum) tracked continuously with the recommended antenna using the recommended static surveying procedures

Table 2.1

Manufacturer RTK Equipment Specifications (Source; Department of spatial Sciences, Curtin University 2010)

### 2.3 Trimble GCS500 and 600 Grade Control System Cross Slope Control

#### 2.3.1 GCS500 Grade Control System Cross Slope Control

The GCS500 Grade Control System is a cross-slope control system designed to be used on motor graders for fine grading work. The system uses two AS400 angle sensors and one RS400 rotational sensor to calculate the cross slope of the blade. The system lets the operator select which side of the blade is controlled, and switch sides on the return pass. The highly flexible AS400 has 100% slope capability, making the system ideal for a wide range of applications, including cutting road slopes, ditches and embankments.

The software with a powerful range of features specifically designed for cross-slope and blade elevation on motor graders will be provided when using CB420 Control Box with the combination of GC500. The GCS500 can be upgraded to a GCS600 for cross-slope elevation control. The applications for GCS500 are for the Road Maintenance, Road Construction, Sports Fields, Embankments, and Road Ditches. (*Trimble, 2010*).

#### 2.3.2 GCS600 Grade Control System Cross-Slope and Elevation Control

The GCS600 Grade Control System is a highly flexible, cross slope and elevation control system designed to be used on motor graders for fine grading work. The GCS600 uses two AS400 angle sensors and one RS400 rotational sensor to calculate the cross slope of either side of the blade, as well as an LR410 Laser receiver and ST400 Sonic Tracer to provide elevation control. Using the ST300, the system allows stringline, previous pass, or curb and gutter tracing. Using one or two LR410 laser receivers, you can use the system for fine grading plane surfaces. The GCS600 system is ideal for applications with tight tolerances and finished grade work. The application for GCS600 are for the; Small-to-Large Housing and Building Site Pads, Road Construction, Highway Construction and Maintenance, Runways, Embankments and road ditches (*Trimble, 2010*).

#### 2.3.2.1 Trimble ST400 Sonic Tracer

The Trimble ST400 Sonic Tracer uses ultra sonic signals to maintain a set distance or elevation from an object, a design surface, or the ground.

When mounted to a motor grader or dozer blade, the ST400 can be used to reference a string line, curb and gutter, or previous pass as a grade control reference.

The Trimble ST400 Sonic Tracer offers heavy and highway contractors:

- **Multicolored integrated grade display** conveys clear grade feedback to the machine operator for higher productivity
- Selectable sensor accuracy provides typical accuracy of +/- 1mm (0.04") to control elevation for even the tightest jobsite specifications

The ST400 Sonic Tracer can be used in single or dual configuration and is compatible with Trimble GCS300, GCS400, GCS600, and GCS900 Control Systems.



Figure 2.10, (Trimble, 2010)

# 2.4 Leica TPS 1200

**2.4.1 Introduction** Leica TPS 1200 total stations are built up for speed, accuracy, ease to use and reliability. It's better and more efficiently than ever before and they combine perfectly with GPS and the position can be calculated in the real time.

TPS and GPS have the same operation and they are very user friendly. They have similar format and data management systems, and cards can be transferred from one to the other and work in the same way. It's also accommodated with software package for visualization, conversions, quality control, processing, adjustment, reporting and export.

### 2:4:2 Power search (PS)

Power Search used during complete loss of lock due to obstructions; fast rotating laser fan finds reflector quickly and ATR fine Points. In lock mode TPS 1200 remains locked onto the reflector and follow it as it moves. Measurements can be taken at any time and, as software predicts reflector movements, TPS 1200 continues to track inspite of obstructions and short interruptions. (*Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010*)

Range	Round prism (GPR1):	200 m
(average atmospheric conditions)	360° reflector (GRZ4):	200 m (perfectly aligned to instrument)
and the second second	Mini prism (GMP101):	100 m
	Shortest distance:	5 m
Search time	Typical search time:	< 10 s
Maximum speed	Rotating speed:	45° / s
Method	Digital signal processing (rotating laser fan)	

Table 2.2; PS specifications (Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010)

### 2:4:3 Angles

The TPS 1200's angle measurement system consists of a static line-coded glass circle, which is ready by a linear CCD array. A special algorithm is then used to determine the exact position of the code lines on the array and thus determine the precise angle measurement.

Angle measurement system operates continuously providing instant horizontal and vertical circle readings that are automatically connected for any "out of level" by a centrally located twin axis or dual axis compensator.

The compensator consists of an illuminated the pattern on a prism, which reflected twice by a liquid mirror. These form the reference horizon. The reflected image of this line pattern is read by a linear CCD array and then used to mathematically determine both of the tilt components. These calculated tilt components are the used to correct all angle measurements in real time.

	TPS 1201	TPS 1202	TPS 1203	TPS 1205
Accuracy(Std dev)				
Hz, V:	1"	2"	3''	5''
Display resolution	0.1"	0.1"	0.1"	0.1"
Method	Absolute, continuous.			
Compensator				
Working Range:	4'	4'	'4'	4'
Setting Accuracy:	0.5''	0.5''	1.0"	1.5"

 Table 2.3 TPS 1200 Series angle Accuracies (STD Dev)

### 2.4.4 Distance measurement.

TPS 1200 has three measuring modes which are:

- 1. Infrared laser measurement mode IR
  - 2. Visible red laser measurement mode RL
  - 3. Long range visible red laser measurement mode LO

The TPS 1200 series utilizes a phase shift measurement technique (EDM), which operates in both the reflector and reflectorless modes.

The EDM works by transmitting an invisible bean (100 MHZ modulated frequency), the beam is then reflected back by the target or prism. Photo receiver and converted into an electrical signal. Once this electrical signal is digitized and accumulated, the distance is then determined via standard phase measurement techniques.

EDM measuring	Standard deviation	Standard deviation	Measurement
program	Standard prism	Tape(targets)	Time, typical [s]
Standard	2mm + 2ppm	5mm + 2ppm	1.5
Fast	5mm + 2ppm	5mm + 2ppm 2''	0.8
Tracking	5mm + 2ppm	5mm + 2ppm	<0.8
Averaging	2mm + 2ppm	5mm + 2ppm	-

Table 2.4 TPS Distance measurements with (IR mode) prisms-reflectors.

#### (Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010)

During the measurements, there may be beam interruptions, severe heat shimmer and moving objects within the beam path can result in deviations of the specified accuracy. The display resolution is 0.1mm.

#### 2.4.5 ATR

Leica refers ATR as "Automatic Target Recognition" ATR/LOCK. It actively follows the prism as it moves and automatic fine pointing to prism.

The accuracy with which the position of the prism can be determined with automatic Target Recognition (ATR) depends on several factors such as internal ATR accuracy, instrument angle accuracy, prism type, selected EDM measuring program and external measuring conditions. The ATR has a basic standard deviation level of + 2mm. Above a certain distance, the instrument angle accuracy predominates and takes over the standard deviation of the ATR.

The following graph shows the ATR standard deviation based on two different prism types distance and instrument accuracies.



Table 2.5; (Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010)

LEICA ATR Specifications

Automatic Target Recognition	n (ATR)		
Range ATR mode / LOCK mode	Round prism (GPR1):	1000 m / 800 m	
(average atmospheric conditions)	360° reflector (GRZ4):	600 m / 500 m	
	Mini prism (GMP101):	500 m / 400 m	
	Reflective tape (60 mm x 60mm):	55 m (175 ft)	
	Shortest measurable distance:	1.5 m / 5 m	
Accuracy / Measurement time	Positioning accuracy:	< 2 mm	
	Measurement time:	3 - 4 5	
Maximum speed (LOCK mode)	Tangential (standard mode):	5 m / s at 20 m, 25 m / s at 100 m	
	Radial (tracking mode):	4 m / s	
Method	Digital image processing (laser beam)		

Table 2.6; (Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010)

#### 2.4.6 Servo Drive.

The TPS1200 is driven by servomotors mechanically. These servomotors' are used to rotate both horizontal and vertical axis. The downside of these motors is that they use a lot more power than Mag Drive technology and they are only able to rotate at a fraction of the speeds.

### 2.5 Trimble 5600 (ATS) Total station

#### 2.5.1 ATS (Advanced Tracking Sensor)

The Trimble ATS is a dual mode instrument founded on Geodimeter technology, which allows increasing productivity on site.

Automatically lock on the active target and continuously measures the target's position and transmits the data to the computer, which then determines the desired elevation and slope for that position (Trimble Data sheet, 2004).

The Trimble ATS starts with the foundation of the Trimble 5600 Total Stations and has enhanced features for high performance automatic machine tracking. In advanced tracking mode for machine tracking. In advanced tracking mode for machine control, the ATS combines with on machine controllers and operator display to guide and control machinery and vessels performing construction tasks- without need for stakes in the ground. The ATS also drives a machine control system, which allows an operator to work single handed with all design and cut/full information right in the cab.

It's designed specifically for the high speed, low latency demands of machine control; the ATS in Advance tracking made has a latency of less than 200 Kms and selectable output rate between 1 and 6HZ. Angle and distance data from the instrument are synchronized, providing

a machine with precise, up to date information, increasing the accuracy and speed at which a machine works.

This low level of latency combined with the instruments turning speed enable the ATS to track a machine driving as close as 30m at a speed of 46 kph without losing a lock (*Trimble 2010*)

The instrument has built in search intelligence to locate the target if contact is temporarily interrupted by, for example, a passing vehicle. The programmable target recognition capability of ATS allows operation of several Instruments on the same site without signal interference. It can recognize one out of active targets, providing freedom to operate four machines or surveys in the same part of the construction site without radio or reflective surface interference. (Trimble 2010)

As a part of the Blade pro  $\circledast$  3D grade control system, the Trimble ATS robotic total Station provides precise vertical positioning – accurate to  $\pm$  5 mm making it ideal for finished grade work. The system also gives the machine operator full control over the earth works on a site. It was display screen in the machine cab that shows the exact 3d position of the blade in relation to the design at the time.

In addition, value sensors can be added for fully automatic machine control. The slope and elevation of the blade are therefore controlled by the system, not by the machine operator reducing errors and avoiding expensive re-work.

**2.5.2** Synchronization of data from angle and distance measurements sensors means that the output data is computed for a single instantaneous location of the moving machines compared with the standard total station instruments that are optimized for static prism measurement. This results in higher 3D position accuracy for dynamic measurements or machine tracking applications. (*Source; Trimble 2010*).



Figure 2.11, Synchronization; (Source: Trimble, 2010)

### 2.5.3 Latency

The precise position of the machine at any given times is dependent on the age or latency of the positioning data received. If the age of the data is small and specific, the on board application software can compensate for the errors associated with the data age giving a more accurate location of the machine in real time.



Figure 2.12; (Source: (Source: Trimble, 2010)

#### 2.5.4 Servo controls.

The Trimble 5600 series Instruments are equipped with servo controlled motors for positioning of the unit. The servo is in use when performing a number of different operations, when turning the motion knobs, when positioning with the servo control keys, for automatic test and calibration or when using the tracker robotic surveying.

Trimble 5600 series (servo) instrument is equipment with an optional Tracker unit which can perform Surveying tasks using the Auto lock function, and if the instrument is upgraded with a radio, a spatial scientist will be able to perform Robot Surveying in conjunctions with RMT.

**2.5.5 RMT Super Multi Channel** consists of a prism ring with seven 1" prisms and an RMT with a set of active diodes forming a full 360 degree circle. It can be used for distance up to 1000m. The RMT can be set to four different target channel IDs. The RMT SUPER Multi channel has been developed for dynamic operation with the Trimble ATS Instruments.

### **RMT ATS Multi Channel**

The Trimble ATS uses a 360 degree target. This sketch shows the dimensions of the target and to where signal height is measured. Signal Height is measured to the centre of the prism ring.



Figure 2.13, RMT ATS Multi Channel; (Source: Leica Geosystems, 2010)

The RMT ATS multi channel is designed for operation at distances up to 1000 m (700m in Robotic and ATS Modes). In dynamic operation at distances less than 3m, signal to distance meter may be lost depending on the rotation of the prism ring in relation to the instrument. At distance 3m up to 8m there may be an error in slope distance of up to 15mm at 3m and decreasing as the distance increases.

#### 2.5.6 Distance meter Calibration.

In order to achieve as high accuracy as possible the distance meter should be calibrated regularly by application software. These distance meter will be seen as loss of signal for up to two seconds.

#### 2.5.7 Auto-Search

The Trimble ATS has built in automatic search capability that is activated automatically if the signal is lost when the system is running in machine control mode. This system has to be activated by the application software in order to work as intended. If the auto search is active then it will search for the target. When the target is lost the system will search within the search sector (window) with a number of horizontal scans at the vertical angle where the signal was lost. The number of scans is set to five by default but the application software may exclude them or set any number of scans up to 50 or maximum two minutes.

If the target is not found during these horizontal scans then a spiral search will start controlled by software application. If no target is found then the Trimble ATS will return to the position where the signal was lost and report to the application software that no target was found.

#### 2.5.8 Distance

The distance module of Trimble 5600 series operates within the infrared area of the electromagnetic spectrum. It transmits an infrared light beam. The reflected high beam is received by the instrument and, with the help of a comparator, the phase delay between transmitted a received signal is measured. The time measurement of the phase delay is converted and displayed a distance with the mm accuracy.

#### 2.5.9 Angle measurement System.

The Trimble 5600s meets all demands for efficient and accurate angle measurement. The angle method gives a full compensation for the following:

- Automatic correction for angle sensor errors.
- Automatic correction for collimation error and Trunion Axis Tilt.
- Automatic correction for tracker collimation error.
- Arithmetic averaging for elimination of pointing errors.

The electronic angle measurement system, which eliminates the angle errors that normally occur in conventional theodolites. The principal of measurement based on reading an integrated signal over the whole surface of the angle sensor and producing a mean angular value. In this way, inaccuracies due to eccentricity and graduation are eliminated.

#### 2.5.10 Dual Axis Compensator

The instrument is also equipped with a dual axis compensator which will automatically correct both horizontal and vertical angles for any deviations in the plumb line. The system warns immediately of any deviation in excess of  $\pm 10$  c (6').

#### **2.5.11 Collimation Errors**

Horizontal and vertical collimation of the instrument can be quickly measured and stored by carrying out a simple pre-measurement test procedure. All angles measured thereafter are automatically corrected. These collimation correction factors remain in the internal memory until they are measured again.

