University of Southern Queensland

Faculty of Health, Engineering & Sciences

### Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks

A dissertation submitted by

Mathew Whitby

In the fulfillment of the requirements of

Course ENG4111 and ENG4112 - Research Project

Towards the degree of

Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) (Civil)

October, 2019

### Abstract

The scope of the dissertation is to identify the hydraulic capacity of the stormwater drainage pipes and if they can accommodate ongoing infill development in two sample catchments in the Bass Coast local government area of Victoria. The research project identifies existing inadequacies of stormwater assets and conversely the areas of the drainage network with additional hydraulic capacity. A hydraulic model has been created in PCSWMM software to examine the capacity of Bass Coast Shire Council's drainage pipes. One model for each study area has been created based on the existing level of development. A second model has then been created assuming the catchment areas are infill developed to their maximum potential. The increase in impervious surfaces results in a higher volume of runoff, increased flow rates and thus greater pressure on the existing drainage network.

This study can assist Council engineers to make more informed decisions around drainage requirements for future infill developments in regional towns. The project has classified individual pipes into a class of drainage network capacity for two sample study areas in Cowes and Inverloch. The resultant capacity classes for the Cowes study area are:

- Critical = 26%
- Near capacity = 30%
- Sufficient capacity = 44%

The capacity classes for the Inverloch study area are:

- Critical = 7%
- Near capacity = 16%
- Sufficient capacity = 77 %

The 'critical' class is the pipes that are already surcharging under the existing level of development; the 'near capacity' class are the pipes that will surcharge if the catchment is developed to the full potential. These results show that while the Inverloch study area is reasonably well equipped for future infill development, the Cowes study area requires onsite detention or pipe upsizing to accommodate the growth.

Despite the results of under-capacity pipes, most of the surcharging is found to be contained within the stormwater pits and thus flooding is minimal. All infill development in Bass Coast is currently required to manage the increase in stormwater runoff via onsite detention systems. This study has found that a 'one size fits all' approach to stormwater management is overly conservative for infill development and some areas may not require flow restriction. A risk assessment should be undertaken however before Council allows infill development to proceed without either onsite detention or upsizing of the pipes in the existing drainage network.

University of Southern Queensland Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences ENG4111 & ENG4112 Research Project

# Limitations of Use

The Council of the University of Southern Queensland, its Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences, and the staff of the University of Southern Queensland, do not accept any responsibility for the truth, accuracy or completeness of material contained within or associated with this dissertation.

Persons using all or any part of this material do so at their own risk, and not at the risk of the Council of the University of Southern Queensland, its Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences or the staff of the University of Southern Queensland.

This dissertation reports an educational exercise and has no purpose or validity beyond this exercise. The sole purpose of the course pair entitles "Research Project" is to contribute to the overall education within the student's chosen degree program. This document, the associated hardware, software, drawings, and any other material set out in the associated appendices should not be used for any other purpose: if they are so used, it is entirely at the risk of the user.

# Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

Mathew Whitby

Student Number:

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my project supervisor, Dr Rezaul Chowdhury for sharing his expertise, guidance and valuable advice throughout this year. I would also like to extend my thanks to Bass Coast Shire Council for their support and allowing me access to the data required to complete this project. I would like to pay particular gratitude to my co-ordinator Mr Angus Cameron for his encouragement in selecting the topic and the ongoing positive reinforcement.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank my family and friends for all your patience and understanding over the last eight years. I would not have been able to complete this engineering degree without your love and support.

# Contents

Abstracti					
Limitations of Useii					
Certifi	cation of	Dissertationiii			
Ackno	Acknowledgementsiv				
List of	f Figures	vii			
List of	f Tables				
Abbreviations and Acronymsix					
1.0	Introduc	tion1			
1.1	1.1 Background – Bass Coast Shire 1				
1.	1.1.1 Flooding in Bass Coast				
1.	.1.2 F	Riverine Flooding & Coastal Inundation2			
1.	.1.3 I	localised Flooding			
1.	.1.4 F	Regional Town vs City environment4			
1.2	The R	esearch Problem			
1.3	Resea	rch Aims and Objectives4			
2.0	Literatur	re Review			
2.1	Introd	uction6			
2.2	Austra	alian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines6			
2.3	Infras	tructure Design Manual for Victoria7			
2.4	The E	ffects of Infill Development9			
2.5	Green	Infrastructure & WSUD			
2.6	Onsite	e Detention Systems			
2.7	The R	egional Town Dynamic14			
2.8	Bass (	Coast Planning Scheme14			
3.0	Resource	es16			
3.1	1 BCSC GIS Data				
3.2	Hardware & Software				
4.0	4.0 Study Areas				
4.1	Cowes17				
4.2	2 Inverloch				
5.0	Methodology				
5.1	Outline of Methodology23				
5.2	2 Risk Assessment				
5.3	Rainfall Data				

5.4	Subcatchment Properties				
5.	5.4.1 Existing Level of Development				
5.4.2 Maximum Level of Development					
5.	5.4.3 Other subcatchment inputs				
6.0	0 Cowes Results				
6.1	1 Existing Criticality				
6.2	2 Future Criticality				
6.3	Cowes Results Summary46				
6.4	Recommended upgrades				
7.0	Inverloch Results				
7.1	Existing Criticality				
7.2	Future Criticality				
7.3	Inverloch Results Summary	51			
7.4	.4 Recommended Upgrades				
8.0	Results Summary				
8.1	Runoff Coefficients				
8.2	Onsite Detention or Pipe Upgrades				
8.3	Limitations of research				
9.0	Conclusion				
List of	References				
Appendix A: Project Specification					
Appendix B: Project Plan Gantt Chart					
Appendix C: Risk Assessment – Field Trips					
Appendix D: Cowes existing subcatchment data					
Appendix E: Inverloch existing subcatchment data					
Appendix F: Cowes existing PCSWMM results					
Appendix G: Cowes max development PCSWMM results					
Appendix H: Inverloch existing PCSWMM results					
Appendix I: Inverloch max development PCSWMM results					

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Map of Bass Coast Shire – bordered in red (Google Maps 2019)	1
Figure 1.2: Flooding at Wonthaggi Surf Life Saving Club (Paterson 2019a)	2
Figure 1.3: Localised flooding at Cape Paterson (Paterson 2019b)	3
Figure 2.1: Minor conveyance network concept (AR&R 2016)	8
Figure 2.2: Major conveyance network concept (AR&R 2016)	9
Figure 2.3: Difference between the natural and urban environment (Melbourne Water 2017)	10
Figure 2.4: Leafy street in Toorak (Realestate.com.au n.d.)	12
Figure 4.1: Cowes study area outlined by red polygon (BCSC 2018)	17
Figure 4.2: Cowes study area – definition of catchment boundary (BCSC 2018)	18
Figure 4.3: Cowes study area outfall (Whitby 2019a)	19
Figure 4.4: Flow path for Cowes study area outfall (Whitby 2019b)	20
Figure 4.5: Inverloch study area outlined by red polygon (BCSC 2018)	22
Figure 5.1: Temporal pattern for 30 minute storm	25
Figure 5.2: Temporal pattern for 45 minute storm	26
Figure 5.3: Temporal pattern for 60 minute storm	27
Figure 5.4: Temporal pattern for 90 minute storm	28
Figure 5.5: Temporal pattern for 120 minute storm	29
Figure 5.6: Alternative temporal pattern for 120 minute storm	30
Figure 5.7: Temporal pattern for 180 minute storm	31
Figure 5.8: Alternative temporal pattern for 180 minute storm	32
Figure 5.9: Temporal pattern for 6 hour storm	33
Figure 5.10: Temporal pattern for 4 day storm	34
Figure 5.11: Intramaps measuring tool	37
Figure 5.12: Subcatchment inputs	39
Figure 6.1: PCSWMM model of the Cowes study area	41
Figure 6.2: Peak flow comparison	42
Figure 6.3: Cowes pipe capacity 'critical' chart from PCSWMM	43
Figure 6.4: Cowes pipe capacity 'near capacity' chart from PCSWMM	44
Figure 6.5: Cowes pipe capacity summary	46
Figure 7.1: PCSWMM model of the Inverloch study area	48
Figure 7.2: Inverloch pipe capacity 'near capacity' chart from PCSWMM	49
Figure 7.3: Inverloch pipe capacity summary	52

# List of Tables

Table 2-1: Comparison between 1987 and 2016 IFD data for Wonthaggi (BOM 2016)	7
Table 2-2: Minor drainage system design parameters (LGIDA 2019, p. 104)	
Table 2-3: Stormwater Quality Targets (CSIRO 1999, p. 15)	15
Table 5-1: Cowes IFD values (BOM 2016)	
Table 5-2: Runoff coefficients for surface type	
Table 5-3: Runoff coefficients for land zones	
Table 5-4: Intramaps measuring tool errors	
Table 6-1: Under capacity pipe gradients in Cowes	

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

- AEP Annual Exceedance Probability
- AHD Australian Height Datum
- ARI Average Recurrence Interval
- AR&R Australian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines
- BOM Bureau of Meteorology
- BCSC Bass Coast Shire Council
- CHI Computational Hydraulics International
- CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
- EPA Environmental Protection Agency
- GRZ General Residential Zone
- GIS Geographic Information System
- IFD Intensity-Frequency-Duration
- LGIDA Local Government Infrastructure Design Association
- LSIO Land Subject to Inundation Overlay
- IDM Infrastructure Design Manual
- PCSWMM Personalised Computer Stormwater Management Model
- PSD Permissible site discharge
- PVC Polyvinyl Chloride
- RCP Reinforced Concrete Pipe
- TSS Total Suspended Solids
- VPO Vegetation Protection Overlay
- WSUD Water Sensitive Urban Design

## 1.0 Introduction

### **1.1 Background – Bass Coast Shire**

Bass Coast Shire Council (BCSC) is a local government municipality in south-east Victoria. The Shire is primarily administered out of its head office in Wonthaggi, located approximately 140 kilometres or 1.5 hours south-east of the Melbourne CBD. The Shire boundary is outlined in red in Figure 1.1. The most notable towns in the Shire includes Cowes, San Remo, Wonthaggi, Inverloch and Cape Paterson.



Figure 1.1: Map of Bass Coast Shire – bordered in red (Google Maps 2019)

The Shire is one of the fasting growing regions in Victoria (BCSC, 2019). Much of the development to accommodate this growth is occurring within existing town limits by way of small subdivisions and multi-dwelling developments. This type of development is often termed 'infill development'. Infill development places pressure on existing infrastructure and services, such as the drainage network. The purpose of this research project is to examine the impact infill development is having on drainage infrastructure in regional areas, such as Bass Coast.