#### 2.5.12 Trunion axis Tilt

It is also possible to measure and store angular imperfections of the horizontal tilt axis relative to the horizontal axis during the same pre-measurement test procedure. These tests are usual carried:

- Immediately prior to high precision angle measurement.
- After transport where hard handling may have occurred.
- When temperature differs by >10C from the previous application

# 2.6 Previous Tests Undertaken

There has been a very little testing besides the manufactures testing (specifications) in relation to the dynamic accuracy of ATS, RTS and RTK GPS latencies.

Some previous tests carried out in order to "determine the dynamic accuracy and reliability of RTSs" were carried out by:

- Ceryova in 2002
- Chua in 2004, and
- Dennis Garget 2005

Other testing for RTK GPS latency were done and described in the following pages.

#### 2.6.1 – Chua 2004.

Chua used the Trimble 5603 to perform the following testing:

*Simple testing of a fixed circular path with various speeds*. He used a bar with a known radius and the distance between the RTS and pillar was fixed. The bar (prism) was then rotated in a circular path at a very low speed whilst the RTS stored dynamic measurements directly to a PC.

*Straight line testing*: He set up a prism on a fixed bench and moved the prism horizontally along the bench. Using a CAD package, he determined that would be necessary to smooth his results using the Kalman Filter. He then used the filtered results to produce final outputs which he then used to draw his conclusion.

He concluded that; the reliability of RTS is greatly related to the speeds of the prism and measurement distances (Chua, 2004). Furthermore, Chua elaborated that the dynamic accuracy of an RTS is better at longer distances than at shorter distances.

He also attributes much of the results deviation to the shape of the prism, and that the tracked reflected reading is not always a true indication of the centre of prism. This consequently results in point positioning errors.

#### 2.6.2 Ceryova 2002

Ceryova performed two separate tests similar to Chua except he utilized several different types of RTS in order to obtain his result. The instruments used were Leica TCA 1800, Leica TCRA 1101, and Zeiss Elta s10.

Fixed circular path test: He used a simulator for testing sensors of the circular path measurement systems. The main arm would rotate in a horizontal plane and at the end of the arm was a fixed measuring board that would rotate in the opposite direction to the spinning arm. Measurement board and prism were always facing the observer. The platform was

rotated through a 0.5m radius at several speeds. The resulting measurements were then stored to a pc.

Straight line test: He incised a line with an accuracy of o.1mm) into the middle of the metal block. They then observed measurements from three separate stations all with different relationships to this line (i.e. distance and angle)

He concluded that, as the speed of rotation increased the subsequent point deviation also increased. He suggested that "measurement of the cinematic target is influenced by a certain systematic influence which is probably a result of the time slide between angular and length measurement"

Ceryora also went further and suggest that by increasing the speed of rotation you are also increasing the mean error in the RTS automated pointing system.

#### 2.6.3 Dennis Garget.

Garget performed tow similar tests which was previously done by Chua and Ceryova the only difference is that, he extended the straight line for various speeds testing.

- 1. Fixed circular path testing at various speeds.
- 2. Extended straight line testing at various speeds.

This testing was performed at several target distance and at several target speeds.

#### 2.6.4 Conclusion.

There are some distinct similarities between the results obtained by Chua, Ceryova and Garget was all parties concluded that the overall accuracy of an RTS is dependent on two main factors:

1. The speed of moving target: and

2. the distance from the RTS to the target. They also concluded that, the dynamic accuracy of an RTS is improved as the target distance is increased.

They also concluded that, the dynamic accuracy of an RTS is improved as the target distance is increased.

Furthermore, Garget concluded that both the accuracy and reliability of a given instrument is further influenced by the speed at which an instrument is capable of reading distance measurements. This is evident by the fact that the Leica instrument is far more accurate and reliable than the Trimble instrument. This can be attributed to the fact that the Leica instrument is quoted to read distance in generally <0.15 seconds, this opposed to the Trimble instrument which is quoted to read distance in around 0.4 seconds. This significant difference in distance measurement time increases the point latency present within the instrument quite significantly. As a result the Trimble is far less accurate and reliable when compared to the Leica(Garget, 2005)

### 2.7 RTK GPS latency in Dynamic Environment

The use of machine guidance is becoming so popular in small and large civil construction sites. Latency is one of the primary factors presently affecting the suitability of the AMG. In order to achieve the specific requirement of the AMG, the user's operators have a requirement to know how responsive the guidance system is to changes in their spatial location on the work site.

- Latency in general may be defined simply as a measure of temporal delay (*MM Internet, 1999*); or
- (latency is the ) "Time taken to deliver a packet (of data) from the source to the receiver. Includes propagation delay (the time taken for the electrical or optical signals to travel the distance between the two points) and processing delay" (*Interoute*,2005)
- (*Raymond*,2005) defines latency as the delay between the time of fix and when it is available to the use" Hence if the GPS is in motion, the platform on which the measurements are being made will move some distance during the time when the measurement is made and the time when it is available to the user.

Latency may be divided into two component described as internal processing latency and transmission latency.

Internal latency is that quantity of time which the instrument takes to complete its internal processes and present the data ready for use or transmission. Transmission latency is the period of time to send the measurement data from the originating source to the user, in the field (*Bouvet et al*, 2000)

Given that position error due to latency is a function of the update rate (total latency) and velocity of the vehicle (*Campbell, Carney and Kantowitz, 1998*), then for any given latency period, the dynamic platform position error will increase in a proportion with the platform speed.

The following relates to hydrographic measurements (sounding equipments as an external sensor) using GPS. Time lag latency can be experienced between when a sensor record is measured and when it is recorded by the software. Similarly, a time lag (Latency) may be experienced between when a GPS position is measured and when is recorded.

Most importantly, these two time lags may not be the same, and consequently the GPS logged position may not be exactly the same location as the depth sensor when the hydrographic data is logged. *(Gibbings and O'Dempsey, 2005).* 

Previous studies to investigate the effects of latency in GPS measurements have been completed. (Smith and Thomson,2003) outlined a method to evaluate GPS position latency in the guidance system of an agricultural aircraft. The method involved reflecting a beam of sun light vertically from the ground using two mirrors.

A photo-detector circuit under the wing triggered an extra data record to be inserted into the GPS data log. This position could then be compared with the known position of the light beam to determine position latency.

The resulting latency determination of less than 9 metres for all runs of testing. The error is relatively small if you compare with an aircraft was travelling at 58 meters per second (around 208.8km/h). The authors also report a high level of consistency in their findings, stating that the differences in consecutive runs were all less than 0.7 meters (7.77% of the error distance due to latency). The use of an optional sensor is seen as a very accurate means of referencing the dynamic measurements back to the fixed frame of reference and has therefore been adopted for this research project also.

# 2.8 Trimble ATS Evolution.

In early 1995, the first tests were performed for a machine control operation using a standard optical robotic total operation Geodimeter 4400. Immediate test results indicate that, for kinetic operations compared to standard surveying applications, the instrument had to improve the way it measured and sent data to the control computer. Specifically, higher output rate of measurement and synchronized angle and distance reading were required.

Standard total stations are optimized for static prism measurement; in contrast, synchronization of data from the angle and distance measurement sensors allows output data to be computed for a single instantaneous location of the moving machine. This results in higher 3D position accuracy for dynamic measurements or machine tracking application.

Synchronization is a measure of how closely together in time the various polar coordinated that form the data pocket are measured. If the data is not synchronized, the sensor gives an incorrect position. The size of the error depends on how far apart in time the various components (angle and slope distance) are measured, and the speed and direction of the moving target.

Low latency for complete transmission the precise position of the machine at any given time depends on the age or latency of the positioning data received, if the age of the data is recent and specific.

### 2.8.2 3D Positioning Accuracy.

Total 3D positioning 3D positioning accuracy for the system is less than 2mm at 200mm. The superior accuracy is based on the high accuracy specifications of a motorized, self tracking and prism that follows the total station with an extremely precise angle reading system, accurate in both. Horizontal and vertical to 1 arc second (0.3 mgon). Additionally, the distance measured provides an accuracy of  $\pm 2$  mm + 14ppm.

### **CHAPTER 3**

# **RESEARCH APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY**

# **3.1 Introduction**

As previous described, the aim of this project is to test the accuracy and reliability of machine Guidance when used in road construction. In order to achieve the objectives associated with fulfilling this aim the following steps will need to be performed.

#### Field – Testing:

Creating the computer modal and give to the grader operator and start trimming on section of the road of approximately 200-300m. Two layers will be tested and each layer had an independent check following the approximately 50m intervals observed and checked by the operator reading on his onboard screen and the surveyor by using a Leica Total station. The testing procedure will also be explained in the following chapters.

The grader operator will be laying his blade on the ground on top of a piece of timber, he/ she will be checking the level by reading on the screen and will be recorded manual, at the same time the surveyor will be holding on the same position and the results will be recorded directly to the internal memory. The raw data will later be exported to the card and then to the pc. The observation will be observed in static motion and this will be done after the job of grading has been finished. This will be tested in according to manufacturer's specification. Final check layers will be tested by a Total station TPS 1200 for comparison.

Data Analysis:

- Comparisons between my test and manufactures specifications.
- Comprehensive analysis of the test results.

As described by the literature review in chapter 2, previous testing performed by Ceryova, Chua and Garget was based on testing the dynamic accuracy and the reliability of the robotic total stations. Also Gibbings, O'Dempsey, Raymond, Smith and others did a tremendous work in testing the RTK GPS Latency in dynamic environment. There isn't many testing measures has been done in the past in regards to the machine guidance, this will be a challenge and I think, based on the ideas and examples described on chapter 2, the successful results will be obtained.

# **3.2 Data collection and testing.**

#### 3.2.1 - Equipment used.

Three Instruments have been utilized throughout this project.

- Leica TPS 1202.
- Trimble 5600 ATS.
- Trimble GCS600 Grade Control System.

#### 3.2.2 Main components of the instruments:

- Leica Robotic Total Station (Itself) within internal radio.
  - (1) 360 Prism (target); and
  - (2) Detachable/Remote keypad.
- Trimble 5600 ATS (itself) with internal radio
  - (1) Grader
  - (2) 360° Trimble prism mounted directly above one side of the blade.

### 3.2.3 Trimble GCS600 Grade Control System

- (1) Grader
- (2) GPS Base on site.
- (3) GPS antenna mounted directly above one side of the blade. The

GPS Antenna is connected together with Laser receiver underneath and transmitter located on fixed point (within 1500). A Trimble GCS600 unit was utilized for this testing.

### 3.3 Project Planning.

They are several stages have been implemented in order to undertake this project:

1. Primary research, initial stage which involves background research and literature reviews form magazines articles, books and journals. Previous tested accuracy and reliability.

2. Data collection and Testing. It involves collecting data in the field from Leica instrument after the job being performed by Trimble ATS and Trimble GPS-AMG. The comparison of Leica 1202 will give us an idea of the accuracy.

3. Analysis. The data collected and tested during stage two of this process will be edited, plotted, reviewed and reports produced using 12d software. The reports will be printed in plans and graphical form.

4. Discussion and comparison of the system: Plans, graphs and reports are to be analysed, critical thinking and subsequent use in the entire project.

5. Conclusion: The data which has been analysed have to reflect the manufacturer's specifications and draw conclusion to the various factors upon the accuracy and reliability of the machines guidance systems.

### 3.4 Literature Contribution to Research Method

The literature review as described on chapter two has given me a basis of understanding the RTS, ATS and RTK GPS so as to achieve the best possible results. Consideration must be taken following the important aspects mentioned below:

- (a) According to the manufacturer's specifications, each instrument has a different distance measurement, speed and accuracy for RTS, ATS and RTK GPSs satellites coverage.
- (b) Rotation ability of different instrument is not the same. The RTS maintain a high accuracy only in the length measurement (Ceryova et al, 2002).
- (c) Accuracy of the results are closely associated with the speeds of the moving target (Ceryova et al., 2002);
- (d) Shorter observation ranges have larger standard deviation compared larger distances (Retscher, 2002)
- (e) Circular path testing straight line testing are the key components in determining the dynamic accuracy of the RTSs (Kopacik, 1998).

(f) Measurements are not always taken to the centre of the target; this is caused by the shape of the target (Chua, 2004).

## 3.5 Field Testing.

There were some tests undertaken for fixed circular extended straight line tests and latency on GPS RTK as described on chapter 2.

To determine the fixed path, straight lines and latency associated with the above testing equipments the measurements have been made in a dynamic sense. A detailed description of this method is given in chapter 4 although a brief introduction to the testing method is provided below.




The testing regime for this projects requires a section of road way. Chainage 12000 to 12280 was selected for this project (see a view plan above). The signal from the antenna is corrected by whichever means is been used, when the operator initialize the GPS RTK on his onboard screen. The fixed points provide a static reference and position data is recoded in conjunction with the model supplied for travel in each direction past the fixed points at a range of consistent speeds. By comparing the measured location with the known fixed position the latency of the system can be calculated.

The testing is conducted over a range of speeds to better determine the relationship between dynamic platform speed\ and latency error. These can be related to the example given by *Raymond 2005* as described on page 32 chapter 2.7

On ATS and RTS the testing will be conducted as descried on survey operations. During the check up and pickup survey using a Leica RTS 1202 and cutting and fill operation using ATS 5600, these instruments have a similar operation of a moving targets during a survey operation as described by (Garget, 2005) during his fixed circular path test. The target 360

Leica and 360 Trimble RTS were used for test in accordance to the manufacturer's specifications. All this tests were carried during the day time.

## 3.6 Operation of RTS (leica1200), ATS (5600s) and RTK GPS

**3.6.1 RTK GPS** and Machine Controls that can assist in construction accuracies and efficiencies. The GPS receiver on earth can "triangulate" its position from a minimum number of 4 satellites. However, the standalone accuracy of any GPS receiver is only about  $\pm$  15mm. In this case, at least more than 5 satellites and by using a radio to broadcast corrections from the base station to other rovers, and accuracy increases to 10mm.

The construction site where the testing has occurred has at least 3 base stations which are adequate enough to achieve the requirements of the Main roads. According to the company policies, they have decided to use the GPS grader when they are doing a rough grading (such as Subgrade layer) and for the excavation purposes.

An RTK base station was set (fixed) near the test site to allow for the RTK correction information to be obtained. The methodology designed in this research utilizes Trimble GPS equipments only and no other testing is done using other GPS receivers from other manufactures.

## **3.6.2** Operating on GPS (AMG)

Project contractor provided control points (primary or secondary controls) and conventional grade stakes at critical points such as, but not limited to all PCs, PTs and super elevation points begin full super, half level plane inclined etc.

The contractor set to utilize (RTK) GPS where the tolerances are within 20mm. a Trimble GCS 600 GPS unit was utilized for this testing. It features an antenna with built in GPS receiver and RTK radio. The GCS 600 uses tow AS400 angle sensors and an RS400 rotation sensor to calculate the cross slope of either side of the blade.

Similar to ATS 5600 processes, but with this is more to be done by the grader operator. The processed data (DTM in the flash card) from the surveyor will be handed over to the grader operator who will insert onto on board screen panel. The operator will start – initialize the GPS system and set the layer he/she is working on. The operator will check the blade by laying the blade on the piece of timber or stake, and the surveyor will double check the timber by taking or holding the prism pole on top of it. The results must coincide with the operator so that everybody is happy with the outcome.

Also, the surveyor may put some benchmarks with relevant RL's (Reduced Levels) close to the working area where by the operator can reach his blade for check without any problem. Since we were working on the subgrade layer level with GCS 600, hence there was no requirement for the surveyor to do a random check because of the series of benchmarks

installed on site and good enough for the grader operator to check on. Finally, the surveyor observed or picked up the asbuilt survey and ready for the asbuilt report.

#### **3.6.2** Operation of an ATS5600 (Field)

The ATS5600 operates by creating a new job in the card at anytime prior the data collection. Scale factor distance unit and coordinate system was set or changed onto the instrument. Presurveyed datum's which were done by the surveyors on site was keyed in the instrument.

**3.6.2.1** Setup the instrument. The instrument was set on the tripod and observes at least three known point by resection (free station) normal surveying procedures. The large battery connected to the instrument usually last longer up to three days when it's new. Radio was connected and turned in conjunction with the grader.

After the instrument was turned on the survey controlled software on data logger was opened and wait for radios to establish communication, which takes up to three minutes and the level screen appears after everything goes well. The instruments calibrate itself and rotates two times and it beeps.

ACTIVE 360 TRACKER and TARGET INDICATION using a special designed prism called "Tracker Target 360 Multi channel". The Tracker target includes a combination of the set of standard corner prism which allows distance measuring from 15m to the maximum range. The active 360 Remote Target sends a special signal to the ATS tracker unit.

The ATS tracker is located below the optical scope of the instrument. The signal is detected and tracked after the Trimble ATS Tracker automatically indicates its location. The Trimble ATS Total stations checks the availability of both parts of the tracker target throughout the complete operation and only tracks the combination of both parts. To ensure that only the tracker target to the Trimble ATS is continually detected and monitored, the Target features a channel setting function. Set the ATS total station using the controlling software and the telemetric link of the specific indication channel.

After surveyors job being completed, i.e. preparing DTM (TIN) modal which would be handed to the grader operator. The instrument and job operation will be ready to go. The surveyor will ensure that, the grader operator is happy by checking his levels how they read on the screen. Surveyors usually use a piece of timber laid on the ground where the operator can reach his/her blade. The operator will lay a blade on top of the timber or stake and will read on the screen some levels which will be confirmed by a surveyor. In this case, the surveyor will set the second set of the instrument (Leica 1202) and ultimately the level which read by the operators grader screen will be confirmed otherwise adjusted. This is a traditionally way were most operators follow the same system.

Another way, the surveyor would place a couple of Benchmarks near the site on the firm ground, or stakes were by the operator will reach his/her blade for checks. The levels must be written clearly on the Benchmarks or stakes. Say RL 10.000m. They also prefer to turn on the modal and set the layers they are working on, and lay a blade on the road work in order to compare with the surveyors numbers i.e. CUT/FILL 0.005m and both must read the same numbers unless otherwise, or else the adjustments must be made. The checks between operator and surveyor can be done in two to three occasions at different distances, say 10m 20 and 50m. After that the surveyor will not be required, only the machine will be working and the credibility of the operator.

Walk talkies radios or mobile phones are used for communication between the surveyor and the operator. The surveyor will be going to the field from time to time, just to check the Trimble 5600 if it's still operating without troubles, flat batteries, and setup a Leica 1202 (for quick or random) check the layer works if it correspond with the graders operation. If there is a problem, then the job can be stopped for a while and attend the problem before more damage occurs. One of the problems which is likely to occur are the instrument being disturbed by windy, or wrong modal (DTM) or it wasn't checked properly, or the grader operator reset the wrong layer and also a surveyor could contribute to errors or blunder.

Finally, after the whole section has been completed by machine guidance. The duty of spatial scientist will be to pick up the asbuilts survey check and reporting.

## 3.7 Operation of a Leica 1202 (Field)

The Leica 1202 was utilized on this operation, and the purpose was to check and compare with the ATS5600 and GCS 600 equipments.

After the instrument was setup by resection, the first step will be to check the control benchmarks or existing levels from the benchmark. The reason of doing this is because of the errors during the setup and also, we are dealing with position verticals (heights) and we need to be perfect in order to achieve the pavement thickness.

Then the instrument was used during the checks with grader operator, random checks and finally pickup surveys for asbuilt checks and reporting.

#### Conclusion

All the tests mentioned in this chapter have been completed successfully and the result will be discussed in the next chapter.

Two instruments were used for testing the accuracies in the form of layers, and instrument was used to check and record the data. It was difficult to use all the equipments as it was described in the previous chapter, due to the time constraints.

Following the outcome of the result, the conclusion will be drawn and the recommendations will be presented.

## **CHAPTER 4**

# DATA ANALYSIS AND DISSCUSSION

## 4.1 Introduction

The previous chapter fully described the method of measuring and how the latency could occur during field operations. The methods were also providing comparisons between the measurements observed by the leica1202 instrument with ATS5600 and GSC600.

This chapter is talking about analysis of the data used to obtain useful information resulting from the information of the testing regime. The result will give a benchmark for this ongoing research and discussion. It will also, be noted that the data analysis process for ATS5600 data is exactly the same as GSC600 because they have an identical format and their corrections are automatically applied before the data is recorded.

As it was described earlier, the RTS Leica is an addition unit required during checks inspection and record keeping for this project. Majority of analysis is performed using Microsoft excel spreadsheet program. The program statically analysis and evaluation of the test result, then reports as shown on the chart below.



#### 4.2 Data Analysis

#### 4.2.1 Raw data collection and Transfer

The initial raw data collected was recorded in the internal memory of Leica 1202 using Leica formats and code lists according to the Main roads standards. The instrument is capable of storing atleast one thousand points or shots. Asbuilt survey (survey points) were taken in chainages by estimating three meters counting in each layer at the exactly position. During the field operation, the raw data captured was viewed and checked on site to see if whether are sufficient and well captured, or there some missing points during operation. Leica1202 has a map screen used to check the captured points in real time by scrolling and zooming a touch screen. All this is done to ensure the data captured is right.

The ATS data transfer and GSC 600 don't store any data although they are capable of. Leica 1202 instrument was used to store all the data in all different layers. The data was then exported to the flash card (Internal memory to the card) using a special formatted files designed for downloading or exporting data. Inside the flash card, there is a folder called Data, the folder stores a reduced files in the form of radians or Easting, Northing, Reduced Levels (RL) and point name or description format.

#### 4.2.2 Data transfer to a personal computer

The data was then transferred to a PC for analysis. The Flash card was plugged into the PC and imported data into 12d software using an ASCII import command. In 12d software, the data could be seen and edited and changes could be made. Also the 12d software was used to smooth the data and adjust them.

## 4.3 Software utilised and outputting data for analysis

Two main software packages below were utilised whilst undertaking the analysis of the test data.

- 12d Software; and
- Microsoft Excel spreadsheet

12d model received a raw data from the instrument and converted into a spatial format. This spatial data was then used to produce, E, N chainage and offsets and final reporting. Since the 12d model had an electronic design given by the contractor, the job of obtaining the chainage and offset was very easy. The 12d software was also doing the TIN (DTM) creation for the grader operators.

In 12d format there is (file 1/0 –Data output-12da/4a data) facility enables to exchange and backup complex string data in an open documented manner. 12d ASCII format caters 12d Model string types including 2d, 3d, 4d, pipeline, roads alignment and super strings.

## 4.4 Analysing the Database information

The analysis of data is performed using the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet program. Raw data relating to the measured positions (for both Trimble Total station and GPS was exported to the 12d for processing before transferred to the Excel), and the example of extracted data which produces the graph is shown below.

Point	Point	Design		
Offset	Survey	Design	Error (m)	Design
6.669	11.997	12.011	0.014	0
6.342	11.877	11.887	0.01	0
6.499	11.757	11.763	0.006	0
6.068	11.603	11.614	0.011	0
6.396	11.467	11.473	0.006	0
6.097	11.314	11.305	-0.009	0
6.759	11.145	11.149	0.004	0
6.194	10.954	10.953	-0.001	0
6.074	10.739	10.749	0.01	0
6.087	10.55	10.561	0.011	0
6.002	10.321	10.331	0.01	0
6.147	10.14	10.136	-0.004	0
	Offset 6.669 6.342 6.499 6.068 6.396 6.097 6.759 6.194 6.074 6.074 6.087 6.002 6.147	PointPointOffsetSurvey6.66911.9976.34211.8776.49911.7576.06811.6036.39611.4676.09711.3146.75911.1456.19410.9546.07410.7396.08710.556.00210.3216.14710.14	PointPointDesignOffsetSurveyDesign6.66911.99712.0116.34211.87711.8876.49911.75711.7636.06811.60311.6146.39611.46711.4736.09711.31411.3056.75911.14511.1496.19410.95410.9536.07410.73910.7496.08710.5510.5616.00210.32110.3316.14710.1410.136	PointPointDesignOffsetSurveyDesignError (m)6.66911.99712.0110.0146.34211.87711.8870.016.49911.75711.7630.0066.06811.60311.6140.0116.39611.46711.4730.0066.09711.31411.305-0.0096.75911.14511.1490.0046.19410.95410.953-0.0016.08710.5510.5610.0116.00210.32110.3310.016.14710.1410.136-0.004

*Table 4.1* showing an example of database information on spreadsheet.

Design

#### 4.4.1 Analysing the GCS600 GPS

Deint

Daint

Daint

The latency of each run by machine GPS grader can be calculated from the raw position data. Averages of the distance error can be computed for the run which are made at speed (i.e. rejecting any outliers) Thus results in average latency distance errors for each run.

To ensure the distance over time is equal to speed. The calculation performed as each run at each speed share a constant speed. If the majority are at the same speed but one run pair is significantly different, then the run should be omitted from calculation of average latency for that speed range. Higher speed observations will show a larger distance error due to latency, and if the outlying machine run is included, it will distort the average latency computed for that speed.

The previous pages defined the latency as the "delay between the time of fix and when it's available to the user". If the GPS is in motion, the platform on which the measured values are being made will move some distance during the time when it is available to the user.

During the testing regime, they were some selected positions with an interval of 50m. Each point were tested by the GPS and ATS onboard machine by laying the blade on the ground and checked by a surveyor (me) with Leica Total station (see Table 4.4), and all this were done after a very good setup, initialisation for all equipments and the comparisons were made on site between the grader operator and Surveyor. In general the overall results are shown on tables (4.8 and 4.9).

*Table 4.2 showing analysis of data for Trimble GCS600, captured by leica instrument and compared with levels from machine operator's readings* 

## GCS600 GPS data

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level	Point Conformance	
UCE	12000.016	13.698	12.015	12.021	-0.006	
UCE	12057.920	13.998	11.345	11.326	<b>0.019</b>	
UCE	12047.626	14.323	11.512	11.489	0.024	
UCE	12097.197	13.970	10.637	10.627	0.010	
UCE	12146.973	13.849	9.563	9.537	0.026 >	0.025 (0.001)
UCE	12197.815	13.721	8.418	8.403	0.015	
UCE	12236.665	13.636	7.547	7.536	<b>0.011</b>	

Table 4.3 showing readings from GPS machine operator booked manual in stop and go motion by laying the blade on the UCE layer after the final trimming.

Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level	Point Conformance
12000.016	13.698	12.018	12.021	-0.003
12057.920	13.998	11.339	11.326	0.013
12047.626	14.323	11.513	11.489	0.024
12097.197	13.970	10.637	10.627	0.010
12146.973	13.849	9.569	9.537	0.032
12197.815	13.721	8.417	8.403	0.014
12236.665	13.636	7.553	7.536	0.017
	Point Chainage 12000.016 12057.920 12047.626 12097.197 12146.973 12197.815 12236.665	Point ChainagePoint Offset12000.01613.698 13.69812057.92013.998 12047.62612047.62614.323 12097.19712146.97313.849 12197.81512236.66513.636	Point ChainagePoint OffsetPoint Level12000.01613.69812.01812057.92013.99811.33912047.62614.32311.51312097.19713.97010.63712146.97313.8499.56912197.81513.7218.41712236.66513.6367.553	Point ChainagePoint OffsetPoint LevelDesign Level12000.01613.69812.01812.02112057.92013.99811.33911.32612047.62614.32311.51311.48912097.19713.97010.63710.62712146.97313.8499.5699.53712197.81513.7218.4178.40312236.66513.6367.5537.536

Table 4.4

COMPARISON OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM TABLE 4.2 and 4.3

CHAINAGE	OFFSET	GPS	LEICA TOTAL	DIFFERENCE
	From CL	GRADER(onboard	STATION	
		operator's readings)	(surveyors	
			recording)	
		Vertical Levels	Vertical Levels	Vertical Levels
12000.016	13.698	12.018	12.015	0.003
12057.920	13.998	11.345	11.339	0.006
12047.626	14.323	11.513	11.512	0.001
12097.197	13.970	10.637	10.637	0.000
12146.973	13.849	9.569	9.563	0.003
12197.815	13.721	8.417	8.418	-0.001
12236.665	13.636	7.553	7.547	0.006

#### 4.4.2 Analysing the Trimble ATS5600

Trimble ATS5600 data

Trimble ATS5600 data

The trimble ATS total station were done in a similar fashion as explained in the previous sub section. Also the interval of 50m were used to check the vertical levels. As described in an earlier chapters, several softwares were utilised. Among those, 12d were used to remove and smoother the observation results.