The Bass Coast Shire includes the popular holiday destination of Phillip Island. Phillip Island is home to many natural and man-made attractions, such as the Penguin Parade and The Australian Motorcycle Grand Prix. The region is also known for the coastal lifestyle, surf beaches and proximity to Melbourne. These things make the island very attractive to tourists, holiday-makers, retirees and families. It also makes the region very attractive to developers, proven by the increasing scale of development in Bass Coast.

#### **1.1.1 Flooding in Bass Coast**

Wonthaggi receives a relatively high average annual rainfall of 938 millimetres (Bureau of Meteorology 2019). The flat topography of the coastal regions in this area experience many flooding issues in wetter times due to a combination of both the topography and the high rainfall. Winter is typically much wetter than summer in Bass Coast, however intense storms can occur a any time of year. Figure 1.2 shows the flooding as a result of an intense storm in May 2019.



Figure 1.2: Flooding at Wonthaggi Surf Life Saving Club (Paterson 2019a)

#### 1.1.2 Riverine Flooding & Coastal Inundation

The two most notable river systems in the Bass Coast Shire include the Powlett River and the Bass River. Neither river system runs through a major town and therefore the risk of riverine flooding in Bass Coast is of little consequence to the community. Coastal inundation is of much

greater concern for Bass Coast residents, particularly on Phillip Island. The town Silverleaves is predominantly below 3.0 metres Australian Height Datum (AHD) which is of significant concern for coastal inundation. Some residential blocks in Silverleaves are situated below 2.0 metres AHD. BCSC has a flooding overlay map in the Planning Scheme known as the Land Subject to Inundation Overlay (LSIO). This is used to inform decision making for those low-lying areas prone to riverine flooding and coastal inundation. The LSIO is the extent of flooding expected for a 1% AEP flood event.

#### 1.1.3 Localised Flooding

The prevalence of localised flooding is of much greater concern for the Bass Coast community. The winter months are typically much wetter for the region (Bureau of Meteorology 2019) and this is usually when the towns in Bass Coast experience localised flooding problems. Figure 1.3 shows the localised flooding issues experienced in Cape Paterson due to a sever hailstorm in May 2019. The seaside town in Bass Coast, Cape Paterson, did not have adequate drainage infrastructure to convey the storm. This resulted in inundation experienced at several properties in Cape Paterson, including the Wonthaggi Surf life Saving Club as seen in Figure 1.2.

BCSC employ onsite detention systems in an effort to prevent localised flooding. These detention systems are a means of restricting flows from new developments to pre-development levels. Onsite detention systems are described in more detail in the literature review.



Figure 1.3: Localised flooding at Cape Paterson (Paterson 2019b)

#### 1.1.4 Regional Town vs City environment

There are many differences between the urban environment in Australia's regional towns to the capital cities. Much of the literature surrounding the study of stormwater and runoff is based in our largest population centres. This is evident from the literature review in Chapter 2. Although the built-up areas in a small town such as Wonthaggi are generally classified as 'urban', the environment in this small town is vastly different to the urban environment of a city such as Melbourne. The density of the city's urban areas is much greater to that in a small town with a relatively humble population of around five thousand people. It is a reasonable assumption that the engineers in Melbourne designed the infrastructure in the city for a relatively dense level of development. One of the assumptions that lead to this research was that drainage networks in the city are more likely to be designed for a denser development level compared to the regional country towns in Victoria.

#### **1.2 The Research Problem**

The capacity of the existing drainage network in the older areas of the townships in Bass Coast is largely unknown. There are several locations throughout the shire where localised flooding issues are common. There is often no record of the design computations for the drainage assets in these areas. It is believed that the hydraulic capacity of the drains in these areas will not be designed for the intensity of development which is permissible under current planning legislation. The pipes were likely designed for the large 'lifestyle' blocks typical of country towns. Small houses, large blocks with a big backyard. Historically, this was the norm in small country towns.

However, in modern times, things have changed. Geoff Sawyer has been an engineer in the region for 42 years. He says there has been a shift towards urban consolidation (2019 pers. comm., 11 April). Larger houses are being built on smaller blocks. The older 'lifestyle' blocks are also being subdivided into the minimum allowable size. In Bass Coast, multi-dwelling developments are also common where there is a small courtyard with no backyard or lawn. In these cases, the ratio of impervious to pervious surfaces has increased significantly which also increases rainfall runoff. It is unlikely the drainage pipes in these old streets will be designed to cope with such a high level of runoff. This is the design problem for which this research is based.

#### **1.3 Research Aims and Objectives**

The scope of this research is to identify the hydraulic capacity of the drainage pipes in two sample catchments. The research project endeavours to identify existing inadequacies of stormwater assets and conversely the areas of the drainage network with additional hydraulic capacity. This will assist Council officers to make more informed decisions around drainage requirements for future developments. The aim of the project is to separate individual pipes into a class of drainage network capacity to incorporate into BCSCs GIS database. The following levels of capacity are proposed:

- Critical
- Near capacity
- Sufficient capacity

The outcome of the research is to incorporate the drainage capacity classes into the Council's GIS software, and ultimately the local Planning Scheme. This information is intended to be freely available to both Council and developers, to ensure drainage of future infill development is well managed. The intention is to help Council engineers ensure stormwater assets are upgraded appropriately to service the increasingly dense urban environment. If this cannot be accommodated, identify where other controls can be implemented to ensure flooding will not occur from new development.

The following list is a summary of the objectives/outcomes of this research:

- Identification of both existing and future capacity issues in BCSC drainage infrastructure.
- Mapping of critical, near-capacity and sufficient capacity stormwater infrastructure.
- Propose options to BCSC to manage increased stormwater runoff from future infill development.

### 2.0 Literature Review

#### 2.1 Introduction

This chapter will review literature relating to the study of hydraulic capacity in urban drainage networks.

#### 2.2 Australian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines

The Australian Rainfall and Runoff Guidelines (AR&R) were updated in 2016. This was the first significant revision since 1987. Subtitled 'A Guide to Flood Estimation', the guidelines are intended to be used by engineers, politicians and others to aid drainage design and the estimation of flood events throughout Australia. Previously, the 1987 version had been influential in policy decision and projects in Australia (Ball et al. 2016). The guidelines developed by Ball et al. are a nationally accepted reference for projects, including:

- infrastructure such as roads, rail, airports, bridges, dams, stormwater and sewer systems;
- town planning;
- mining;
- developing flood management plans for urban and rural communities;
- flood warnings and flood emergency management;
- operation of regulated river systems; and
- prediction of extreme flood levels.

Book 9 of AR&R (2016) is titled 'Runoff in Urban Areas'. The information in this book is valuable for studying effects of rainfall events specific to urban environments. This dissertation will employ AR&R (2016) and the recommended practices relevant to town planning.

One of the key updates to AR&R 2016 is the new intensity-frequency-duration (IFD) rainfall data, freely available from the BOM website. The updated IFD data has a varied effect depending on your study area. In many regions, the updated data results in more intense rainfall events. This is largely the case for Wonthaggi. Table 3.1 shows the updated IFD data in 2016 compared with the old 1987 IFD data. The minor drainage systems (pits and pipes) for residential areas in Victoria are now designed for 20% AEP storm events (LGIDA 2019, p. 104). In the past, the minor drainage systems were designed for the 5 year ARI storm event. It is worth noting that the 20% AEP does not correspond perfectly to the 5 year ARI. Rather it corresponds to the 4.48 ARI (BOM 2016). Table 2.1 therefore compares the rainfall intensities for each storm event, which is applicable to the design of the hydraulic capacity in minor drainage systems.

	Rainfall intensity (mm/h)	
<b>Duration</b> (mins)	1987 IFD data	2016 IFD data
	(5 Year ARI)	(20% AEP)
5 mins	81.0	85.9
6 mins	75.5	79.7
10 mins	60.6	63.1
20 mins	42.6	44.0
30 mins	33.9	34.9
1 hour	22.4	22.8
2 hours	14.6	14.6
3 hours	11.4	11.1
6 hours	7.41	6.98
12 hours	4.81	4.35
24 hours	3.09	2.71
48 hours	1.92	1.67
72 hours	1.42	1.25

Table 2-1: Comparison between 1987 and 2016 IFD data for Wonthaggi (BOM 2016)

What is evident from Table 2.1 is that the shorter rainfall events are more intense for the 2016 IFD data. This is applicable for rainfall events up to 2 hours in duration for a 20% AEP storm event.

For this research project, all hydraulic modelling is conducted using the updated 2016 IFD data. Given the 1987 data predicted less intense rainfall events, it is anticipated that this will influence the results of the study.

#### 2.3 Infrastructure Design Manual for Victoria

The Infrastructure Design Manual (IDM) is a technical guide developed by several regional Councils in Victoria. These Councils have banded together to form the Local Government Infrastructure Design Association (LGIDA) who own and maintain the IDM. The aim of the document is to work towards consistent requirements and standards for the design and construction of infrastructure (LGIDA 2019).

The IDM states that the design of drainage systems should follow the minor/major storm approach as outlined in AR&R 2016. That being, the minor drainage system should have sufficient hydraulic capacity to convey the runoff from a 20% AEP rainfall event whereas the major drainage system should have sufficient hydraulic capacity to convey a 1% AEP rainfall event. The minor drainage system includes the underground infrastructure such as the pits and pipes, while the major drainage system comprises the overland surcharge routes such as roads and channels.

According to the IDM, the minor drainage system should be designed with a hydraulic capacity in accordance with Table 2.2.

Location of Drainage System	Design Storm
Urban residential areas	20% AEP
Commercial centres of 10 shops or less	10% AEP
Industrial areas or where surcharge would	10% AEP
seriously affect private property	
Drainage through private industrial property	5% AEP
Commercial Areas	5% AEP

Table 2-2: Minor drainage system design parameters (LGIDA 2019, p. 104)

As can be seen from Table 2.2, the IDM requires infrastructure in commercial and industrial precincts to be designed for larger storm events. No justification for this is provided in the IDM.

The intention is for the minor drainage system to be designed to convey the flows in the pits, pipes and kerb and channel. This represented diagrammatically in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Minor conveyance network concept (AR&R 2016)

Figure 2.1 shows the pits and pipes flowing full, as well as the kerb and channel, without disrupting traffic flow within the carriageway. Conversely, the major drainage system is intended to convey the flows above ground in accordance with Figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2: Major conveyance network concept (AR&R 2016)

Figure 2.2 shows the pits and pipes are full and surcharging out to the road. The water is conveyed overland with minimal depth and velocity which should ensure safe vehicle and pedestrian movement. Good design will ensure overland flow paths are available to divert water around dwellings to avoid inundation.