Outliers were taken into consideration during analysis of measured values. Those measured values which could be analysed due to lose of lock or any other uncertainty of the ATS grader were eliminated from further analysis. The test of this regime was similar to cirlcular path test which were done by Chua and Garget. Having a Trimble ATS being setup somwhere and the observation of the grader movement will obviously give the horizontal and vertical results. Measurements were observed in various distances at different speeds.

The distance between the setup station and the moving grader was less than 200m of either side of the road, and the higher speed of the ATS was used which tends not to loose the lock quite easily. The operator will have a runs up and down until he achieves the results before he calls the surveyor to check. The analysed results are shown on table ------ below.

*Table 4.5 showing analysis of data for Trimble ATS5600, captured by leica instrument and compared with levels from machine operator's readings* 

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level	Point Conformance
CTB CTB	12000.095 12049.490	13.466 13.477	12.410 11.842	12.413 11.837	-0.003 0.005
CTB	12098.919	13.526	10.992	10.979	0.014
CTB	12148.351	13.493	9.898	9.896	0.002
CTB	12197.979	13.515	8.798	8.792	0.006
CTB	12257.391	13.524	7.486	7.472	0.015

*Table 4.6 showing readings from ATS machine operator booked manual in static motion by laying the blade on the CTB layer after the final trimming.* 

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level	Point Conformance
СТВ	12000.095	13.466	12.412	12.413	-0.001
CTB	12049.490	13.477	11.842	11.837	0.005
CTB	12098.919	13.526	10.994	10.979	0.015
CTB	12148.351	13.493	9.898	9.896	0.002

CTB	12197.979	13.515	8.798	8.792	0.006
CTB	12257.391	13.524	7.489	7.472	0.017

Table 4.7 COMPARISON OF DATA EXTRACTED FROM TABLE 4.5 and 4.6

CHAINAGE	OFFSET From CL	ATS5600 GRADER(onboard operator's readings)	LEICA TOTAL STATION (surveyors recording)	DIFFERENCE
		Vertical Levels	Vertical Levels	Vertical Levels
12000.095	13.466	12.412	12.410	0.002
12049.490	13.477	11.842	11.842	0.000
12098.919	13.526	10.994	10.992	0.002
12148.351	13.493	9.898	9.898	0.000
12197.979	13.515	8.798	8.798	0.000
12257.391	13.524	7.489	7.486	0.003

#### 4.4.3 Further Analysis for the Trimble ATS5600 and GPS grader

Furthermore, both layers were recorded by Leica Total station following the chainages and offsets to clarify the analysis of results. The reports from table 4.4 and 4.7 show what has been achieved on the pavement layers when using both equipments.

Table 4.8 Showing the analysis of data for Trimble GCS600 GPS

12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 UCE Ch 12000 to 12260.rpt Page: 1

-----

Original Survey File	: Z2 UCE Ch 12000 to 12260 sk
Lot Number	: Ch 12000 to 12260
Instrument	: Trimble GPS GC600
Lot Description	: UCE 710mm below Comformance check
Project : Gat	eway student
Control String : '	'Z2 M2AS GUP FLOG->FLOG"
Design Pavement Tin	: "Z2 M2AS GUP FLOG dtm"
Depth From Design	: 0.710 (vertical)
Tolerances Measured	: vertical
Upper Tolerance	: 0.025
Lower Tolerance	: -0.025

Point	Point I	Point Po	oint De	sign	Point	
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level C	Conformance	
	11000 842	6 150	11 820	11 707	7 0.022 >	0.025 ( 0.008)
UCE	11999.042	0.138	11.029	11./9/	0.033 >	0.023 ( 0.008)
UCE	12000.005	10.909	11.030	11.030	7 0.007	
UCE	12000.005	10.070	12.015	11.957	0.004	
UCE	12000.010	15 200	12.013	12.021	-0.000	
UCE	11999.903	19.052	12.003	12.070		
UCE	11999.803	10.930	12.103	12.101	-0.018	
UCE	11999.947	25.810	12.302	12.325	7 0.024	
UCE	12000.033	20.370	12.307	12.407	-0.020	
UCE	12010.077	6.487	11.727	11.710	0.016	
UCE	12009.986	8.900	11.817	11.784	4 0.033 >	0.025 ( 0.008)
UCE	12009.950	11.582	11.867	11.864	4 0.003	
UCE	12009.840	13.749	11.945	11.931	0.014	
UCE	12009.895	15.538	11.997	11.983	3 0.014	
UCE	12009.832	19.020	12.068	12.089	-0.021	
UCE	12009.840	23.462	12.234	12.222	2 0.012	
UCE	12009.771	26.586	12.314	12.317	7 -0.002	
UCE	12020.405	6.247	11.626	11.594	4 0.032 >	0.025 ( 0.007)
UCE	12020.903	9.327	11.715	11.680	0.035 >	0.025 ( 0.010)
UCE	12021.047	11.545	11.778	11.745	5 0.032 >	0.025 ( 0.007)
UCE	12019.051	15.156	11.855	11.876	5 -0.021	
UCE	12019.344	18.739	11.962	11.980	) -0.019	
UCE	12019.524	23.802	12.117	12.130	) -0.013	
UCE	12019.841	26.676	12.200	12.213	3 -0.013	
UCE	12027 262	6 3 7 3	11 534	11 514	5 0.018	
UCE	12027.202	0.323	11.554	11.510	0.018	
UCE	12027.340	0.113	11.392	11.505	0.023	
UCE	12027.312	1/ 130	11.003	11.001	0.021	
UCE	12020.800	14.130	11.775	11.750	0.017	
UCL	12020.777	15.047	11.021	11.77	0.021	
UCE	12029.936	18.781	11.835	11.858	-0.023	
UCE	12029.954	23.310	11.972	11.993	3 -0.022	
UCE	12029.852	26.764	12.081	12.098	3 -0.017	
UCE	12027 640	6 250	11 204	11 28/	1 0.011	
UCE	12037.040	0.230 8 208	11.394	11.304	+ 0.011	
UCE	12037.717	0.290	11.401	11.444	+ 0.017	
UCE	12037.700	11.023	11.333	11.320	0.009 7 0.017	
UCE	12037.007	15.074	11.025	11.007	3 0.017	
UCE	12039.12/	13.202	11.02/	11.033	2 0.000	
UCE	12039.273	10.71/	11.724	11./43	-0.019	
	12037.330		11.000	11.0/0		

12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 2

Point	Point	Point	Point	Design	Point	
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level C	onformance	
Dese	Chanage	Oliset	Level	Lever e	omormanee	
	12020 600	26,600	11.000	11071	0.001	
UCE	12039.608	26.689	11.992	11.9/1	0.021	
UCE	12048.037	6.004	11.253	11.233	0.019	
UCE	12047.962	8.043	11.324	11.296	0.028 >	0.025 ( 0.003)
UCE	12047 842	10 754	11 391	11 379	0.013	(
UCE	12047.642	14 222	11.571	11.375	0.013	
UCE	12047.020	14.323	11.312	11.409	0.024	
UCE	12050.272	15.384	11.473	11.482	-0.009	
UCE	12050.276	18.721	11.560	11.582	-0.022	
UCE	12050.236	23.437	11.722	11.724	-0.002	
UCE	12050 120	26.510	11.803	11.818	-0.016	
0.01	120201120	20.010	11.000	111010	0.010	
LICE	12059 094	5 802	11 100	11 077	0.022	
UCE	12058.084	9.142	11.100	11.077	0.022	
UCE	12058.011	8.143	11.1/1	11.149	0.023	
UCE	12057.976	10.938	11.257	11.233	0.024	
UCE	12057.920	13.998	11.345	11.326	0.019	
UCE	12058.800	15.423	11.352	11.355	-0.003	
UCE	12059.308	19.568	11.448	11.471	-0.023	
UCE	12059 309	23 930	11 604	11 602	0.003	
UCE	12059.505	25.750	11.660	11.602	0.005	
UCE	12039.430	20.452	10.071	10.075	-0.000	
UCE	12000.748	0.074	10.9/1	10.940	0.025	0.005 ( 0.001)
UCE	12066.673	8.376	11.043	11.017	0.026 >	0.025 ( 0.001)
UCE	12066.547	11.373	11.130	11.109	0.022	
UCE	12066.384	14.292	11.218	11.199	0.019	
UCE	12066.376	16.087	11.265	11.253	0.012	
UCF	12069 556	19 172	11 284	11 292	-0.009	
UCE	12060 576	22.027	11.204	11.272	-0.007	
UCE	12009.370	22.927	11.390	11.403	-0.015	
UCE	12069.383	26.269	11.492	11.508	-0.016	
UCE	12076.496	6.125	10.804	10.781	0.022	
UCE	12076.585	8.611	10.879	10.854	0.025	
UCE	12076.578	11.181	10.941	10.932	0.010	
UCE	12076.603	14.038	11.032	11.017	0.016	
UCE	12076 570	16 146	11.002	11.017	0.012	
UCL	12070.370	10.140	11.075	11.001	0.012	
LICE	10070.016	10 107	11 110	11 101	0.021	
UCE	120/8.816	19.137	11.110	11.131	-0.021	
UCE	12079.021	23.892	11.246	11.270	-0.024	
UCE	12079.096	26.297	11.320	11.341	-0.021	
UCE	12087.366	6.352	10.588	10.589	-0.001	
UCE	12087 333	8 231	10.676	10 646	0.029 >	0.025(0.004)
UCE	12007.335	10 010	10.751	10.010	0.021	0.00-20 ( 0.00-7)
UCE	12007.207	10.717	10.731	10.147	0.021	
UCE	12087.113	15./40	10.830	10.810	0.014	
UCE	12087.076	16.210	10.902	10.891	0.011	
UCE	12088.784	18.857	10.921	10.938	-0.017	

UCE	12088.616	22.568	11.030	11.053	-0.023
UCE	12088.543	26.384	11.157	11.169	-0.012
UCE	12097.411	6.427	10.391	10.396	-0.005
UCE	12097.315	9.002	10.499	10.475	0.024
UCE	12097.250	11.595	10.564	10.554	0.010
UCE	12097.197	13.970	10.637	10.627	0.010
UCE	12097.156	16.186	10.690	10.694	-0.004
UCE	12098.797	19.084	10.728	10.749	-0.021
UCE	12098.838	23.363	10.864	10.876	-0.013
UCE	12098.854	26.348	10.979	10.965	0.014

# 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT

File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 3

Point	Point	Point	Point	Design	Point
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level	Conformance
UCE	12106.557	6.656	10.233	10.215	5 0.018
UCE	12106.545	8.729	10.296	10.277	0.019
UCE	12106.544	11.347	10.385	10.356	$5  0.029 > 0.025 \; (\; 0.004)$
UCE	12106.543	13.771	10.456	10.428	8 0.028 > 0.025 ( 0.003)
UCE	12108.986	15.586	10.410	10.431	-0.021
UCE	12108.892	18.805	10.505	10.529	-0.025
UCE	12108.842	23.212	10.639	10.662	2 -0.023
UCE	12108.818	26.212	10.737	10.753	-0.016
UCE	12116.195	6.422	10.027	9.999	0.028 > 0.025 (0.003)
UCE	12116.373	8.764	10.069	10.065	5 0.004
UCE	12116.378	11.566	10.169	10.149	0.020
UCE	12116.362	14.477	10.262	10.237	0.025
UCE	12118.432	15.508	10.203	10.222	2 -0.019
UCE	12118.683	19.095	10.310	10.323	3 -0.013
UCE	12118.818	23.222	10.450	10.444	0.006
UCE	12118.756	26.168	10.552	10.534	0.018
UCE	12126.769	6.402	9.790	9.763	0.026 > 0.025 (0.001)
UCE	12126.715	8.059	9.835	9.814	0.021
UCE	12126.719	10.884	9.906	9.899	0.007
UCE	12126.737	13.840	10.012	9.987	0.025
UCE	12129.312	15.514	9.960	9.980	-0.020
UCE	12129.256	18.842	10.078	10.081	-0.003
UCE	12129.005	22.870	10.220	10.207	0.013
UCE	12128.815	26.241	10.319	10.312	2 0.006

UCE	12137.033	6.136	9.552	9.527	0.025	
UCE	12137.049	8.503	9.622	9.598	0.024	
UCE	12136.839	11.238	9.703	9.684	0.019	
UCE	12136.773	14.048	9.789	9.770	0.019	
UCE	12136.766	16.020	9.854	9.829	0.024	
UCE	12138.288	19.081	9.872	9.888	-0.016	
UCE	12138.427	23.288	10.022	10.011	0.011	
UCE	12138.567	26.213	10.112	10.095	0.018	
UCE	12147.081	6.135	9.332	9.304	0.028 >	0.025 ( 0.003)
UCE	12147.086	8.208	9.389	9.366	0.023	
UCE	12147.012	11.200	9.474	9.457	0.017	
UCE	12146.973	13.849	9.563	9.537	0.026 >	0.025 ( 0.001)
UCE	12146.996	16.088	9.616	9.604	0.012	
UCE	12148.351	18.801	9.634	9.655	-0.021	
UCE	12148.351	23.092	9.779	9.784	-0.005	
UCE	12148.289	26.386	9.863	9.885	-0.022	
UCE	12157.162	6.441	9.110	9.089	0.021	
UCE	12157.294	9.370	9.194	9.173	0.020	
UCE	12157.279	11.518	9.255	9.238	0.017	
UCE	12157.168	14.088	9.341	9.318	0.024	
UCE	12157.128	15.970	9.397	9.375	0.021	
UCE	12158.134	19.697	9.440	9.465	-0.025	
UCE	12158.356	23.890	9.560	9.585	-0.025	
UCE	12158.325	26.423	9.638	9.661	-0.023	

## 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 4

\_\_\_\_\_

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level	Point Conformance
UCE	12167.588	6.638	8.865	8.863	0.003
UCE	12167.528	8.969	8.942	8.934	0.008
UCE	12167.399	11.302	9.026	9.007	0.020
UCE	12167.332	13.594	9.098	9.077	0.021
UCE	12167.381	15.928	9.156	9.146	0.010
UCE	12168.226	18.254	9.174	9.196	-0.022
UCE	12168.276	22.430	9.296	9.320	-0.024
UCE	12168.120	26.290	9.423	9.441	-0.018
UCE	12177.302	6.379	8.657	8.639	0.018
UCE	12177.550	8.782	8.700	8.705	-0.005
UCE	12177.771	11.405	8.784	8.779	0.005
UCE	12177.773	13.861	8.878	8.853	0.025