#### 2.4 The Effects of Infill Development

Regarding infill development, the effects on the hydraulic capacity is not as well documented as the effects on stormwater quality. Paule-Mercado et al. (2018) studied the effects of land use and land cover on urban drainage. Their paper however focussed on the effects on stormwater quality for cities in South Korea. Personal Computer Stormwater Management Model (PCSWMM) software was used by Paule-Mercado et al. (2018) to study the effects of urbanisation on runoff in terms of pollutant concentration. The study areas for the paper were newly subdivided residential land. These were greenfield sites where the existing use consisted of agricultural, natural forest or grassland. Figure 2.3 is an accurate representation of the type of urbanisation at the centre of that study.



Figure 2.3: Difference between the natural and urban environment (Melbourne Water 2017)

Unsurprisingly, the results showed a negative consequence on the urban runoff with higher pollutant loadings such as total suspended solids (TSS). The paper certainly recognised the need for stormwater quality treatment in urban environments. What Paule-Mercado et al. (2018) did not address was infill development and the implications on the hydraulic capacity of the city's network assets.

Jacob and Lopez (2009) set about to compare the difference between infill development and urban sprawl regarding stormwater quality and runoff volumes. They discovered that infill development is an effective way of catering for population growth. Their results showed that the nutrient loadings and total runoff per hectare where greater for the denser development however were lower per capita. Jacob and Lopez (2009) concluded:

'Because higher density is associated with vibrant urban life, building a better city may be the best BMP to mitigate the water quality damage that will accompany the massive urban growth expected for the next several decades.'

Although this quote is referring to urban growth in America, it is of equal relevance to the Australian population. BCSC is heading towards a denser future due to the definition of town boundaries for every urban centre in the Shire. The Council Plan (BCSC 2017) states that following are among their 'areas of highest priority':

- the maintenance of town boundaries
- to protect native vegetation and rural land

The Council Plan is backed up by the Community Plan 'Towards 2030' which lists minimising subdivision of precious rural land as an objective. These priorities suggest that infill development is encouraged by BCSC and reaffirms the need for research around the capacity of existing assets.

Total phosphorous, total nitrogen and total suspended solids were all found in higher concentrations (Jacob & Lopez 2009) which raises questions about suitable treatment methods and the space provision for these in dense urban environments. The research also suggests that the total runoff decreases markedly with density. Although, this makes no guarantees that existing drainage assets will be able to cope with the increased runoff. It must be noted that this dissertation does not intend to study the effects of infill development on stormwater quality which is certainly a limitation of the research.

What Jacob and Lopez (2009) did not study was if the existing drainage networks could cope with additional infill development and the associated increase in impervious surfaces. Another key difference from this dissertation is that Jacob and Lopez (2009) conducted their research in American cities. Their 'per capita' findings regarding infill development were likely to include high-rise apartments that is not found in regional towns. Thus, a much greater population could be accommodated for with a relatively similar level of impervious surfaces. A multi-dwelling development in Bass Coast Shire may house 5-10 people whereas the multi-dwelling developments in the cities can potentially house hundreds. The results of the aforementioned study are therefore less applicable to regional areas.

One of the major advantages of new development on greenfield sites is the space and capacity to design new infrastructure to today's standards. This includes sufficient hydraulic capacity in the pipes and appropriate treatment infrastructure. The unknown with infill development is the capacity of the existing network. There are ways of mitigating the effects of more runoff, such as green infrastructure and onsite detention systems. These are discussed in more detail in Sections 2.5 and 2.6 respectively.

### 2.5 Green Infrastructure & WSUD

Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is an approach to planning and designing urban areas make use of stormwater and reduce the harm it causes to our waterways (Melbourne Water 2017). Green infrastructure can be defined as water management paraphernalia that protects, restores, or mimics the natural water cycle (EPA 2018). Essentially, WSUD is a stormwater best practice ideology which makes use of green infrastructure. Examples of green infrastructure commonly used for water management includes (but not limited to):

- Rain gardens,
- Planter boxes,
- Bioswales and wetlands,
- Permeable pavements,
- Green roofs, and
- Street trees.

Eckart, McPhee and Bolisetti (2018) discovered green infrastructure in the form of low impact development controls were useful in reducing the peak runoff flows from urban catchments. Their study was conducted in the densely populated city of Windsor, Canada.

Berland et al. (2017) studied the many benefits of trees as a green infrastructure measure in urban design. The paper explains the reduced stormwater runoff from canopy interception loss, transpiration, improved infiltration and possible benefits with regard to deeper percolation along root channels and water table management. According to the United States EPA (2018), many cities have set tree canopy goals to restore some of the benefits of trees that were lost when the areas were developed. The urban tree canopy can reduce and slow stormwater runoff by intercepting precipitation in their leaves and branches. Figure 2.4 illustrates a dense canopy in the Melbourne suburb of Toorak. It is evident from this photograph that a high level of interception loss would occur in a rainfall event.



Figure 2.4: Leafy street in Toorak (Realestate.com.au n.d.)

BCSC has recognised the importance to incorporate trees into new subdivisions by including a standard permit condition for advanced street trees to be planted every 10-12 metres in residential areas. It is unlikely that these will have much of an effect on stormwater runoff in the short term, however the benefits will be there for future generations.

From the available literature, it is evident that green infrastructure has an important role to play in water management. There is doubt however that certain green infrastructure such as rain gardens are entirely suitable for the urban residential developments in regional towns. BCSC has found rain gardens to be a substantial maintenance burden. Build-up of silt and particulates in these systems require regular cleaning to ensure their effective operation. BCSC engineers are not confident that residents maintain their rain gardens to an appropriate standard to ensure their effective operation. Infiltration methods are also unsuitable in many environments close to the coastline at Bass Coast. There are numerous developments on the foreshore of coastal settlements, in Bass Coast Shire, where the ground level is at or below 2.0 m AHD. The groundwater for these settlements is that close to the surface that any infiltration methods are ineffective.

#### 2.6 Onsite Detention Systems

Onsite detention systems have been developed as a method of retarding peak flows during a rainfall event. The use of onsite detention as a means of restricting flows is common for new developments. The objective is to ensure that runoff from new development sites will not exceed the capacity of the existing drainage system (LGIDA 2019). A quote from the IDM describes why we need onsite detention systems:

'To ensure that the capacity of existing drainage infrastructure is not exceeded as a result of developments that increase the volumes and peak rates of stormwater runoff beyond the capacities for which the infrastructure was originally designed.'

They do this by limiting the flow rate off the development site to a flow rate equal to what was running off the site prior to the development. Put more simply, they limit the post-development flow to the pre-development flow.

Onsite detention systems should ensure that existing minor drainage networks continue to meet current needs and expectations as more intensive development takes place (LGIDA 2019). The sizing of detention systems should therefore be for a 20% AEP storm event.

To achieve the flow restriction, large detention capacities are required with the outflow restricted by a small orifice. According to Laurie Gervasi of BCSC (2019, pers. comm., 9 April), one of the major concerns with these designs is orifice blockages. It does not take much silt and leaf litter to block small orifices, especially in pits. BCSC request developers provide an overflow in orifice pits and tanks to ensure the properties will effectively drain in the case of orifice blockages. Once the orifice is blocked and the flow bypasses to the overflow, the detention system becomes ineffective. It is therefore essential that detention systems are maintained to ensure they are operating as intended by the designer.

According to BCSCs engineers, it is difficult to enforce maintenance responsibility with privately owned detention systems. Clause 19.3.5.2 of the IDM suggests that Councils in Victoria may enter a Section 173 Agreement under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The agreement is registered on the land title and places a restriction on that land. Typically, the agreement will state that the owner of the land will maintain and not modify the onsite detention system in accordance with the approved plans. The agreement will usually provide for Council inspection of the onsite detention system. Resourcing the Council inspection is not always possible. BCSC do not currently have the resources available to enforce the maintenance of private detention systems. BCSC has also been criticised for not having enough resources to maintain their own drainage assets, let alone maintaining private assets.

This research endeavours to locate the areas of the drainage networks where the hydraulic capacity is 'critical'. This will enable Council officers to enter Section 173 agreements with developers in these areas to ensure localised flooding does not increase for a 20% AEP storm event.

### 2.7 The Regional Town Dynamic

Understandably, the majority of research in this field has been conducted in large city environments. One assumption is that these large centres are much better resourced than regional areas such as Bass Coast. This can be attributed to the population per hectare. A larger rate base contributes to a greater pool of resources for local governments. The permanent population for the Bass Coast Shire is only 32,000 (Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions 2019).

BCSC engineers are aware of many gaps in the drainage network which contribute to localised flooding issues. In other words, there are urban areas which are not serviced by underground drainage. The apparent lack of resources at the disposal of engineers means the issues remain unaddressed indefinitely. This is an issue that is assumed to be less prevalent in the city environments and may explain the lack of literature regarding the hydraulic capacity of drainage networks in regional towns.

#### 2.8 Bass Coast Planning Scheme

The Bass Coast Planning Scheme is a document of reference for Council's town planners and engineers. Clause 22.01 of the Planning Scheme is the Stormwater Management Policy. The Policy recognises stormwater drainage as a concern in urban areas where there is inadequate street drainage infrastructure (BCSC 2019).

The following objectives of the Stormwater Management Policy are listed in Clause 22.01-2 of the Bass Coast Planning Scheme (BCSC 2019, p. 256):

- 1. To incorporate stormwater management considerations in the decision making for the use and development of land.
- 2. To maintain and enhance stormwater quality introduced to the drainage and waterway environment of the Shire.
- 3. To address priority stormwater threats facing the urban and non-urban areas of the Shire as documented in the Bass Coast Stormwater Management Plan (2003).
- 4. To promote and improve the contribution the drainage system makes in upholding and where possible improving the values of the waterways across the Shire.
- 5. To maintain stormwater flows and discharges at a maximum of the pre-development flow level.
- 6. To maximise the effectiveness of stormwater infrastructure in protecting the waters of Bass Coast Shire.
- 7. To manage flooding and drainage so as to minimise risks to the community and the environment.

The relevance of the third objective is questionable given that the Bass Coast Stormwater Management Plan (2003) is no longer available to the public on the Council's website. All other objectives are to be achieved via the preparation of a stormwater management plan. A stormwater management plan should be submitted for any subdivision or development proposal (BCSC 2019). This dissertation focusses heavily on objectives 5, 6 and 7 by way of researching the hydraulic capacity of the network and subsequently the protection of the waterways and community in Bass Coast.

The Planning Scheme guides developers to comply with the book Urban Stormwater Best Practice Environmental Management Guidelines. This book was developed by the CSIRO (1999) for the Victoria Stormwater Committee. The stormwater quality objectives for all new development in Victoria are listed in Table 2.3.