UCE	12177.820	15.798	8.933	8.910	0.023
UCE	12177.958	18.941	8.978	9.001	-0.023
UCE	12178.065	23.125	9.100	9.123	-0.024
UCE	12178.117	26.332	9.203	9.218	-0.015
				,	
UCE	12187.804	6.229	8.427	8.401	0.026 > 0.025 (0.001)
UCE	12187.756	8.580	8.500	8.472	0.028 > 0.025 (0.003)
UCE	12187.685	10.695	8.558	8.537	0.020
UCE	12187.556	13.493	8.651	8.624	0.027 > 0.025 (0.002)
UCE	12187.555	15.186	8.651	8.675	-0.024
UCE	12187.509	17.884	8.736	8.757	-0.021
UCE	12187.760	22.471	8.866	8.889	-0.023
UCE	12187.925	26.210	8.980	8.997	-0.017
UCE	12197.860	6.334	8.203	8.180	0.023
UCE	12197.788	8.663	8.261	8.252	0.009
UCE	12197.811	11.160	8.338	8.326	0.011
UCE	12197.815	13.721	8.418	8.403	0.015
UCE	12197.772	15.580	8.465	8.460	0.006
UCE	12198.024	19.433	8.554	8.569	-0.015
UCE	12197.962	23.321	8.671	8.687	-0.016
UCE	12197.952	26.115	8.748	8.771	-0.022
UCE	12207.368	6.314	7.976	7.968	0.008
UCE	12207.345	8.245	8.049	8.027	0.023
UCE	12207.300	10.660	8.105	8.100	0.005
UCE	12207.201	13.318	8.207	8.182	0.025
UCE	12207.035	15.694	8.282	8.257	0.025
UCE	12207.482	18.095	8.300	8.319	-0.020
UCE	12207.414	21.764	8.410	8.431	-0.021
UCE	12207.652	25.882	8.526	8.549	-0.023
UCE	12217.343	6.246	7.738	7.744	-0.006
UCE	12217.260	8.445	7.814	7.812	0.002
UCE	12217.256	11.220	7.901	7.895	0.005
UCE	12217.242	13.884	7.980	7.976	0.004
UCE	12217.217	15.586	8.023	8.027	-0.004
UCE	12217.766	18.438	8.077	8.100	-0.023
UCE	12217.794	22.044	8.183	8.207	-0.024
UCE	12217.734	24.740	8.268	8.290	-0.021
12D M	ODEL - SUR	VEY CON	IFORMA	NCE REI	PORT: PAVEMENT
File: Z2	2 CTB Ch 120	000 to 122	60 sk .rpt	t	
Page: 5					

Point	Point	Point	Point	Design	Point
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level	Conformance
UCE	12226.649	6.316	7.551	7.539	0.011

UCE	12226.590	7.904	7.597	7.588	0.008	
UCE	12226.557	10.589	7.674	7.670	0.005	
UCE	12226.554	13.561	7.767	7.759	0.008	
UCE	12226.666	15.619	7.835	7.818	0.017	
UCE	12227.500	18.177	7.854	7.876	-0.022	
UCE	12227.392	22.063	7.977	7.996	-0.019	
UCE	12227.375	25.025	8.070	8.085	-0.015	
UCE	12237.001	6.443	7.316	7.313	0.003	
UCE	12236.845	8.664	7.389	7.383	0.006	
UCE	12236.827	11.276	7.484	7.462	0.022	
UCE	12236.665	13.636	7.547	7.536	0.011	
UCE	12236.570	15.477	7.594	7.594	0.001	
UCE	12237.391	18.056	7.630	7.653	-0.023	
UCE	12237.394	22.539	7.765	7.787	-0.022	
UCE	12237.394	25.032	7.851	7.861	-0.011	
UCE	12246.376	6.714	7.111	7.112	-0.002	
UCE	12246.279	8.288	7.157	7.162	-0.005	
UCE	12246.310	10.585	7.233	7.230	0.003	
UCE	12246.275	12.770	7.306	7.296	0.010	
UCE	12247.312	14.728	7.312	7.332	-0.020	
UCE	12247.287	17.806	7.406	7.425	-0.019	
UCE	12247.220	21.779	7.523	7.546	-0.023	
UCE	12247.231	24.930	7.620	7.640	-0.021	
UCE	12255.324	7.035	6.945	6.923	0.022	
UCE	12255.303	9.287	7.018	6.991	0.027 >	0.025 (0.002)
UCE	12255.129	11.489	7.073	7.061	0.012	
UCE	12255.197	13.811	7.121	7.129	-0.008	
UCE	12255.223	15.063	7.170	7.166	0.004	

-----

#### 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 6

-----

POINTS PROCESSED :

#### 221

#### VERTICAL SUMMARY

Points Tested	:	212
Within Tolerance	:	194 ( 91.5%)
Too High	:	18 ( 8.5%)
Too Low	:	0(0.0%)
Maximum Conform	ance:	0.035
Minimum Conform	ance:	-0.025
Average Conformar	nce :	0.003
Standard Deviation	:	0.018

-----

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Said Kiongoli USQ student Final year 2010 Tue 17-Aug-2010 14:45:08

Table 4.9 Showing analyses of data for Trimble ATS 5600

12D M	12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT								
File: ZZ Page: 1	2 CTB Ch 120	00 to 12260	sk .rpt						
Origina	al Survey File	: Z2 CTB	Ch 12000	to 12260 s	k				
Lot Nu	mber	: Ch 1200	0 to 12260	)					
Instrun	nent	: Trimble	ATS 5600	)					
Lot De	scription	: CTB 31	0mm belov	w Comform	ance check				
Project Contro Design	Project: Gateway studentControl String: "Z2 M2AS GUP FLOG->FLOG"Design Pavement Tin: "Z2 M2AS GUP FLOG dtm"								
Depth l	From Design	: 0.310	(vertical)						
Tolerar	nces Measured	: vertica	1						
Upper '	Tolerance	: 0.015							
Lower	Tolerance	: -0.015							
		Deint	Deint		 Deint				
Point	Point	Point	Point	Design	Point				
Desc	Chamage	Offset	Level	Level Co	mormance				
СТВ	12000.473	6.540	12.194	12.202	-0.008				
CTB	12000.227	8.040	12.247	12.250	-0.002				
CTB	12000.430	10.021	12.320	12.307	0.012				
CTB	12000.095	13.466	12.410	12.413	-0.003				
CTB	12000.427	17.096	12.523	12.519	0.004				
CTB	12000.159	20.964	12.635	12.638	-0.003				
CTB	12000.098	24.383	12.752	12.741	0.011				
CTB	12000.153	26.743	12.818	12.811	0.006				

CTB	12009.984	6.548	12.109	12.113	-0.004
CTB	12009.940	8.023	12.169	12.158	0.012
CTB	12010.053	9.985	12.212	12.215	-0.003
CTB	12010.043	13.507	12.326	12.321	0.005
CTB	12010.150	17.150	12.444	12.429	0.014
CTB	12010.030	21.000	12.555	12.546	0.009
CTB	12009.920	24.540	12.653	12.653	0.000
CTB	12009.902	26.738	12.705	12.719	-0.014
CTB	12019.996	6.669	11.997	12.011	-0.014
CTB	12019.934	8.143	12.060	12.056	0.004
CTB	12019.790	10.016	12.128	12.114	0.014
CTB	12019.794	13.499	12.218	12.218	0.000
CTB	12019.852	17.190	12.326	12.328	-0.002
CTB	12019.811	21.024	12.450	12.443	0.007
CTB	12019.817	24.492	12.559	12.547	0.012
CTB	12019.868	26.769	12.611	12.615	-0.004
CTB	12029.751	6.342	11.877	11.887	-0.010
CTB	12029.858	8.073	11.940	11.938	0.002
CTB	12029.956	9.807	11.986	11.988	-0.002
CTB	12029.635	13.547	12.106	12.105	0.001
CTB	12029.785	17.271	12.221	12.214	0.006
CTB	12029.681	21.091	12.341	12.330	0.010
CTB	12029.740	24.496	12.435	12.432	0.004
CTB	12029.738	26.888	12.489	12.503	-0.014
CTB	12039.772	6.499	11.757	11.763	-0.006
CTB	12039.711	8.030	11.814	11.810	0.004
CTB	12039.778	10.111	11.887	11.871	0.016 > 0.015 (0.001)
CTB	12039.579	13.502	11.978	11.976	0.002
CTB	12039.619	17.206	12.077	12.086	-0.009
CTB	12039.651	20.878	12.211	12.196	0.016
CTB	10000 474	04 447	10 210	12 206	0.010
	12039.474	24.447	12.318	12.300	0.012
CTB	12039.474 12039.602	24.447 26.828	12.318	12.306	0.012 0.001

## 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk Page: 2

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level Co	Point nformance
СТВ	12049.484	6.068	11.603	11.614	-0.012
CTB	12049.509	8.067	11.673	11.674	-0.001
CTB	12049.542	9.994	11.740	11.731	0.009
CTB	12049.490	13.477	11.842	11.837	0.005
CTB	12049.538	16.431	11.911	11.924	-0.013

\_\_\_\_\_

CTB	12049.496	20.659	12.061	12.052	0.009
CTB	12049.451	24.354	12.176	12.163	0.013
CTB	12049.697	26.612	12.224	12.227	-0.003
CTB	12059.474	6.396	11.467	11.473	-0.007
CTB	12059.429	8.047	11.537	11.524	0.014
CTB	12059.575	10.030	11.597	11.581	0.017 > 0.015 ( 0.002)
CTB	12059.350	13.510	11.698	11.689	0.010
CTB	12059.325	16.159	11.772	11.768	0.004
CTB	12059.421	17.480	11.807	11.807	0.001
CTB	12059.308	20.793	11.907	11.908	-0.001
CTB	12059.360	24.511	12.029	12.018	0.011
CTB	12059.338	26.686	12.070	12.084	-0.014
CTB	12069.301	6.097	11.314	11.305	0.010
CTB	12069.328	8.041	11.377	11.363	0.014
CTB	12069.440	10.006	11.419	11.420	0.000
CTB	12069.202	13.446	11.537	11.527	0.010
CTB	12069.267	17.233	11.631	11.639	-0.008
СТВ	12069.187	20.942	11.750	11.752	-0.002
СТВ	12069.297	24.571	11.864	11.859	0.006
CTB	12069.389	26.478	11.901	11.914	-0.013
CTB	12079.383	6.759	11.145	11.149	-0.004
СТВ	12079.364	8.102	11.200	11.190	0.010
СТВ	12079.252	10.148	11.262	11.253	0.009
CTB	12079.319	13.516	11.370	11.353	0.017 > 0.015 ( 0.002)
СТВ	12079.487	17.185	11.470	11.460	0.010
CTB	12079.189	20.688	11.569	11.570	-0.001
CTB	12078.967	24.658	11.705	11.694	0.011
CTB	12079.222	26.501	11.732	11.744	-0.012
012	120771222	2010 01	110,02		0.012
СТВ	12089.030	6.194	10.954	10.953	0.001
CTB	12089.063	8.033	11.012	11.008	0.004
CTB	12089.198	10.021	11.082	11.065	0.017 > 0.015 (0.002)
CTB	12088.996	13.550	11.185	11.174	0.010
CTB	12089.092	17.225	11.275	11.283	-0.008
CTB	12089.002	20.714	11.393	11.389	0.004
CTB	12089.133	24.793	11.516	11.509	0.007
CTB	12089.073	26.453	11.561	11.560	0.001
CID	120071078	201100	11.001	11.000	0.001
CTB	12099.222	6.074	10.739	10.749	-0.010
CTB	12099.108	8.011	10.818	10.809	0.009
CTB	12099.032	10.071	10.882	10.873	0.009
CTB	12098,919	13.526	10.992	10.979	0.014
CTB	12099 014	16.314	11.074	11.060	0.014
CTR	12099 048	16 731	11.083	11.000	0.011
CTR	12098.942	20.772	11.197	11.195	0.001
CTB	12098.979	24.337	11.316	11.302	0.014
CTB	12099.167	26.290	11.356	11.356	-0.001

12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT

File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 3

Point Desc	Point Chainage	Point Offset	Point Level	Design Level Co	Point nformance
СТВ	12108.315	6.087	10.550	10.561	
CTB	12108.777	8.091	10.626	10.611	0.015
CTB	12108.777	10.003	10.681	10.668	0.013
CTB	12108.777	13.521	10.783	10.774	0.010
CTB	12109.115	16.492	10.859	10.855	0.004
CTB	12109.062	20.696	10.989	10.982	0.007
CTB	12108.658	24.639	11.123	11.110	0.013
CTB	12108.977	26.388	11.161	11.155	0.006
СТВ	12118.689	6.002	10.321	10.331	-0.010
CTB	12118.688	8.010	10.401	10.391	0.010
CTB	12118.670	9.975	10.463	10.451	0.012
CTB	12118.670	13.562	10.571	10.558	0.013
CTB	12119.340	15.462	10.604	10.600	0.004
CTB	12119.271	16.784	10.641	10.641	0.000
CTB	12119.182	20.699	10.762	10.760	0.002
CTB	12118.881	24.525	10.890	10.882	0.008
CTB	12119.013	26.220	10.919	10.930	-0.010
СТВ	12127.658	6.147	10.140	10.136	0.004
CTB	12127.599	8.024	10.178	10.194	-0.015
CTB	12127.565	10.030	10.231	10.254	-0.024 < -0.015 (-0.009)
CTB	12127.690	13.511	10.350	10.356	-0.006
CTB	12129.290	15.372	10.384	10.376	0.008
CTB	12129.372	17.152	10.426	10.428	-0.002
CTB	12129.243	20.725	10.548	10.537	0.010
CTB	12129.361	24.409	10.657	10.645	0.011
CTB	12129.313	26.429	10.714	10.707	0.008
CTB	12138.522	6.501	9.900	9.905	-0.005
CTB	12138.472	7.963	9.939	9.950	-0.011
CTB	12138.510	10.049	10.003	10.011	-0.009
CTB	12138.530	13.385	10.093	10.111	-0.018 < -0.015 (-0.003)
CTB	12139.426	16.704	10.203	10.190	0.013
CTB	12139.284	20.423	10.288	10.305	-0.018 < -0.015 (-0.003)
CTB	12139.214	24.974	10.444	10.443	0.001
CTB	12139.185	26.421	10.485	10.487	-0.002
CTB	12139.194	26.438	10.485	10.487	-0.002
CTB	12148.356	6.516	9.677	9.686	-0.009

CTB	12148.353	7.975	9.720	9.730	-0.011
CTB	12148.232	9.933	9.781	9.792	-0.011
CTB	12148.351	13.493	9.898	9.896	0.002
CTB	12148.725	17.079	10.003	9.995	0.008
CTB	12148.498	20.806	10.118	10.112	0.006
CTB	12148.351	24.478	10.238	10.225	0.013
CTB	12148.427	26.395	10.271	10.281	-0.009
CTB	12158.509	6.058	9.437	9.447	-0.010
CTB	12158.513	8.079	9.496	9.507	-0.011
CTB	12158.348	10.065	9.562	9.570	-0.009
CTB	12158.385	13.530	9.676	9.674	0.002
CTB	12158.252	16.526	9.772	9.766	0.005
CTB	12158.283	20.481	9.892	9.884	0.008
CTB	12158.324	25.207	10.027	10.025	0.002
CTB	12158.266	26.467	10.050	10.064	-0.014

#### \_\_\_\_\_

## 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT

File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk.rpt Page: 4

 Point	Point	Point	Point	Design	 Point
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level C	onformance
СТВ	12168.184	6.111	9.225	9.233	-0.008
CTB	12168.200	8.181	9.277	9.295	-0.018 < -0.015 (-0.003)
CTB	12168.189	10.023	9.341	9.350	-0.009
CTB	12168.262	13.558	9.463	9.455	0.008
CTB	12168.548	17.221	9.559	9.558	0.001
CTB	12168.678	20.977	9.658	9.668	-0.010
CTB	12168.704	24.416	9.760	9.770	-0.010
CTB	12168.708	26.506	9.827	9.833	-0.006
СТВ	12178 168	6 189	9 021	9.013	0.008
CTB	12178.100	8 1 5 9	9.021	9.013	-0.001
CTB	12178.157	10 022	9.122	9.129	-0.007
CTB	12178.112	13 544	9 243	9 235	0.008
CTB	12178.164	17.224	9.350	9.344	0.006
CTB	12178.087	21.018	9.450	9.460	-0.009
CTB	12177.967	24.534	9.558	9.569	-0.011
CTB	12178.077	26.423	9.617	9.622	-0.005
СТВ	12188 052	6.051	8 780	8 790	-0.010
CTP	12188.052	0.031 8.100	0.700 8.825	8.750	-0.010
CTP	12107.902	0.100	8 000	0.0 <i>32</i> 8.011	-0.018 < -0.013 (-0.003)
	12107.930	12.405	0.909	0.911	-0.002
CTB	12100.030	13.493	9.021	9.015	0.000
CTB	12100.070	21 016	9.130	9.120	0.012
CTB	12100.240	21.010	9.240	9.234 0.332	0.012
	12100.340	24.332	7.555	7.333	0.001

CTB	12188.656	26.353	9.371	9.385	-0.014
СТВ	12198.023	6.961	8.583	8.595	-0.012
CTB	12197.943	8.165	8.627	8.633	-0.006
CTB	12197.840	10.143	8.693	8.694	-0.001
CTB	12197.979	13.515	8.798	8.792	0.006
CTB	12198.079	17.210	8.909	8.901	0.008
CTB	12197.942	20.955	9.011	9.016	-0.005
CTB	12197.819	24.470	9.124	9.125	-0.001
CTB	12197.919	26.343	9.167	9.178	-0.012
CTB	12207.869	6.197	8.341	8.353	-0.013
CTB	12207.855	8.265	8.394	8.415	-0.022 < -0.015 (-0.007)
CTB	12207.864	9.990	8.466	8.467	0.000
CTB	12207.883	13.555	8.585	8.573	0.011
CTB	12207.891	17.214	8.695	8.683	0.012
CTB	12207.911	20.862	8.794	8.792	0.002
CTB	12208.032	24.309	8.888	8.892	-0.004
CTB	12207.952	25.559	8.919	8.932	-0.013
CTB	12217.800	6.236	8.139	8.133	0.006
CTB	12217.734	8.081	8.177	8.190	-0.013
CTB	12217.724	10.008	8.255	8.248	0.007
CTB	12217.677	13.521	8.362	8.355	0.008
CTB	12218.160	17.266	8.472	8.456	0.015
CTB	12217.984	20.773	8.579	8.565	0.014
CTB	12217.715	24.389	8.679	8.679	-0.001
CTB	12217.795	25.508	8.708	8.711	-0.003

## 12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 5

\_\_\_\_\_

Point	Point F	Point Po	oint De	sign P	Point	
Desc	Chainage	Offset	Level	Level Co	onformance	
СТВ	12227.680	6.102	7.900	7.910	-0.010	
CTB	12227.615	8.135	7.978	7.972	0.006	
CTB	12227.609	10.029	8.038	8.029	0.009	
CTB	12227.699	13.492	8.148	8.131	0.017 >	0.015 ( 0.002)
CTB	12227.574	17.301	8.261	8.248	0.013	
CTB	12227.573	20.795	8.363	8.352	0.011	
CTB	12227.500	24.502	8.471	8.465	0.006	
CTB	12227.532	25.802	8.505	8.503	0.001	
СТВ	12237.642	6.239	7.692	7.692	-0.001	
CTB	12237.579	8.216	7.770	7.753	0.017 >	0.015 ( 0.002)
CTB	12237.530	10.095	7.818	7.810	0.007	
CTB	12237.406	13.558	7.932	7.917	0.015	

CTB	12237.626	17.355	8.033	8.026	0.008
CTB	12237.534	20.950	8.144	8.136	0.008
CTB	12237.552	24.421	8.244	8.239	0.004
CTB	12237.562	25.043	8.270	8.258	0.012
CTB	12247.013	6.310	7.476	7.486	-0.010
CTB	12247.291	8.115	7.533	7.534	-0.001
CTB	12247.310	10.002	7.594	7.590	0.004
CTB	12247.399	13.523	7.703	7.694	0.010
CTB	12247.420	17.290	7.816	7.806	0.010
CTB	12247.563	20.971	7.917	7.913	0.004
CTB	12247.705	24.931	8.021	8.029	-0.007
CTB	12257.326	6.275	7.248	7.256	-0.007
CTB	12257.299	8.049	7.319	7.309	0.010
CTB	12257.390	10.001	7.381	7.366	0.015
CTB	12257.391	13.524	7.486	7.472	0.015
CTB	12257.408	15.182	7.535	7.521	0.015
CTB	12257.205	22.195	7.731	7.736	-0.005
CTB	12257.293	23.197	7.744	7.764	-0.020 < -0.015 (-0.005)

12D MODEL - SURVEY CONFORMANCE REPORT: PAVEMENT File: Z2 CTB Ch 12000 to 12260 sk .rpt Page: 6

223

-----

POINTS PROCESSED :

VERTICAL SUMMARY

Points Tested	:	219
Within Tolerance	:	206 (94.1%)
Too High	:	6 ( 2.7%)
Too Low	:	7 ( 3.2%)
Maximum Conforma	ince:	0.017
Minimum Conforma	nce :	-0.024
Average Conformance	ce :	0.002
Standard Deviation	:	0.010

\_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Said Kiongoli USQ student Final year 2010 Frid 20-Aug-2010 14:26:59

## 4.5 Results

#### 4.5.1 GCS600 GPS

Finally, following the hard work by the grader operator and the clarity of surveyor the pleasant results were obtained. The results can be graphed graphically presentation of the latency error for machine runs over the range of different speeds (GCS600 GPS). This was done in 50m intervals as figure 4.2 shows and general result for the whole road section in both layers are graphically shown on figures 4.3 to 4.11. The figures clearly show the errors in position vertical due to latency increases with speed.

(*Raymond*,2005) defines latency as the delay between the time of fix and when it is available to the use" Hence if the GPS is in motion, the platform on which the measurements are being made will move some distance during the time when the measurement is made and the time when it is available to the user. It is clear to see from the graphical representations of the error which is affecting the vertical (height) solution of these dynamic RTK measurements, especially when the speed is considered to be higher.





The graphical results below shows that, a significant errors affecting the position vertical (heights) measurements during field work. The results raises some important questions which will become focused of ongoing research projects.

1. Why are we getting some bad errors during machine movement, and we get the same errors during checks when the machine is not in a motion.

- 2. To what extent does the RTK base station which is fixed in the office somewhere affect the vertical accuracy at which the machine guidance is measured.
- 3. How much of this latency error is attributed to the GPS machine guidance component and how much is accounted for within the road constrution during operation.

The first point could be easier to determine, that when the machine was in motion the number of factors could have been contributed to the poor accuracy, this factors such as satelites were elaborated on chapter 2. It could be an operator as well by regrading more material or filling them. Sometimes the grader operator would follow what reads on the screen, and the screen would tell the operator either to fill or cut more, but in real fact that could be the less number of satelites or something else which may affect the tolerance or it could even be machines itself, tires, width, weight etc.

The second point is also easier to predict. The base station may happen to sink or raise due to rain or wind, but this is doesn't happen very often and checks are always done before and after the field operations.

The third part is a bit difficult to determine, it requires more time, however further investigations will be needed due to time contraints.

Given the results using this methods, it becomes clear that the testing which was conducted does not really provide a satisfactory means of relating the dynamic measurements from RTK base in the moving machine. Future testing at a higher speeds will require a better method of providing this results in a moving machine.



• Figure 4.3; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs

Figure 4.4; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs



Figure 4.5; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs



Figure 4.6; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs



Figure 4.7; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs







Figure 4.9; *Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs* 



Figure 4.10; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs



Figure 4.11; Latency errors-combined GPS machine runs



#### 4.5.2 Trimble ATS5600 results

Figure 4.12 illustrates the errors associated with each point captured during testing. It highlights that there is no systematic error present and the data was ranging between 0.000m to 0.003 as figure 4.12 shows. Also figures 13 to 18 which are general capture, showing the errors in the whole section.

Synchronization is a measure of how closely together in time the various polar coordinated that form the data pocket are measured. If the data is not synchronized, the sensor gives an incorrect position. The size of the error depends on how far apart in time the various components (angle and slope distance) are measured, and the speed and direction of the moving target.

The graph also clearly illustrate that, as the tracking speed of the instrument is increased the accuracy of points captured decreases because of the fact that the instrument is set far away from the moving machine, although it does not appear to be significant at this stage.



Figure 4.12, vertical errors during 50m interval check.

Figure 4.13; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs





Figure 4.14; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs

Figure 4.15; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs







Figure 4.17; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs





Figure 4.18; Latency errors-combined ATS Total stations machine runs

#### 4.6 Summary of results

A brief summary of GPS and ATS Total station tests are described on this section. Chapter 4 has presented the method of data analysis that has applied to the data captured as a result of implementation of the methodology and examples outlined on chapter 3. This is the complete description of the methods required to extract useful information regarding latency, position vertical errors in dynamic RTK and ATS total station measurements from raw data files attained in the implementation of the research methodology.

This chapter has also demonstrated that latency is affecting the results that have been obtained from the testing carried out as an integral component of this research. As such, it becomes more important that a further investigation of this effect is thoroughly undertaken; over a greater range of speeds allow potential users to achieve their goals in real time.

As the first chapters elaborated the aim of this project is to determine the accuracy and reliability of machine guidance. Since this project is dealing with one type of instruments; i.e. Trimble ATS5600 Total station and GCS600 GPS systems, it's hard to draw a final conclusion of the errors and reliability during and after field operations.

However, based on the above tests it can be concluded that, the reliability of ATS is greatly related to the speeds of the prism and measurements distances. The ATS tends to lose lock in the higher speed environment at short distance. Also the ATS may not reflect the true centre of the target and this may result in false or bad answer.

Chapter 5 summarises the current status of this research and makes recommendations regarding the continuation of this research project, and also the adaption of methodology described on chapter 3 to different level of speed application.

## 4.7 Discussion

## 4.7.1 Reliability

The reliability of ATS is closely associated with the movement speeds and the distance between setup station and observations area (grader). It has been described earlier that, ATS tends to lose locks in higher speed environment or shorter distance or any other factor.

The accuracy of the results it depends upon the speed of the prism and the experience of the grader operator. The accuracy of the observed data will reduce and sometimes may lead to the dropout signal. The ATS may resume lock to the target within a very short period of time but the result obtained as the asbuilt survey will be affected as there is a lapse in observation result caused by loose lock.

Chapter 4 has also outlined the data analysis process required to extract useful information from the data that collected upon implementation of testing regime developed on this research project, to quantify the latency error in speed environment when using a moving GPS. Chapter 4 also demonstrated that there is some latency error in the gathered data.

## 4.7.2 Accuracy of Tracking

The accuracy of the ATS it's widely depends on prism pointing. If the ATS is not pointing towards the centre of the prism, as described by *Stempfhubber at al.* (2001), it may lead to large variations in the observation results. As pointed out by *Kopacic*, (1998), the ATS should always be measuring to the centre of the prism, see also figure 2.13 and notes 2.5.7 Auto search.

If the prism is moving along the path and the ATS is not pointing directly to the prism, then the measured value will have a large variations impact when analysis of results takes place.

Standard total stations are optimized for static prism measurement; in contrast, synchronization of data from the angle and distance measurement sensors allows output data to be computed for a single instantaneous location of the moving machine. This results in higher 3D position accuracy for dynamic measurements or machine tracking application.

Synchronization is a measure of how closely together in time the various polar coordinated that form the data pocket are measured. If the data is not synchronized, the sensor gives an incorrect position. The size of the error depends on how far apart in time the various components (angle and slope distance) are measured, and the speed and direction of the moving target.

Low latency for complete transmission the precise position of the machine at any given time depends on the age or latency of the positioning data received, if the age of the data is recent and specific.

## **CHAPTER 5**

# **CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS**

## 5.1 Introduction

Several issues have been arisen during this research project and needs to be addressed in the future to come. Chapter five provides an outline of the current status of the research, and also makes the recommendations regarding the continued research and investigation of latency errors in RTK and ATS.

## 5.2 Further Research and Recommendations

## 5.2.1 Testing GCS600 GPS

Following the methodology described in chapter 3, this dissertation has only been possible to utilise GC600 conventional RTK GPS due to the time constraints. Further research efforts required in this specific field of Machine guidance latency measurements, to implement this testing in similar fashion, to analyse the resulting data (in accordance with the practice described herein) to give potential Machine guidance users and understand the effect of latency in high and low speed environment. These effects should then be compared to the results obtained in this research.