Suspended Solids	80 per cent retention of the typical
	urban annual load
Total Phosphorus	45 per cent retention of the typical
-	urban annual load
Total Nitrogen	45 per cent retention of the typical
	urban annual load
Litter	70 per cent retention of typical urban
	annual load
Flows	maintain discharges for the 1.5 year
	ARI at pre-development levels

Table 2-3: Stormwater Quality Targets (CSIRO 1999, p. 15)

This dissertation does not intend to delve into designing stormwater treatment train systems, however, these stormwater quality objectives must be considered when designing stormwater systems. Thus, some allowance must be made for the incorporation of stormwater quality treatment in design solutions.

## 3.0 Resources

### 3.1 BCSC GIS Data

This research would not be possible without the GIS data for the Bass Coast Shire. All required GIS information has been supplied from BCSC for the purposes of this research. BCSC use software known as Intramaps, which is a user friendly spatial data portal. The BCSC data in Intramaps enabled the hydraulic model to be constructed relatively quickly and efficiently.

The following is a summary of the GIS data used to complete the project:

- Drainage pipes including diameter, material and length.
- Drainage pits including type, surface level (to AHD) and depth.
- Contour data for defining catchments and subcatchments.
- Cadastre for defining lot sizes and subdivision potential.
- Land zone maps.
- Aerial imagery for defining impervious areas.

Any discrepancies in the data (or missing data) have been confirmed via field visits where possible. Vehicles, survey and measuring equipment has been supplied by BCSC for the purposes of conducting this research. The field visits were made during business hours.

#### 3.2 Hardware & Software

The hydraulic model for each study area is drawn and run in the software package PCSWMM, developed by the company Computational Hydraulics International (CHI). This was achieved using an educational license obtained through USQ. The software was installed on a personal computer (PC). All modelling and reporting was conducted on the PC.

All the GIS data as outlined in Section 3.1 was manually entered into PCSWMM. Additional information required to run the model includes the rainfall data for each study area. This data is freely available on the BOM website. The 2016 AR&R IFD rainfall data is downloaded from the BOM website, and the temporal patterns downloaded from the AR&R data hub website. Together, this information is sufficient to create a storm and run the simulations.

Other necessary software to complete the project includes the Autocad software by Autodesk. This is used for drafting. Illustrations have been used to aid presentation of the results by portraying visual interpretations of the study area. An educational license from Autodesk was used for this project.

Microsoft Office was used for reporting. A copy of Microsoft Office was used, including Word, Excel and PowerPoint, for all necessary reporting and presentations needed to complete the BENH degree. Word has been used to prepare the report and complete the final dissertation. Excel has been used to complete the Project Plan Gantt chart which is attached in Appendix B.

## 4.0 Study Areas

#### 4.1 Cowes

Cowes is located on the northern side of Phillip Island as seen in Figure 1.1 and is the largest township on the island. Most visitors to Phillip Island will visit Cowes as it is the main commercial centre on Phillip Island. There is mix of permanent residences and holiday homes in this town, with a permanent population of approximately 5,000 people (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2016). The Cowes study area is highlighted in red in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1: Cowes study area outlined by red polygon (BCSC 2018)

The aerial photo seen in Figure 4.1 shows the main street of Cowes, Thompson Avenue, in the centre of the figure. Thompson Avenue runs north-south and leads all traffic to the large jetty on the foreshore, which is known locally as the 'jetty triangle'. The chosen study area is not far from the town centre, shown highlighted by a red border in Figure 4.1.

To first identify the complexity of the task, a relatively small catchment has been identified in Cowes. The catchment boundary is shown more clearly in Figure 4.2. The total catchment area has been measured on Intramaps as approximately 4.55 hectares. Due to the study area's proximity to the beach and the main commercial hub of Phillip Island, the real estate here is in high demand. The entire catchment is in a GRZ. There are also many large properties in the catchment with land areas well over 300 m<sup>2</sup> and suitable for subdivision or multi-dwelling developments. The land is therefore considered to have a high potential for infill development. This study area has been selected as it has the potential for further subdivision and multi-dwelling

developments while also containing ageing drainage infrastructure which is not likely to be designed for a dense level of development. There are also several pre-existing developments which have constructed onsite detention systems, which has been Council's drainage response to infill development to date.



Figure 4.2: Cowes study area – definition of catchment boundary (BCSC 2018)

The catchment boundary of this study area has been determined off the 0.5 metre contour data supplied by BCSC. The outfall is situated at the top of Eva Lane in Figure 4.2, in the foreshore reserve adjacent to the beach. The outfall pokes through the sand dunes and runs out to the beach. A photo collected during a site inspection is shown in Figure 4.3. The flow path from this outfall to the beach is shown in Figure 4.4. As can be seen, erosion issues are prevalent through the sand dune ecosystem and this is an important consideration in drainage design. Management of the outfall erosion on the beach is particularly important for this site as the beach is a popular tourist destination.



Figure 4.3: Cowes study area outfall (Whitby 2019a)



Figure 4.4: Flow path for Cowes study area outfall (Whitby 2019b)

It is worth noting that the properties fronting the beach shown in Figure 4.2 are not part of the catchment, as they all drain towards the beach and away from the Council drains in Beach Street. However, the downpipes from these properties are connected to the kerb on Beach Street and therefore the roof areas are included in this analysis.

Below are the catchment properties for the Cowes study area, which have been measured off Intramaps.

Catchment Properties (as calculated in Appendix D):

- Asphalt road pavement, concrete footpaths, driveways and paved areas = 8,533 m<sup>2</sup>
- Roof areas =  $12,357 \text{ m}^2$
- The total impervious area has been measured as  $20,890 \text{ m}^2 \approx 2.09 \text{ ha}$

- The total catchment area has been measured to be  $45,510 \text{ m}^2 \approx 4.55 \text{ ha}$
- Soil infiltration = Very high, predominantly sand 46 432 mm/hr as per percolation test results from a nearby development. (Obtained from BCSC).

Therefore, the level of existing development in the Cowes study area is approximated at 46 % impervious area. Based on these measurements, the scope for infill development is evident.

The drainage assets in the Cowes study area have also been identified, based on the GIS data supplied by BCSC. These assets are listed below.

Drainage assets:

- 23 Council drainage pipes with a combined total length of 713 m
- 17 side entry pits
- 6 junction pits
- 1 outlet/end wall

The data listed above has been computed for the total catchment area. To conduct the modelling, the data is separated into each specific subcatchment for each individual pipe as shown in Appendix D. This will allow us to classify each individual pipe into a capacity class.

#### 4.2 Inverloch

Inverloch is popular a holiday destination, located at the mouth of Anderson Inlet. The town is located on the eastern side of the Bass Coast Shire as shown in Figure 1.1. The relatively calm water of the estuary is popular with families for activities such as boating, fishing, sailing, jet skis and more. 47.6 % of residential dwellings were unoccupied on Census day in 2016 (South Gippsland Sentinel-Times 2017), demonstrating how seasonal the population is. The town has a permanent population of approximately 5,500 (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2016), making it the second largest population centre in Bass Coast behind Wonthaggi.

Much like Cowes, property in Inverloch is highly valuable. The coastal nature and proximity to Melbourne makes the town attractive to developers. There is scope for much more infill development in Inverloch and this makes it a suitable town to include as a study area for this research project. The catchment will be identified following the completion of the modelling for the Cowes study area. There is the potential in this town to choose a much larger catchment area as there are limited outfalls to Anderson Inlet.

The study area for Inverloch is shown in Figure 4.5. As can be seen, there are many large lots with a high percentage of pervious area as of December 2018, when the aerial photograph was taken.



Figure 4.5: Inverloch study area outlined by red polygon (BCSC 2018)

Below are the catchment properties for the Inverloch study area, which have been measured off Intramaps.

Catchment Properties (as calculated in Appendix E):

- Asphalt road pavement, concrete footpaths, driveways and paved areas =  $29,605 \text{ m}^2$
- Roof areas =  $31,971 \text{ m}^2$
- The total impervious area has been measured as  $61,576 \text{ m}^2 \approx 61.6 \text{ ha}$
- The total catchment area has been measured to be  $159,731 \text{ m}^2 \approx 16.0 \text{ ha}$
- Soil infiltration = Medium-High for predominantly sandy loam 36-180 mm/hr approximate value adopted from City of Gold Coast (2007).

Therefore, the level of existing development in the Inverloch study area is approximated at 39 % impervious area. Based on these measurements, the scope for infill development is greater for this area.

The drainage assets in the Inverloch study area have also been identified, based on the GIS data supplied by BCSC. These assets are listed below.

Drainage assets:

- 105 Council drainage pipes with a combined total length of 3,262 m
- 105 side entry and junction pits
- 1 outlet/end wall

The data listed above has been computed for the total catchment area within the Inverloch study area. To conduct the modelling, the data is separated into each specific subcatchment for each individual pipe as shown in Appendix E. This will allow us to classify each individual pipe into a capacity class.

### 5.0 Methodology

A brief outline of the methodology employed for this research project is summarised in Section 5.1 below. The techniques are then elaborated in the subsequent sections, 5.2-5.4.

#### 5.1 Outline of Methodology

The methodology for this project is based on the approach adopted by Eckart, McPhee and Bolisetti (2018). This study also employs PCSWMM software to create the hydraulic model. Two study areas have been identified and divided into many unique subcatchments. The subcatchment properties were determined through GIS data, satellite imagery, and site inspection. The network of links and nodes were drawn in the model based on drainage asset maps obtained from BCSC.

Once the study areas are defined, the catchment boundary for each pipe is identified using the BCSC contour data on Intramaps. 0.5 metre contour intervals are displayed in Intramaps. The current level of development for each study area is then determined to simulate the existing conditions and model the flow in each pipe. The existing level of development has been estimated as accurately as possible. This is done by measuring all the impervious surfaces seen on the aerial imagery in the BCSC Intramaps. The aerial imagery is from December 2018, so it is reasonably up to date. The Intramaps measuring tool is used to measure all roofs, road surfaces and driveways in each subcatchment. These surfaces would normally be assigned a runoff coefficient of 1.0 for roofs and 0.95 for paved surfaces in accordance with Table 5.2 of this report to compute a flow using the Rational Method. All other area would be assumed as landscaped pervious surfaces and assigned a runoff coefficient of 0.25 in accordance with Table 5.2. The IDM suggests the Rational Method to be used for flow estimation in small catchments. However, to simplify the task of modelling ten different temporal patterns in accordance with AR&R 2016, we will instead employ the PCSWMM software. As we are using PCSWMM which does not use the Rational Method, we do not need to estimate these runoff coefficents. PCSWMM instead requires the user to input the percentage of impervious areas for each subcatchment as well as infiltration data for the pervious areas.