## 5.2.2 Additional device (Laser augmented RTK GPS)

As previously mentioned, this research project has also led to the discovery that it is possible to utilise the addition devices that are solid based on their ability to increase the vertical precision of RTK GPS. Such devices are; Laser Augmented Systems (on blade), see also *chapter 2.2.5*.

It is therefore that part of future recommendations on this research project is to have a future version of Laser Augmented RTK GPS which is attached in an onboard software package than having an external devices which increases the weight of the blade if can (this information has been passed on to the manufacturer).

In advanced cases, the onboard computer can be directly linked to the machine hydraulics, controlling their operation with minimal input from operator.

In addition, value sensors can be added for fully automatic machine control. The slope and elevation of the blade are therefore controlled by the system, not by the machine operator reducing errors and avoiding expensive re-work. These methods are still new in the market and needs more future research because the accuracies and tolerances are still not yet known.
### 5.2.3 Testing at Speeds

According to previous testes described in the previous chapters that, testing is required up to 250km per hour in order to be of use to the precision agricultural community. Therefore future testing is required to investigate latency's and its effect on speeds application to gain more understanding of the relationship. The use of an optional sensor is seen as a very accurate means of referencing the dynamic measurements back to the fixed frame of reference and has therefore been adopted for this research project also.

The methodology and techniques may be used for future testing based on what is described in this dissertation. But it is recognised that modification of this equipment configuration and testing regime is required to facilitate this.

### 5.2.4 Testing Trimble ATS5600

As mentioned earlier in the previous chapters that, due to the time constraints there was only one type of ATS Total station being tested throughout duration of this project and backed up by Leica Total station for data recording. The results shown on chapter 4 were based on Trimble ATS total station.

Similar testes have been conducted before and more research investigations are recommended should be undertaken in order to obtain more accurate results.

It has been recommended by *Trimble (2004)*, that the minimum distance to the survey instrument should be atleast 100m for a moving speed of less than 5m/sec. During testing , the moving speed was almost the same as stated speed however the ATS seemed to achieve a great results regardless to the changes of weather.

### 5.3 Conclusion

These days, the major applications of 3D machines guidance can be found in the construction and mining industries for the guidance of dozers, rollers, graders, excavators and tractors. As mentioned earlier, the ATS, RTS have been in the market since 1990s and yet still a little information's available about their real time operations.

It has been pointed out by *Retscher*, (2002) that for the guidance of road and paving machine, high precision requirement for the height components are still very challenging for the 3D machine guidance systems. In order to achieve this level of precision and replace conventional labour intensive in this type of application, present 3D systems require further improvement.

There is a limitation of ATS when carrying out measurements. The ATS does not point direct to the centre of the prism. This could be one of the causes of the errors during field operations.

Approximately 94% of the measured value tests have passed the manufactures specification for ATS total stations and 91% for the Trimble GPS. The accuracies achieved by ATS5600 Total station and GPS would comply with the majority of construction accuracy requirements. The reliability of the instruments is also good under these conditions with only 10 percent falling outside the manufactured specifications.

Chapter 5 presents various recommendations regarding possible future direction for ongoing research into the effect of latency when using machine guidance. The methodology developed in this dissertation required for future continuation of further research to investigate the effect of latencies when using machines guidance.

In conclusion, the author believes that the latency caused by distance time measurements in ATS is the most critical factor associated with an ATS performance in terms of accuracy and reliability.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Campbell, J, Carney, C., Kantowiz, B., 1998, Human Factors Design Guidelines For Advanced Traveler Information Systems (Atis) And Commercial Vehicle Operations (Cvo), Vehicle Location Accuracy, Battelle Human Factors Transportation Centre 4000 NE 41<sup>st</sup> Street Seattle, WA. [online], Available from: <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/tfhrc/safety/pubs/atis/ch05/ch05\_10.html</u> [Viewed May 01, 2010]

Gibbings P., O'Dempsey A., 2005, using GPS Asset Mapping Software for Hydrographic Measurements in Still Water, Journal of the Spatial Sciences Institute (QLD).

Trimble Navigation, 2010, Trimble Virtual Reference Station (VRS) System (data sheet), Available from: http://trimble.com/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-11465/VRS\_spec\_sheet.pdf

www.trimble.com(ATS\_DS\_022482112B\_09021.pdf -Trimble 5600 Series User Guide (SECURED) [Viewed May 10, 2010]

Geodimeter (2003), Robotic Total Station and Sensor for Machine Control " One Instrument-Countless Applications", Technical Notes, Danderyd, SWEDEN. Available: <u>http://www.spectraprecision.com</u> [Viewed May 12, 2010] Gomac (2002): Stringless Guidance System. Technical Notes, Gomaco corporation, Ida Grove, Iowa, USA. Available: <u>http://www.gomaco.com/Resources/Leica.html</u> [Viewed May 12, 2010]

Gunter Retscher (1995), Three dimensional Surveying of a Railway track using a multi sensor system and optional linear estimation methods. Vienna University of Technology.

Gunter Retscher (2000), Trajectory Determination for Machine Guidance Systems. Papers presented at the KIS2001 Symposium, 5-9<sup>th</sup> June 2001, Banff, Canada. Available: <u>http://info.tuwien.ac.at/ingeo/pub/papers/kis.pdf</u> [Viewed May 13, 2010]

Iveta Ceryova, Patrick Kubanka, Dr. Alojz Kopaeik and Peter Kyrinovie (2002), *Dynamic Tests of Robotic Stations*. Paper presented at International Congress, 19-26 April 2002, Washington, D.C. USA.

*Manufacturer RTK Equipment Specifications and GPS notes.* Department of spatial science, Curtin University.

http://spatial.curtin.edu.au/research/ [Viewed April 10, 2010]

University of Southern Queensland, 2006, Automated Surveying Systems, University of Southern Queensland, Australia

## **APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A; Project Specification** 

## **University of Southern Queensland**

## FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING

## ENG 4111/4112 Research Project

## **PROJECT SPECIFICATION**

FOR:	Said Kiongoli
I OIN.	Sala Inongon

TOPIC: TESTING THE ACCURACY OF MACHINE GUIDANCE IN ROAD CONSTRUCTION.

- SUPERVISOR: Mr Shane Simmons
- SPONSORSHIP: Multi Surveying Company
- PROJECT AIM: The aim of this project is to test the accuracy and reliability of Machine Guidance when used in road construction.

### PROGRAMME: (Issue A, 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2010)

1. Research the background information in relation to Machine Guidance

- 2. Review existing history concerned Real time and manual guidance systems.
- 3. Establish and conduct a series of testing under various conditions.

3. Undertaking analysis of test results and determining the final accuracies of machine guidance systems.

6. Conclusion and submit an academic dissertation on the research.

### As time permits:

7. Research a better system (Machine Guidance) that will reduce the errors in road construction industry.

AGREED:

Said Kiongoli (Student)	·,	(Supervisors)
-------------------------	----	---------------

23/03/2010	/	′ ,	/	/	/ /	/

Examiner/Co-examiner:

APPENDIX B; Safe Work Method Statement and Risk Assessment

Assessment	ates a
Risk	A Co
and	dura a
Statement	Droce
thod	Rick
ork Met	Hazards
Safe W	ossible

Risk anking Post Control)	C	C	C	U
Who insures it happens	Driver (Me)	Driver (Me)	Spatial scientist (me)	Spatial 3 scientist (me)
Procedure and Controls	<ul> <li>Comply with road rules</li> <li>Comply with company drugs and Alcohol policy</li> <li>Check vehicle oil and water regularly</li> <li>Vehicle maintained regularly</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Do not drive across the steep slopes</li> <li>Be aware of vehicle capabilities</li> <li>Engage low gear when travelling down steep grades</li> <li>Follow contractors traffic control directions and speeds</li> <li>Wear seat belts at all times while in the vehicle</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Wear sunglasses and sunscreen</li> <li>Wear neck covers under safety helmets or wide brimmed hats</li> <li>Wear long sleeve shirt and long pants</li> <li>Replace fluids</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If possible avoid the area; otherwise inspect the surface carefully before proceeding with the survey or access.</li> <li>Do not work in areas "tapped off" or otherwise</li> </ul>
Risk Ranking	IA	1A	2A	2A
Possible Hazards	Vehicle accident	Vehicle accident	U/V exposure Heat stress Cancer/skin	Slipping, tripping Falling
Activity	Travelling to and From site	Driving-around site	Working in the sun	Working on slippery unstable surface

1C 3C	
Spatial scientist (me)	
<ul> <li>indicated as unstable.</li> <li>Wear high visibility vests</li> <li>Wear safety boots and helmets</li> <li>Wear dust mask</li> <li>Make the operator aware of your presence</li> <li>Observe safety signs and follow specific direction from contractor</li> <li>Work outside operating range of machine while in operation</li> </ul>	
1B 3A	
-Vehicle impact -Respiratory irritation	
Working near machinery	

## **APPENDIX C; Cross Sections**

	III	==				11
Datum 10	1		+		_	_
design 12010 Control M2AS	12.576 12.576	12.601	12.792	12.903	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000	13.512
FL on Grade	12.576 12.576	12.601	12.792	12.903	13.000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.13000 13.130000000000	13.285
CTB Laver ATS Placed	12.270	12.371	12.490	12.595	12,702	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.822	11.973	12.077	12,107	12.200	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.147 -22.137	-16.637	-12,937	-9.237	-5.737 -3.337 -1.400	9 500

	H			7		11
Datum 10	_	+		+	-	
design 12020 Control M2AS	12.328 12.418 12.478	12.583	12.694	12.805	12.918 132.982 132.882	13,433
FL on Grade	12.358 12.418 12.478	12.583	12.694	12.805	12.918 13.882 13.882 13.882 13.882 13.882	13, 138
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	12.114	12.274	12.369	12.502	12.605	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.739	11.862	11.980	12.069	12.194	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.157 -22.147 -20.147	-16.647	-12.847	-9.247	-5.747 -3.347 -1.400 0.000	3.500

			=			11
Datum 10				_		-
design 12030 Control M2AS	12 218 12 308 12,368	12.473	12 584	12 696	12 801 12.873 13 238 13 238	13,343
FL on Grade	12.248	12,473	12.584	12.696	12.891 22.891 22.891 22.891 20.991 20	13.070
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	11 995 12,064	12,163	12 275	12 382	12 496 12,552	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.624	11.786	11.874	11.964	12.072	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.166 -22.156 -20.156	-16.656	-12.956	-9 256	-5 798 -3.356 -1.460 0.600	3.500

Datum 10	
design 12040 Control M2AS	12,008 12,128 12,464 12,55 12,464 12,55 12,55 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 13,575 14,5755 14,575 14,575 14,575 14,575 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,5755 14,57555 14,57555 14,575555 14,5755555555555555555555555555555555555
FL on Grade	12,127 12,240 12,240 12,246 12,575 12
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	11.879 11.942 12.943 12.152 12.278 12.434
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.981 11.986 11.986 11.943 11.843
Offsets Control W2AS	-24.176 -22.166 -20.166 -16.006 -16.006 -12.066 -9.206 -9.



					=	11
Datum 10		+	+	+		+
design 12060 Control M2AS	11.822 11.912 11.972	12 078	12,169	12,300	12,405 12,405 12,864 12,864	13 011
FL on Grade	11.852 11.912 11.872	12.070	12.189	12.300	12.405	12.683
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	11.606	11 774	11.872	11,993	12,105	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.162	F76.11	11.479	11.574	11,690	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24,194 -22,194 -22,184	-16,694	-12,984	-9.264	-5.784 -3 384 -1.400 0.000	3.500

Detum 10						11
design 12070 Control M2AS	11.668 11.759 11.818	11.923	12.034	12,145	12 258 252 258 255 735 255 735	12 860
FL on Grade	11.008 11.750 11.818	11.923	12.034	12.145	12.250	12.528
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	11.462	11,621	11.723	11.833	11 947	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	11.011	11.225	11.324	11.416	11,533	
Offsets Control W2AS	-24,204	-16.694	-12.394	-9.294	-5 794 -3 394 -1 400 0.000	3.500

				-	L	1
Datum 9						
design 12080 Control M2AS	11,502 11,502 11,652	11 757	11 868	11,960	12.085 12.591 12.591 12.633	12 736
FL on Grade	11.532 11.592 11.652	11.757	11.868	11.980	12.085 12.157 12.217 12.259	12.364
CTB Lever ATS Placed	11 296	11 459	11 560	11,667	11.781	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	10.906	11.050	11,162	11.251	11.354	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.213	-16.703	-13.003	-9.363	-5,803 -3,403 -1,400 0,000	3.500

					-	-
Datum 9					E	_
design 12100 Control M2AS	11 137	11 382	11 503	11 615	11.720 11.782 12.328	12.425
FL on Grade	11.167 11.227 11.287	11.392	11.503	11.615	11.720 11.792 11.882	11,999
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	10,924	11 083	11 192	11 306	11,418	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	10.534	10.683	10.783	10.881	10.395	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.232	-16.722	-13.022	-9 322	-5.822	3,500

	H					11
Datum 9		+	+	+		-
design 12090 Control M2AS	11.325 11.415 11.475	11 581	11 692	11,803	11,908 11,908 12,440 12,440	12 587
FL on Grade	11.355	11.581	11.692	11.603	11.000 11.000 12.050 12.050	12.187
CTB Lever ATS Placed	11 115 11 182	11 283	11 382	11,493	11,605	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	10.726	10.887	10.951	11.069	11.177	
Offsets Control N2AS	-24.223	-16.713	-13,013	-9,313	-5.813 -3.413 -1.400	3.500

	100	10		#		11
Datum 9	=					_
design 12110 Control M2AS	10.930 11.026 11.025	11 193	11 30M	11,415	11.520 11.520 12.185 12.185	12 252
FL on Grade	10.968 11.028 11.088	11,193	11.304	11,415	11.520 11.520 11.653	11.800
CTB Lever ATS Placed	10 733 10 783	10 594	11 005	11,109	11.222	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	10.328	10.492	10.605	10.683	10.794	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.242	-16.732	-13.032	-9.332	-5.832 -9 432 -1 400 0.000	3.500

	um 9 ign 12120 trol M2AS on Grade Lever ATS PLeced Lever GPS Placed sets Control M2AS					14
Datum 9		-	-	+		-
design 12120 Control M2AS	10 727 10 817 10.877	10 982	11 093	11 205	11.36 11.36 11.36 11.36	12 0/0
FL on Grade	10.757 10.817 10.877	10.952	11,093	11.205	11 33 11 33 11 88 11 88	11.590
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	10 518 10.579	10 683	10 784	10 899	11.009	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	10.122	10.276	10.390	10.475	10.594	
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.251	-16.741	-13.041	LVE 8-	-5.841 -3.441 -1.460 0.600	3.500



					1-
Detum 8					
design 12140 Control M2AS	18 200 18 379 19,430	10, 544	10 655	10 766	1 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
FL on Grade	10.319 10.379 10.439	10.544	10.655	10.786	10.871 10.943 11.995 11.152
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	10 051 10, 113	10, 232	10 342	10 461	10 500
UCE Layer GPS Placed	9 <del>6</del> 93 9, 746	9,834	9 328	10.055	10.171
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.270	-10.700	-13.060	-9 360	-5 360 -3,460 -1,460 0,660 0,600

					L
Detum 8		7			
design 121 <b>50</b> Control M2AS	10,000 10,150 10,219	10 324	10 436	10 547	10, 052 10, 724 11, 333 11, 333 11, 335 11, 458
FL on Grade	10.039 10.159 10.219	10.324	10.436	10.547	10.652 10.724 10.828 10.838
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	8.838 8.838 8.838	9.997	10 137	EF2 01	10, 347
UCE Layer OPS Placed	8 473 8,538	9 625	8 726	9 326	9,948
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.200	-16.770	-13.070	-9 370	-5.670 -3.470 0.000 3.500

				-9 379 9 801 10 025 10.327 10 327	
Detum 8	67895 	-	-	-	
design 12160 Control M2AS	9.850 9.940 10.000	10, 105	10 216	10 327	10,500 11,133 11
FL on Grade	9 268 9 948 10.000	10, 105	10.216	10.327	10.432 10.584 10.514
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	9.600 9.670	9.797	9.912	10 025	10 131
UCE Layer GPS Placed	0 300 0 300	8, 398	9 507	9 601	10/ 0
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.269	-10.779	-13.079	876 8-	-5 879 -3,479 -1,400 0,000 3,500



	HI		===		
Detum 7				-	
design 12180 Control M2AS	9.501 9.501 9.501	8.000	9.777	9.888	8.993 10.005 10.005 10.011 10.053
FL on Grade	128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	9,000	8 777	828 6	8 803 10.005 10.178 10.275
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	9.243 9.243	8.308	9.470	9.569	8.873
UCE Layer GPS Placed	8 801 8,858	8.950	9 045	9 155	8 393
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.308	-10.798	-13.098	-9 398	-5 898 -3,408 -1,400 0.000 3.500

	114				
Datum 7		-	+	+	
design 12190 Control M2AS	9.191 9.281 9.341	9.447	9.558	9.669	0.774 0.846 10.366 10.566 10.513
FL on Grade	9 221 9 281	9 447	9.958	9,659	0 774 0 00 0 440 0 00 0 40 10 00 10 00 0 00
CTB Laver ATS Placed	8.945 9.027	9,145	9.255	9.359	9.459
UCE Laver GPS Placed	8 582 9,640	8 761	8,844	8.936	9 043
Offsets Control M2AS	-24 318 -22 308 -20,309	-16 808	-13,106	-9,403	-5 968 -13 568 0.666 0.566 3.566

Datum 7	-		+	+	
design 12200 Control M2AS	8.972 9.062 9.122	9.227	9.338	9.449	0.554 0.6554 10.121 10.163 10.260
FL on Grade	9 002 9 002 9,122	9 227	9.336	9.449	0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 4 0 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7
CTB Laver ATS Placed	8.739 6.610	8.924	9.037	9.144	9.244
UCE Laver GPS Placed	8 371 6,428	8 538	8.618	8.720	8 827
Offsets Control M2AS	-24 328 -22 318 -20,318	-16 818	-13, 118	-9,418	-5 -3 -3 5 -3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5



Datum 6					
design 12220 Control M2AS	8. 733 8. 623 8. 683	8.789	8.899	9.010	9.115 9.187 9.073 073 073 073
FL on Grade	8, <mark>3</mark> 6 8, 5 8, 6 8, 6 8, 6 8, 6 8, 6 8, 6 8, 9 9, 9 9, 9 9, 9 9, 9 9, 9 9, 9 9, 9	0,700	8888	9.010	0,115 0,200,137 0,201,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00
CTB Laver ATS PLaced	6 306 8,3/0	6.466	8 603	8.707	8.802
UCE Laver GPS Placed	7.823	0.693	8.175	8.278	8.384
Offsets Control M2AS	-24.347 -22 337 -20.337	-16,837	19 137	-8.437	-5.937 -3.537 0.000 0.000 3.500

Datum 6						
design 12230 Control M2AS	8.313 8.463 8.463 8.464	8995.8	8.630	8.791	88.8 88.8 88.9 88.9 88.9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	9.533
FL on Gr <del>a</del> de	8 343 8 463 8 464 8 464	8 569	8,680	8 791	8888 <mark>7</mark> 88888 8888	8/1/8
CTB Lover ATS PLoced	8,106 8,161	8 271	8,384	8 493	8 553	
UCE Layer GPS Placed	7.757	7.865	7.964	8.060	8.167	
Offsets Control M2AS	-21 356 -22.346 -20 346	-16 846	-13,146	844.8-	-5.946 -3.546	3 500

			==	1		15
Detum 6						
design 12240 Control M2AS	8.094 9.164 8.244	0.349	8.460	1.75*8	8.676 8.748 9.141 9.183	9.288
FL on Grade	8,124 8,124 8,244	0.349	8 460	8,571	8,676 8,748 8,748 8,813 8,813	8,960
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	7 684 7,942	0.056	8 161	8.271	8.372	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	7.542	7.052	7.738	7.841	0.000	
Offsets Control N2AS	-24.300	-16,056	-13 156	-9.458	-5.938 -5.938 -6.6888 -6.688	3,500

Detum 8	E	==		=	11
	1.1.1				
design 12250 Control M2AS	7.874 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 8	8.241	8.352	0.457 0.5500 0.5500 0.5500 0.5500 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000 0.55000000 0.5500000000	9.043
FL on Gr <del>a</del> de	2777 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	8.241	8 352	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 741
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	7 815 7.721 7.333	7,940	8 049	8 147	
UCE Layer GPS Placed	2.410 1.410 1.410 1.410 1.410	7.523	7.619	7.728	
Offsets Control W2AS	-24 376 -22 476 -22 366 -28 366 -16 366	-13, 166	-9.466	-5.988 -3.988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988 5.1988	3 500

			11		
Detum 5		+	+	_	+
design 12280 Control M2AS	2222 016 22	8.021	8.132	88.33 88.38 88.38 88.38 88.38 8.33 8.33	8.736
FL on Grade	7777 7777 1917 1917 1917 1917 1917 1917	8 021	8,132	8,237 8,3 <b>00</b> 8,375 8,375	8.522
CTB Lever ATS PLeced	2.270 2.440 2.617	1 722	1.829	7,925	
UCE Laver GPS Placed	2-014 2-014 2-193	MOE. 7	7.404	7.506	
Offsets Control M2AS		-13 175	-8.475	-5.975 -3.575 -1.400	3, 500

## APPENDIX C; Trimble ATS5600 Notes

## Trimble ATS

GENERAL TRIMBLE ATS		The second s	
Control Unit (detachable):	33 key Alphanumeric keyboard 4 row illuminated LCD screen with 20 characters per row Standard memory of 5,000 pts Optional memory of 5,000 pts	Data communication interface:	Serial port (RS232 Standard) 9,600 bau Radio modern 4,800 baud Radio range approx. 1,600 m (1 mile) Output 100–500 mW (differs from country to country, depending on local legislation)
Tracklight (built-in):	A blinking guide-light which emits a red, white and a green sector. The white sector represents the measuring beam.	Telescope Magnification: Focusing range: Field of view:	Coaxial 26 X (30 X optional) 1.7 m (5.6 ft) to infinity 2.6 m at 100 m (8.6 ft at 330 ft)
Aiming:	Servo-drive. Endless fine adjustment		
		Illuminated crosshair:	les
Circular level in tribrach: Electronic 2-axis level in	8'/2 mm	Operating temperature:	$-20^{\rm o}C$ to +50°C (–4°F to +122°F)
the LC-display with a resolution of:	6" (2 mgon)	Power Supply:	External rechargeable NiMH batteries 12 V, 3.5–10.5 Ah
		Input voltage:	12-14 VDC
Centering	Optical plummet in tribtach	Power consumption:	4.8 W to 10.8 W
		Instrument including Tracker and built in radio: Tribrach:	7.4 kg (16.5 lbs) 0.7 kg (1.5 lbs)
SURVEYING MODE			
Range*		Measuring time	
One prism:	2,000 m (1.2 miles)	Standard measurement:	3.5 sec
One prism long range mode: Triple prism:	2,800 m (1.7 miles) 2,800 m (1.7 miles)	Fast tracking:	0.4 sec
Triple prism long range mode:	3,900 m (2.4 miles)	Range in Robotic mode*:	Up to 700 m (2,300 ft) depending on type of RMT
Angle Measurement Accuracy (standard deviation based on DIN 18723):	1° (0.3 moon)	Range in Autolock mode*:	Up to 1000 m (3,200 ft) depending on type of RMT
Automatic dual-axis level compensator range:	± 6' (100 mgon)	Shortest search distance:	1.5 m (5 ft)
		Shortest possible range:	0.2 m (0.7 ft)
Angle reading (least count)	out the output of the strength of the	Desiring and an an an an an at	
Anthmetic mean value (D-bar): Standard measurement:	0.1 (0.01 mgon) (nonzontal angle) 1° (0.1 mgon) 2° (0.5 mgon)	Positioning accuracy at 200 m (1 sigma):	<2 mm (0.007 ft)
- may a manage	a state of the sta	Search time (typical):	<10 sec**
Distance Measurement Accuracy	(Same Same) (A B G Same)	Search area:	360° (400 gon), or defined search window
Fast tracking:	±(10 mm +2 ppm) ±(0.03 ft +2 ppm) GaAs diode	Atmospheric correction:	-60 to 195 ppm continuously

Notes: \* Range and accuracy are dependent on atmospheric conditions and background radiation. All specifications refer to the visibility condition "Standard clear" (23 km visibility in overcast or moderate sunlight conditions with no baze). \*\* Dependent on selected search window.

Range to target 571233035:	Up to 700 m (2,300 ft)	Data output	
		Rate:	1–6 Hz selectable
Search time (typical):	<10 sec**	Timing:	± 1 ms
		Latency:	183 ms (including Georadio modem)
Search area:	360° (400 gon), or defined search window		83 ms (Direct RS232 connection)
		Synchronized measurement data:	<5 ms
Shortest range (with 571233035		Accuracy to a target moving at 1 m/s	
target):	15 m (49 ft)	(Standard deviation) ***	
		Horizontal:	± 2 mm + 14 ppm (0.007 ft + 14 ppm)
Maximum acceleration of target on		Vertical:	± 2 mm + 14 ppm (0.007 ft + 14 ppm
short distance		Slope distance:	± 2 mm + 14 ppm (0.007 ft + 14 ppm
Radial acceleration:	9°/s <sup>2</sup> (10 gon/s <sup>2</sup> )		
	omodal Paris - Protonia 🗰 ma da kowani		
Maximum velocity of target			
Radial speed:	23°/s (25 gon/s)		
Axial speed:	6 m/s		

#### Synchronization and Latency

#### Synchronization

Synchonization of data from the angle and distance measurement sensors means that the output data is computed for a single instantaneous location of the moving machine, compared with standard total station instruments that are optimized for static prism measurement. This results in a higher 3D position accuracy for dynamic measurements or machine tracking applications.

#### Latency

The precise position of the machine at any given time is dependent on the age or latency of the positioning data received. If the age of the data is small and specific, the on board application software can compensate for the errors associated with the data age giving a more accurate location of the machine in real time.



## **APPENDIX D:** Trimble GC600 Notes



Trimble System	em Description Machine Applications Positioning Components		Positioning Components	Applications		
TRIMBLE GCS300: SINGLE ELEVATION	Single control system that uses a laser receiver to control the lift of the machine blade	Dozers Graders	Laser Laser receiver Control box	Small housing pads Small building sites Tennis courts Sports fields Finish grading		
TRIMBLE GCS400: DUAL ELEVATION, OR ELEVATION AND BLADE SLOPE CONTROL	LE Dual-control system that controls both the lift and tilt of the machine blade TIROL Laser Controls both the lift and tilt of the machine blade Control box Control box Control box		Medium/large housing pads Medium/large commercial building sites Road construction Sports fields Finish grading Material balancing Rough grading			
TRIMBLE GCS500: CROSS-SLOPE CONTROL	Cross-slope control system designed to be used on motor graders for fine grading work	Graders	2 angle sensors Rotation sensor Control box	Road maintenance Road construction Sports fields Embankments Road ditches		
TRIMBLE GC5600: CROSS-SLOPE AND ELEVATION CONTROL	Highly flexible cross-slope and elevation control system designed for fine grading work	Graders	2 angle sensors Rotation sensor Sonic Tracer or- Laser receiver Control box	Small-to-large housing and building site pads Road construction Highway construction and maintenance Rumways Embankments Road ditches		
TRIMBLE GCS600: GRADE CONTROL SYSTEM FOR EXCAVATORS	Highly flexible system designed for excavation, trenching, grading and profile work	Excavators	Angle sensors Control box	Excavating basements, foundations and footers Flat bottom and simple slope trenching Flat and simple slope grading and embankments Profile excavation and canals or batters		

#### TRIMBLE SONIC TRACERS

The Trimble ST400 Sonic Tracer mounted to the blade of the motor grader uses a physical reference such as curb and gutter, stringline, existing or previous pass as an elevation reference. Using a sonic tracer, the system can match curves and accurately get to grade in fewer passes. This reduces operator fatigue, saves material and reduces the need for grade checkers.



#### TRIMBLE LASER RECEIVERS

The Trimble LR410 Laser Receiver is fully linear and has smooth corrections the full length of the receiver. It is mounted to a mast on the blade and connected to the machine hydraulics to control lift to an accuracy of 3-6 millimeters (0.01 to 0.02 feet). In auto mode, the system uses the LR410 grade information to automatically move the blade up or down to the on grade position.





**Real Time Kinemetic GPS** 

## **APPENDIX E: Digital Terrain Modal (DTM or TIN)**

Tin or DTM created using 12d software