The pipe network is drawn in PCSWMM, with the appropriate data entered for each pipe for the existing level of development. The rainfall data for a 20% AEP rainfall event for each study area will be downloaded from the BOM website and uploaded to the PCSWMM model. We then run the model to determine the flow in each pipe.

The results for the existing level of development is then recorded. The results for each pipe are analysed, with each pipe assigned a hydraulic capacity class. These classes are summarised below:

• Critical:

This class is intended for those areas where the existing level of development is already too great for the existing stormwater infrastructure to cope with. The pipes will be flowing full and the overflow paths will have large flows.

• Near Capacity:

This class is for areas where stormwater assets are flowing between 70 % capacity and full flow. There is room for additional flows in the pipe however only limited room.

• Sufficient Capacity:

This class is for areas where there are no flooding problems for the present level of development, and the pipe has additional capacity to cope with additional runoff from more infill development.

Once each pipe in the study area has been assigned a capacity class, we can then model the maximum development level. The runoff coefficient for the maximum development level will be determined based on the land zone, as shown in Table 5.2 of this report. Once both levels of development have been modelled, this will allow us to compare the results and determine what action is required to cater for the future increase in runoff.

#### 5.2 Risk Assessment

Field trips have been conducted to confirm the accuracy of GIS data supplied by BCSC. There are situations in the study areas where GIS data has been assumed in the absence of physical inspection. BCSC have records which states whether the data has been physically measured or assumed. The critical data for this research project is the reduced levels to Australian Height Datum (AHD) and the pipe materials. Field trips will endeavour to record missing data and to clarify any discrepancies present in the data. There are a certain number of assets that cannot be inspected and the assumed data must be used. For example, buried junction pits in private backyards. These pits are normally in Council easements, however it is not uncommon for the land owner to construct a garden shed or landscaping over these assets.

A risk assessment for these field trips has been conducted using the Victorian State Government's template (2018). This risk assessment is included in Appendix C. The risk assessment shows that all risks associated with the field trips are adequately managed. The highest risk identified was traffic when measuring any side entry pits beside the road carriageway. This is identified as a high-risk activity, however the risk is managed with the use of appropriate signage, flashing lights and a spotter.

The appropriate controls were put in place to lower all risks to acceptable levels.

### 5.3 Rainfall Data

The temporal patterns relevant for Bass Coast were downloaded from the AR&R data hub. Ball et al. (2016) suggest an ensemble of ten temporal patterns are modelled. Interestingly, it is not recommended to use the temporal pattern which represents the worst case for design. Ball et al. (2016) suggest it may be more practical to design adopting an average which may be more representative of the local conditions. If a single storm is to be selected for design, it is important to ensure the selected temporal pattern represents a mean result for the whole catchment response.

For this project, we have modelled ten randomly selected temporal patterns to accord with AR&R 2016. The same ten temporal patterns have been used for each study area for simplicity.



Figure 5.1: Temporal pattern for 30 minute storm

Figure 5.1 represents the shortest storm in duration that was modelled for this project. The shortest time step for the temporal patterns available on the AR&R data hub is 5 minute intervals. This results in a 'blocky' temporal pattern which may not represent the peak intensity as accurately as it may occur in reality. This is a limitation of the available data.



Figure 5.2: Temporal pattern for 45 minute storm

Figure 5.2 represents a temporal pattern of 45 minutes in duration. The intensities have been recorded in 5 minute intervals as per the previous. A more obvious peak intensity is evident in Figure 5.2.


Figure 5.3: Temporal pattern for 60 minute storm

Figure 5.3 graphs the selected temporal pattern with a duration of 60 minutes. The time step is again 5 minute intervals. This pattern is different to the previous as it has two isolated peaks, separated by a lull in the middle of the storm. The second peak occurs at the end of the 60 minute duration, which presumably would result in a larger amount of runoff due to the ground being already saturated by the initial high intensity rainfall at the beginning of the storm.



Figure 5.4: Temporal pattern for 90 minute storm

Figure 5.4 represents a similar temporal pattern to the 60 minute storm, only of longer duration with less intense peaks. The time steps are also recorded at 5 minute intervals.



Figure 5.5: Temporal pattern for 120 minute storm

Figure 5.5. represents the first of two different temporal patterns modelled for a 120 minute duration. This storm starts with short burst of very high intensity, followed by a relatively long duration of steady rainfall. 5 minute intervals are again used.



Figure 5.6: Alternative temporal pattern for 120 minute storm

Figure 5.6 is the reverse of Figure 5.5 in the sense that the storm starts with a reasonably steady intensity until an isolated peak, high intensity burst right at the end of the storm's duration. The catchment is likely to be saturated before the peak hits, potentially resulting a very high rate of runoff. Although, the peak is not as intense as the temporal pattern in Figure 5.5.



Figure 5.7: Temporal pattern for 180 minute storm

Figure 5.7 represents a 3 hour long storm with a longer time step of 15 minutes. The issue with the larger gap in the recording intervals is that there may be hidden peaks within the 15 minute duration. Therefore, the intensities are more likely to represent average durations which may result in reduced flows which may not represent the realistic peak flow. The highest peak intensity in Figure 5.7 is less than half the corresponding peak in the previous temporal patterns.



Figure 5.8: Alternative temporal pattern for 180 minute storm

Figure 5.8 is the predefined temporal pattern in PCSWMM that comes with the software. It is labelled 'Chicago\_3h'. This storm event is defined via a 1 minute time step. This temporal pattern has also been calibrated for a 20 % AEP using the IFD data for Cowes in Table 5.1. This rainfall event shows an exponential increase in intensity to a peak around the middle of the duration, followed by a sharp exponential decline in intensity. The result is a very high intensity for a very short duration. Although this storm was not part of the temporal pattern suite downloaded from the AR&R data hub like the rest, it was considered valuable for the modelling exercise. The extreme intensity and the much shorter time steps made this storm event unique compared with the other temporal patterns.



Figure 5.9: Temporal pattern for 6 hour storm

The time step for the 6 hour storm shown in Figure 5.9 is 15 minutes. As with Figure 5.7, the peak intensity may be flattened by the relatively large time steps.



Figure 5.10: Temporal pattern for 4 day storm

The 4 day storm shown in Figure 5.10 has been recorded in 3 hour intervals. This means that any bursts of rain within each three hour period are not defined. This a potential issue as the modelling results using this temporal pattern may not be reflective of the peak flow. This is almost certainly hidden within a 3 hour average intensity period. No other temporal patterns were modelled for these lengthy durations as the results of the flow for the small scale study areas will be quite obviously much lower than that for shorter, more intense storms.

All the above temporal patterns have been calibrated for a 20% AEP for the Cowes location. This calibration is done by ensuring the total rainfall volume is equivalent to the IFD values of a 20% AEP, which are highlighted in blue in Table 5.1.

		Annual	Exceedar	ice Probal	bility (AEP	)	
Duration							
in min	63.20%	50%	20%	10%	5%	2%	1%
1	1.54	1.68	2.16	2.49	2.83	3.3	3.68
2	2.68	2.91	3.67	4.2	4.68	5.22	5.63
3	3.58	3.89	4.92	5.65	6.32	7.12	7.73
4	4.33	4.72	5.99	6.88	7.74	8.83	9.67
5	4.97	5.43	6.91	7.96	8.99	10.3	11.4
10	7.3	8	10.3	11.9	13.6	15.9	17.9
15	8.89	9.74	12.5	14.5	16.6	19.6	22
20	10.1	11.1	14.2	16.5	18.8	22.2	24.8
25	11.1	12.2	15.6	18.1	20.6	24.2	27
30	12	13.1	16.8	19.4	22.1	25.8	28.8
45	14	15.3	19.5	22.5	25.5	29.5	32.7
60	15.6	17	21.5	24.8	28	32.2	35.5
90	17.9	19.5	24.6	28.2	31.8	36.3	39.9
120	19.8	21.5	27.1	31	34.7	39.7	43.5
180	22.5	24.5	30.9	35.2	39.5	45.2	49.5
270	25.6	27.9	35.3	40.3	45.2	52	57.3
360	27.9	30.6	38.8	44.4	50.1	58	64.2
540	31.6	34.7	44.5	51.3	58.2	68.2	76.2
720	34.4	37.9	49.1	56.9	65	77	86.6

#### Table 5-1: Cowes IFD values (BOM 2016)

The IFD data was downloaded from the BOM website to calibrate the temporal patterns to give us a storm intensity representative of the 20 % AEP for Cowes. The IFD data for Inverloch was also downloaded, however given the close proximity between the two study areas, the difference in values were negligible. Therefore, for simplicity, the same IFD data and subsequent temporal patterns have been used for each study area.

#### 5.4 Subcatchment Properties

What is the minimum lot size in Bass Coast? Unfortunately, there is no simple answer to this question. It depends on several planning factors, such as the land zone, planning overlay, neighbourhood character, vegetation constraints, etc. This dissertation does not intend to delve into the profession of town planning therefore we have to make assumptions to develop the model. The following assumptions could be made regarding minimum lot sizes and runoff coefficients:

• Minimum lot size to be 300 m<sup>2</sup> across the board in the residential areas. This is a general rule of thumb for the minimum lot size in a General Residential Zone (GRZ) that can meet the requirements of the planning scheme.

• For this project, industrial and commercial zones were not part of the selected study areas. therefore we do not need to calculate lot sizes. Regardless, for these land zones we would adopt the runoff coefficients in the IDM (LGIDA 2019, p. 105). This value is 0.9 for both land zones.

The rationale behind calculating minimum lot sizes in residential areas is the fact that with smaller lot size comes a larger portion of impervious surfaces. According to the planning staff at BCSC, the average roof area for an old home in Bass Coast would be approximately 200 m<sup>2</sup>. So if we have existing lots of say 800 m<sup>2</sup>, allowing a 100 m<sup>2</sup> for a driveway and paved areas, we would have 500 m<sup>2</sup> of pervious garden areas. Therefore 62.5 % of the property is garden area with a low coefficient of runoff. Now let us assume this property is developed. With a lot size of 800 m<sup>2</sup>, the lot may be subdivided in two, creating two lots of 400 m<sup>2</sup>. If a house in constructed on each lot, and each has their own driveway, impervious surfaces may account for 300 m<sup>2</sup> on each lot. So now there is a total of 600 m<sup>2</sup> of impervious area. Thus, the infill development could result in only 25 % of the property being available for garden area.

The existing level of development will be estimated off the BCSC aerial images. The primary task is differentiating the roof areas, paved areas and landscaped areas. The runoff coefficients for each surface are consistent with those in the IDM and are reproduced here in Table 5.2.

Surface	<b>Runoff Coefficient</b>
Roof	1.00
Paved	0.95
Landscape / Garden	0.25

 Table 5-2: Runoff coefficients for surface type

In accordance with IDM standards, the runoff coefficients to model the maximum developed conditions should be in accordance with Table 5.3. The coefficient would be applied to the entire area of the catchment. This simplifies the calculations whilst also maintaining a reasonable level of accuracy. These values are conservative and assume the lots are developed to their maximum development potential.

Land Zone	<b>Runoff Coefficient</b>
General residential	0.80
Industrial and Commercial	0.90
Open Space Reserves	0.35

Although we will not be using the Rational Method, we can replicate these conditions using PCSWMM by estimating a suitable percent impervious. The following sections of the report will demonstrate how this is done.

#### 5.4.1 Existing Level of Development

To model the existing level of development in PCSWMM, we must calculate the percentage of impervious area from the catchment area. The impervious area for each subcatchment has been manually measured off the aerial imagery on BCSC Intramaps. There is a measuring tool on the GIS interface which allows the user to manually define an area. The use of the measuring tool is demonstrated in Figure 5.11. A blue perimeter has been manually traced around the roof and the tool automatically estimates an area from this. Here a house roof area, at 31 Beach Street in Cowes, has been measured as 143.5 m<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 5.11: Intramaps measuring tool

The measuring tool provides a reasonable level of accuracy that was deemed acceptable for this project. BCSC has land titles on record for new developments. Every land title shows the exact area for each land parcel. A sample of land titles were compared to the area as measured on Intramaps to give an indication on the level of accuracy to be expected from the tool. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 5.4.

Land Title Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Intramaps Tool Measured Area (m²)	Error
7015	7161	2%
881	889	1%
728	716	-2%
702	698	-1%
628	615	-2%
753	775	3%

#### Table 5-4: Intramaps measuring tool errors

It is evident that the tool has a sporadic error up to approximately 3%. Given the reasonably low error, this was considered acceptable for the impervious area estimation. It is also noted that the measuring tool is prone to both over and under estimation. No calibration of the measuring tool was therefore necessary due to the errors being random.

This measuring tool was used for every roof, road, driveway and other impervious surface which was visually evident on the December 2018 aerial photograph. The aerial images from BCSC were much higher resolution to that found on Google Maps or Bing Maps. Although tedious, this was seen as the most accurate way to define the existing percent impervious and ultimately the existing level of development. Once the impervious areas were measured, they were tabulated for each subcatchment and entered into the PCSWMM model. The tabulated areas are included in Appendix D and E for the Cowes and Inverloch study areas respectively.

#### 5.4.2 Maximum Level of Development

To determine the maximum level of development, we must assume that every lot is subdivided to the smallest possible lot. The smaller the lots, the larger the ratio of impervious surfaces. Under current planning legislation in Bass Coast Shire, residential developments must maintain a minimum garden area of 25 %. This means that we must assume 75 % impervious areas for all residential land. To model the maximum level of development then, we modify the subcatchment properties in PCSWMM so that all residential lots are 75 % impervious. Care must be taken though, as not all subcatchments comprise only residential lots. The road reserves are much closer to 50 % pervious surfaces. A good example of this is S14 in the Cowes subcatchment data in Appendix D. This subcatchment includes a lot developed to full potential with 75 % impervious surfaces, however the subcatchment includes a road reserve. The total proportion of impervious surfaces was measured to be 63 %. Therefore, we cannot assume every subcatchment will become 75 % impervious or this will overestimate the flows.

For the Cowes study area, there are two existing subcatchments which are developed to their full potential but contain road reserves as part of their catchment areas. From Appendix D, these have been identified as S08 and S14 measured at 56 % and 63 % impervious respectively. For this study area, for simplicity we will adopt an estimate of 60 % impervious area for subcatchments containing areas of road reserves.

The other determining factor in modelling the maximum level of development is the ratio of roof areas to paved surfaces. Given we are assuming roof areas have no depression storage, i.e. classified as 'zero impervious' in PCSWMM, we must quantify what percentage roof areas will be. Again, this will be variable depending on the development and the amount of road reserve present. For S08 and S14, the roof areas were measured to be 61 % and 57 % of the impervious areas respectively. Therefore, we will assume 60 % of the impervious area to be roof areas or zero depression storage.

To model the maximum level of development, the subcatchment properties will be amended in accordance with the above analysis and then the simulation will be run again to compare the results. The same temporal pattern will be modelled to ensure consistency in the results.

#### 5.4.3 Other subcatchment inputs

The figure below shows the subcatchment input data required to run the PCSWMM model.



Figure 5.12: Subcatchment inputs

• Depression storage values were assumed from recommended values by Torlapati (2017). This was 2.0 mm for impervious surfaces and 3.5 mm for pervious areas.

- Manning's n values were estimated from the recommended values by Mag (2019). This was n = 0.013 for concrete pipes; n = 0.025 for pervious areas (most pervious areas are well mown grass).
- Slope for each individual subcatchment was calculated based on the contour data at 0.5 m intervals obtained from BCSC.

## 6.0 Cowes Results

### 6.1 Existing Criticality

To determine if the existing drainage system for the Cowes study area is adequate, we have run the PCSWMM model for a 20 % AEP storm using 10 different temporal patterns. These temporal patterns are visually portrayed in Figures 5.1 to 5.10. We can then compare the peak flows and select an average storm to be used for the determination of the existing and future criticality of the drainage system. A screenshot of the drainage model that has been created in PCSWMM for the Cowes study area is reproduced in Figure 6.1. The red triangle is the outfall; blue dots are the pits; yellow lines are pipes; green polygons are subcatchments; and red dotted lines show which pit each subcatchment is discharging to. The subcatchment boundaries have been assumed to follow lot boundaries for model simplicity. This assumption is evident in Figures 6.1 and 7.1.



Figure 6.1: PCSWMM model of the Cowes study area

PCSWMM labels the pipes as 'conduits'. Figure 6.2 compares the peak flow results of three conduits C01, C02 and C03 for all ten temporal patterns that have been used in this study. C01 is the largest pipe with the highest flow as it is the last pipe before the outfall.



Figure 6.2: Peak flow comparison

As can be seen in Figure 6.2, the peak flows fluctuate wildly for durations greater than 120 minutes. This could be attributed to the large time steps in these temporal patterns. The trend for the longer storms is that the peak flow is reduced. The one exception to this is the 180 minute storm shown in Figure 5.8. This storm has time steps of one minute with a very high peak rainfall intensity, and as a result we can see that the largest flows recorded were from this temporal pattern. Conversely, the temporal patterns adopted from the AR&R data hub (2019) for the longer storm events resulted in relatively low flows.

To align with the suggested modelling practices by Ball et al. in AR&R 2016, we need to adopt the storm in Figure 6.2 which represents the average peak flow. Given the random nature of the storms of duration equal to and greater than 180 minutes, these will be discounted. This eliminates the highest and lowest flow results in Figure 6.2. The average peak flow was calculated and then a table of percent difference was created to determine the temporal pattern which was most representative of the average. This correlated to the 90 minute temporal pattern seen in Figure 5.4. The model has been simulated for the 90 minute storm, with all results from PCSWMM reported in Appendix F

The results obtained from the 90 minute storm found the hydraulic capacity has been exceeded in 7 pipes. Of interest, 6 of these pipes from the branch which runs north-south on the left in Figure 6.1. Note that north is straight up the page in Figure 6.1. It is important to note that at the top of this catchment, there is a subcatchment which is already developed to its maximum potential. S10 in Appendix D is 74 % impervious and is piped directly to the southernmost junction pit. This is piped to a 225 mm pipe which is laid at a relatively flat grade, which is reason for the lack of capacity. This 225 mm diameter pipe is likely to have been installed prior to the development of S10 and has obviously not been designed for the high percentage of impervious surfaces. The gradient of the pipe is very important for hydraulic capacity as explained by Manning's equation which is further explained in Section 7.3 of this report. The grades of the pipes which are under capacity, shown in Figure 6.3, are shown in Table 6.1.

Pipe	Grade
C18	2.1%
C19	0.7%
C20	0.8%
C21	0.4%
C22	3.1%
C23	0.4%

Table 6-1: Under capacity pipe gradients in Cowes

Half of the pipes which were found to be under capacity are below 1 % grade, which is not desirable. There are two pipes which are below 0.5 % which is very flat and potentially cause for our capacity issues.

The south-westernmost subcatchment, namely S14 in Appendix D, is also developed to full potential. This subcatchment is 63 % impervious and discharges to a 225 mm diameter pipe. Given the pipe is already taking large flows from subcatchments S10 and others, its capacity is well exceeded.



Figure 6.3: Cowes pipe capacity 'critical' chart from PCSWMM

Figure 6.3 shows the pipe capacity results for the pipes classified as critical. The y-axis shows a capacity reading, which is 1.0 for flowing full and exceeded capacity; 0.5 for half full and half empty; 0.1 for 10 % full and 90 % empty, etc. For a 2 hour simulation run in PCSWMM for the 90 minute temporal pattern, we can see that the flow in the pipes closely represents the temporal pattern. There are two obvious peaks in flow which correlate with the peak intensities seen in Figure 5.4. What is evident form Figure 6.3 is that the capacity in the pipes are only exceeded for a relatively short amount of time. When the storm is finished at the 1 hour 30 minutes mark, the flow in each pipe also decreases exponentially.

Although we have several pipes under capacity, there is minimal flooding in this study area for the existing level of development. The results from PCSWMM showed flooding at only one pit in the network. This flooding was for a relatively short period of time with a minor flow. This means that although the pipes are flowing full, they are at sufficient depth that the hydraulic grade lane is still below the surface for most of the network. The water level in the pits is above the obvert of the outlet pipes but in all but one case there is no water overflowing onto the road. This is significant because it means that our drainage network is currently functioning for the 20 % AEP storm event in accordance with Figure 2.1.

These results have been confirmed with BCSC maintenance staff, who say flooding in this area has not been recorded. Allegedly, storm water can sit around in the kerb for days due to the flat grades, however flooding of the road or properties has not been an issue historically.





Figure 6.4 represents the three pipes from the study area that have been classified as being close to capacity exceedance. As can be seen, the peak flow resulted in approximately 75 % hydraulic capacity for each pipe.

All other pipes have greater than 30 % spare capacity and therefore are classified as 'sufficient capacity' for the existing level of development. Based on the initial analysis, the interim pipe capacity classes are listed below:

- Critical  $=\frac{6}{23}=26\%$
- Near capacity  $=\frac{3}{23}=13\%$
- Sufficient capacity  $=\frac{14}{23}=61\%$

It is important to note that although 61 % of the pipes in this study area are deemed 'sufficient capacity' for the existing level of development, this is only an interim assessment. The final capacity classes are determined by modelling the theoretical maximum level of development. This is discussed in Section 6.2 of this report.

### 6.2 Future Criticality

To determine the future criticality, we have altered the subcatchment properties in accordance with section 5.4.2 of this report. This will simulate the runoff at the theoretical maximum level of development for this catchment. The full results from this model has been reported in Appendix G.

The simulation was run at the theoretical maximum level of development and this resulted in surcharging of 13 out of the total 23 pipes. If we compare this to the existing level of development where only 6 pipes were surcharging, it is evident that more than double that number do not have sufficient hydraulic capacity for future infill development. With these results, we can now confirm the capacity classes for the Cowes study area:

- Critical  $=\frac{6}{23} = 26 \%$
- Near capacity  $=\frac{7}{23}=30\%$
- Sufficient capacity  $=\frac{10}{23} = 44 \%$

These results show that although we initially assumed 61 % of the pipes to have sufficient capacity, once we have modelled the full level of development there is actually only 44 % of the pipes with ample capacity for future infill development.

Of the pits, only 3 out of 23 pits experienced flooding. This is potentially acceptable, subject to a risk assessment for the locations that could be flooded.

The infiltration data adopted for our Cowes study area was based on percolation tests obtained from BCSC for a development in the general vicinity. This data is very high at 46 - 432 mm/hr. The soil was confirmed to be mostly sand, as the development is located at the back of a sand

dune ecosystem. City of Gold Coast (2007) suggests the saturated hydraulic caonductivity of sand is > 180 mm/hr, so the adopted infiltration data seems high but could be feasible. The results should however be confirmed with appropriate soil samples and subsequent percolation testing in the catchment itself to ensure this study has not overstated the infiltration.

The infiltration data was changed to 3.6 - 36 mm/hr which the City of Gold Coast (2007) recommends for medium clay soils. This was done just to complete an analysis on the effect infiltration data would have on the computed runoff coefficient and subsequent flooding in the catchment. The computed runoff coefficients for each subcatachment, on average, in PCSWMM comparatively was:

- C = 0.58 for 46 432 mm/hr (sandy soil as assumed)
- C = 0.61 for 3.6 36 mm/hr (medium clay soil)

This resulted in flooding at 5 pits, an additional 2 pits to the previous model. This is a relatively large increase in the prevalence of flooding. We can conclude that infiltration data has a significant impact on the amount of flooding and thus recommend BCSC undertake soil testing to confirm the infiltration rates in different catchments.

### 6.3 Cowes Results Summary

The results for the Cowes study area show that more than half the pipes in the drainage network do not have sufficient hydraulic capacity to cater for future infill development. This is represented graphically in the figure below.



Figure 6.5: Cowes pipe capacity summary

Only 44 % of the pipes in the drainage network in the Cowes study area have adequate hydraulic capacity for future infill development. On face value this may be considered unacceptable. Conversely, when the resultant flooding is taken into consideration it may be more acceptable. Considering only 3 out of 23 pits were flooded, much of the drainage network is considered adequate for infill development.

Our results are therefore conclusive that drainage improvements are required to cater for future development although the risk of no action at this location is low.

### 6.4 Recommended upgrades

There are two approaches typically used to cater for infill development. Either the drainage network requires upgrading to an increased capacity, or alternatively each development must retard the flow of water off their development site to the capacity of the downstream drainage infrastructure. The approach of upgrading downstream drainage infrastructure is often costly and unfeasible for relatively small scale development which is common in Bass Coast. Onsite detention is often preferred, as this may be cheaper for small development sites.

What has been discovered in this analysis is that a blanket requirement for onsite detention for small catchments may not be necessary. This is a conservative approach to infill development that has been employed by BSCS to protect their assets and ultimately to protect the community from potential flooding. It is however a significant expense for relatively small developments to detain water onsite. This analysis has discovered that the risk to the community for the Cowes study area is low and therefore onsite detention should be reconsidered for some developments in this catchment. It is noted however that the catchment is relatively flat and any flooding may result in stagnant water sitting around for long periods of time. This becomes an amenity issue and is unfavourable for the community.

Onsite detention should continue to be applied in this catchment to avoid flooding however the blanket approach should be reviewed by BCSC. Due to only three instances of flooding found in our results, it is recommended Council also explore pipe upsizing as a solution to infill development in this catchment. It may result in only one or two pipes to be upgraded. This could potentially avoid the need for onsite detention to be enforced.

## 7.0 Inverloch Results

### 7.1 Existing Criticality

For the Inverloch study area we have followed the same methodology used in chapter 6. First we have identified the existing level of development and run the PCSWMM model for a 20 % AEP storm using 10 different temporal patterns as shown in Figures 5.1 to 5.10. Again we have compared the peak flows to select an average storm to be used for the determination of the existing and future criticality of the drainage system. This was the 90 minute storm for Cowes however we have analysed all temporal patterns again for Inverloch to see if a different storm would be adopted to represent the average peak flow. The peak flow comparison in Figure 6.2 was replicated for the Inverloch study area and found an almost identical result. The 90 minute storm was again most representative of the average peak flow and therefore was adopted for our Inverloch study area is shown in Figure 7.1. The full results of the model simulation is included in Appendix H.



Figure 7.1: PCSWMM model of the Inverloch study area

The results obtained from the 90 minute storm found surcharging in 7 out of 105 pipes in the Inverloch study area. This is only 7 % of the pipes in the catchment. Importantly, flooding was discovered in just 1 pit. These results suggest that the minor drainage network in Inverloch is

performing very well for a 20 % AEP rainfall event. The steeper grades in this catchment may also be to thank, as capacity is greater for the same size pipes as in our Cowes study area. The Cowes study area was relatively flat, with the average grade just over 1 % and many pipes below 1 %. In the Inverloch study area, the average grade is much steeper at approximately 5 %.

The results also found 10 pipes to be 'near capacity' at approximately 70 % hydraulic capacity for our design scenario. This is represented graphically in the figure below:



Figure 7.2: Inverloch pipe capacity 'near capacity' chart from PCSWMM

Our interim capacity classes are therefore determined as follows:

- Critical  $=\frac{7}{105} = 7\%$
- Near capacity  $=\frac{10}{105} = 9\%$
- Sufficient capacity  $=\frac{88}{105} = 84\%$

The initial analysis shows the drainage system appears to be functioning relatively well for the existing level of development. The capacity classes will again be revised in the following section where we model the theoretical maximum level of development.

### 7.2 Future Criticality

Inline with the previous study area, we have again altered the subcatchment properties in accordance with section 5.4.2 of this report. This will simulate the runoff at the theoretical maximum level of development for this catchment. The results from this simulation has been included in Appendix I.

The simulation was run at the theoretical maximum level of development and this resulted in surcharging of 24 out of the total 105 pipes. If we compare this to the existing level of development where only 7 pipes were surcharging, it is evident that more than triple that number do not have sufficient hydraulic capacity for future infill development. This is still considered a low percentage of pipes which do not have capacity for potential infill development. The capacity classes for the Inverloch study area are confirmed below:

- Critical  $=\frac{7}{105} = 7\%$
- Near capacity  $=\frac{17}{105} = 16\%$
- Sufficient capacity  $=\frac{81}{105} = 77 \%$

Of the pits, only 3 out of 105 pits experienced flooding. The drainage network has therefore performed considerably well even with the assumed maximum infill development.

Modelling our estimated 'maximum level of development', PCSWMM calculated the runoff coefficient to be approximately 0.58. This was for subcatchments with a combination of road reserve and private property. It is important to note that the IDM recommends a runoff coefficient of 0.80 is adopted for residential development in accordance with Table 5.3 of this report.

Based on this research, the IDM runoff coefficients appear to be very conservative. This is to be expected, as the runoff coefficients in the IDM are a standard to be applied across all catchments in regional Victoria. A standard like this to be applied across such a wide variety of area must consider:

- urban areas where a higher percentage of impervious surfaces are found such as town centres;
- more clayey soils where there is significantly less infiltration;
- Modern road reserve widths (narrower than older residential areas);
- Footpaths on both sides of the road (Inverloch typically has a footpath on only one side or none at all)

For Bass Coast, this runoff coefficient of 0.80 for residential areas appears too conservative. For PCSWMM to calculate a runoff coefficient closer to 0.80, the entry data needed to be closer to 75 % impervious with 75 % zero depression storage (roof area) and reduced infiltration data to heavy clay type soils. This is typically not representative of development in Bass Coast. Although, townships such as Wonthaggi and Corinella in the Bass Coast local government area do possess soils with a much higher clay content and thus the runoff coefficient will be higher.

The standard residential streets in Bass Coast have a 16 m wide road reserve of which the road is usually 7.6 m wide from back of kerb to back of kerb. Typically, a 1.5 m wide footpath is provided on just one side of the road and frequently the residential streets have no footpath. This equates

to 6.9 m wide grass verge per metre of road if we assume footpath on one side. For, say, a 100 m long road, this equates to a percent impervious in accordance with the following:

- Total Area =  $100 \times 16 = 1600 \text{ m}^2$
- Pervious  $=\frac{100 \times 6.9}{1600} = 43 \%$ Impervious  $=\frac{100 \times 9.1}{1600} = 57 \%$

Let us now assume there are 5 large residential allotments with a 20 m frontage and 50 m deep. This equates to 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> per lot and a total of 5,000 m<sup>2</sup>. If each lot is developed to it's maximum potential such a multi-dwelling development on every lot and becomes 75 % impervious. Using a weighted average formula, we get the following results:

Total catchment area =  $5000 + 1600 = 6600 \text{ m}^2$ 

Percent impervious = 
$$\left(\frac{5000}{6600} \times 0.75\right) + \left(\frac{1600}{6600} \times 0.57\right) = 71\%$$

The results from the theoretical situation above would have you question why a maximum developed percent impervious of 60 % was adopted. This value was measured manually in existing developed residential land parcels in the Cowes study area. The developed areas did not have a footpath in the street and the multi-unit developments had a garden area greater than the minimum 25 %. It is also worth noting that the likelihood of every property in the street developing their blocks with only 25 % land area for a garden is very low. Regional towns typically have larger yards than city counterparts, such is the lifestyle in Bass Coast. The 60 % impervious is therefore considered a more realistic maximum percent impervious for this region.

#### 7.3 **Inverloch Results Summary**

The results for the Inverloch study area show that over three quarters of the pipes in the drainage network have sufficient hydraulic capacity to cater for future infill development. This is represented graphically in the figure below. The drainage network in this study area is performing very well for our design storm and these results have been confirmed in verbal conversations with BCSC maintenance staff. No flooding has been recorded in the residential streets to date. The exception to these findings is the overtopping of the open drain on Ramsey Boulevard. This is the street which runs east-west along the street. BCSC staff have explained that there are a numerous points where the open drain along Ramsey Boulevard is not well formed and the stormwater flows across the road to the foreshore. This has not been picked up in the model however it is of no consequence to the property owners as stormwater flows make their way through the foreshore and into the ocean.



Figure 7.3: Inverloch pipe capacity summary

The results for the pipe capacities in the Inverloch study area are significantly better than that of the Cowes study area. As suggested in Section 7.1, this may be attributed to the steeper grades available in Inverloch and therefore greater flow capacity per pipe size. Manning's equation explains that capacity increase with slope:

$$Q = \frac{AR^{\frac{2}{3}}S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n}$$

Where Q = flow

A = cross-sectional area of the pipe

R = hydraulic radius

S = slope of the pipe

n = Manning's roughness coefficient

It is also important to note that the assumed soil parameters for Inverloch were different. We assumed a soil with a lower saturated hydraulic conductivity which would result in a greater volume of runoff. It is evident however that more capacity issues were found in the Cowes study area. This strengthens the argument that the pipe slope or gradient has a significant effect on the performance of the drainage network.

## 7.4 **Recommended Upgrades**

For the Inverloch study area, it is not recommended to upgrade the network. The analysis has shown that the drainage network is performing adequately to accommodate existing and future infill development with the exception of three pits. The model has discovered that surcharging may occur in approximately 23 % of the pipes, however this is largely contained within the pits and therefore flooding should only an issue in three locations. It is apparent that the blanket approach to onsite detention by BCSC may not be warranted in this situation. The ongoing use of onsite detention systems in this area should be reviewed.

It should be noted that risk assessment must be undertaken before Council could allow infill development to proceed without either onsite detention or pipe upsizing. This study has identified that flooding will occur in two additional locations to the existing situation. Before Council could accept the potential of any additional surcharging, it must be confirmed that there is a safe and adequate overland flow path for the passage of these stormwater flows. This must be confirmed to ensure the Council does not breach the Water Act 1989 (Victoria) in the way of detriment downstream from increased flow. A desktop analysis has suggested the flooding would not directly inconvenience adjoining properties, however this should be confirmed via onsite survey and subsequent modelling.

## 8.0 Results Summary

## 8.1 Runoff Coefficients

The runoff coefficient adopted for modelling has a huge effect on the results. For this study, we have manually measured the impervious areas to determine the percent impervious as accurately as possible.

Using a runoff coefficient of 0.80 as recommended by the IDM is a conservative approach for Bass Coast, as it assumes a very small percent pervious of the subcatchment area. Given BCSC Planning Scheme requires a 25 % garden area, the runoff coefficient of 0.80 would assume that no road reserves are part of the subcatchment and it is strictly residential properties with a very high percent impervious. The data required for a 0.80 runoff coefficient was discovered to be approximately:

- 75 % impervious of the total catchment area;
- 75 % of the impervious area with zero depression storage; and
- Medium heavy clay soils with low saturated hydraulic conductivity < 36 mm/hr.

This percent impervious was not found in previously infill developed properties in the Bass Coast study areas. We have therefore obtained results for a more realistic representation of the development in Bass Coast, which correlated to a runoff coefficient of 0.58. The data used:

- 60 % impervious of the total catchment area;
- 60 % of the impervious area with zero depression storage; and
- Sandy and silty sand soils with high saturated hydraulic conductivity 36 180 mm/hr.

## 8.2 Onsite Detention or Pipe Upgrades

Upsizing the pipes will not always be possible for flat catchments such as Cowes. Cover is often limited where grade is not available, and this complicates matters further. The depth of the outfall also limits the amount of onsite detention that can be provided for surface flows. Furthermore, it may difficult to justify one infill development to replace a Council owned pipe in the road reserve when all other properties may benefit from the upgrade. The cost may also be prohibitive for one developer to cover for small scale developments such as infill. One option would be for Council to take a contribution for upsizing a pipe and adding to the balance when another property in the street is developed later. This is difficult to administer for Council and would result in an ad-hoc approach to drainage upgrades. It is seen as an undesirable way to manage the increased runoff from infill development.

Onsite detention to IDM standards is currently being applied by BCSC for all infill developments. For the Cowes study area, where capacity is more of an issue, it appears logical to require onsite detention for infill developments. However, the approach to burden all infill development with onsite detention does not appear to be suitable for the Inverloch study area. It is therefore recommended that BCSC undertake a review of when to require onsite detention, as a case-bycase analysis may be a more equitable outcome for developers.

Both onsite detention and pipe upsizing have their place and should be applied on a case-by-case basis. It is recommended that BCSC request the consultants who are preparing drainage plans for infill development to propose a solution where hydraulic capacity of the drainage system is known to be problematic. Onsite detention is preferred due to lower administration costs to Council and pipe upsizing should only be considered when existing capacity issues can be resolved.

### 8.3 Limitations of research

Existing onsite detention systems have been excluded from the study. BCSC does not have a map of the existing onsite detention systems. For us to identify the restricted flow rates off sites with existing detention systems, we would need to search through years of archives to find the hard copy drainage plans. BCSC has not yet made these records available in electronic format, therefore it was not feasible to physically locate these plans and apply the restricted flow rates to our model. This makes it difficult to identify the actual PSD from sites developed in the last two decades. Given there are relatively few of these new development in the study areas with onsite detention systems, the restricted PSD from these sites has not been considered in the research. As previously explained, there is concern that the onsite detention systems are not maintained by property owners, therefore excluding their consideration in the modelling is deemed conservative but acceptable.

Infiltration data should be confirmed via onsite testing. The data used in the PCSWMM models has been assumed based on previous percolation tests for the Cowes study area. The infiltration data for Inverloch was assumed based on the soil type and supporting literature. Infiltration data has also been assumed as uniform across the entire catchment area for each study area. The infiltration rates will vary across the catchment in reality. As shown in the results discussion, the infiltration rates impact the volume of runoff, subsequent flow rates in the pipes and thus our capacity classification.

Several other assumptions or generalisations have been made to allow a hydraulic computer model to be created. Some of these include:

- Slope of each subcatchment is uniform across the length of the catchment.
- Evaporation data has been excluded from the study, as short duration storm events were chosen where evaporation losses would be negligible.
- Depth of impervious and pervious depression storages considered uniform across all catchments.
- Drainage pipes assumed to be in good serviceable condition, all laid at a constant grade from inlet to outlet.
- The entire drainage network is assumed to be free of blockages.

## 9.0 Conclusion

The hydraulic capacity in older residential areas of our regional towns varies greatly. Some catchments may be able to cope well with an increase in runoff, however others may become strained and require appropriate controls such as onsite detention systems.

Two study areas have been modelled in PCSWMM to classify the hydraulic capacity of the drainage pipes. The resultant capacity classes for the Cowes study area are:

- Critical = 26%
- Near capacity = 30%
- Sufficient capacity = 44 %

The capacity classes for the Inverloch study area are:

- Critical = 7%
- Near capacity = 16%
- Sufficient capacity = 77 %

The drainage pipes in one study area are performing well in terms of hydraulic capacity and infill development will only have a relatively minor effect on the drainage network. The other study area, which is in Cowes, requires tighter development controls to ensure the drainage network is not overwhelmed.

This research project has identified that onsite detention or pipe upsizing is required in situations where the drainage network is inadequate to cope with infill development. Onsite detention is preferred as it is easier to apply to developments one-by-one. This does not mean that a blanket approach of onsite detention is appropriate to all infill development in regional towns. Onsite detention should be considered on a case-by-case basis in regional towns. The situations it is likely to be required include where:

- The catchment is relatively flat and the existing pipe gradient is under 1 %.
- The saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil is very low.
- Development is close to town centres, where the percent impervious in residential allotments is closer to the maximum of 75 %.

## List of References

Australian Bureau of Statistics 2016, Australian Government, Canberra, viewed 25 May 2019, https://quickstats.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census\_services/getproduct/census/2016/quickstat/SSC 20653

Australian Rainfall & Runoff 2019, viewed 20 July 2019, https://data.arr-software.org/

Ball, J, Babister, M, Nathan, R, Weeks, W, Weinmann, E, Retallick M & Testoni, I 2016, Australian Rainfall and Runoff: A Guide to Flood Estimation, Commonwealth of Australia.

BCSC 2017, *Council Plan: Shaping a better Bass Coast*, Bass Coast Shire Council, Wonthaggi, Victoria.

BCSC 2019, Bass Coast Planning Scheme, Bass Coast Shire Council, Wonthaggi, Victoria.

Berland, A, Shiflett, SA, Shuster, WD, Garmestani, AS, Goddard, HC, Herrman, DL & Hopton, ME 2017, 'The role of trees in urban stormwater management', Landscape and Urban Planning, vol. 162, pp. 167-177.

Bureau of Meteorology 2016, Australian Government, Docklands, Victoria, viewed 31 March 2019, <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/water/designRainfalls/revised-ifd/</u>

Bureau of Meteorology 2019, Australian Government, Docklands, Victoria, viewed 31 March 2019, <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw\_086127.shtml</u>

City of Gold Coast 2007, *Water sensitive urban design (WSUD) guidelines*, Gold Coast, viewed 23 September 2019,

http://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/gcplanningscheme\_policies/attachments/policies/policy11/sec tion\_13\_8\_infiltration\_measures.pdf

CSIRO 1999, Urban stormwater: best practice environmental management guidelines, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.

Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions 2019, Victoria State Government, Melbourne, viewed 15 April 2019, <u>https://regionalliving.vic.gov.au/explore-regional-victoria/gippsland/bass-coast</u>

Eckart, K, McPhee, Z & Bolisetti, T 2018, 'Multiobjective optimization of low impact development stormwater controls', Journal of Hydrology, vol. 562, pp. 564-576.

EPA 2018, United States EPA, Washington DC, viewed 21 April 2019, https://www.epa.gov/green-infrastructure/what-green-infrastructure

Jacob, JS & Lopez, R 2009, 'Is denser greener? An evaluation of higher density development as an urban stormwater-quality best management practice 1', Journal of the American Water Resources Association, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 687-701.

*Leafy street in Toorak* n.d., Realestate.com.au, Australia, viewed 21 April 2019, https://www.realestate.com.au/neighbourhoods/toorak-3142-vic Local Government Infrastructure Design Association 2019, *Infrastructure Design Manual*, ver 5.20, Local Government Infrastructure Design Association, Victoria.

*Map of Bass Coast Shire* 2019, Google Maps, Australia, viewed 10 September 2019, https://www.google.com/maps/place/Bass+Coast,+VIC/@-38.4755488,145.1317191,9.5z/data=!4m5!3m4!1s0x6ad5f64c9b2c4bb3:0x5bf69bd44ce02667! 8m2!3d-38.5087582!4d145.5358152

Melbourne Water 2017, Victoria State Government, Melbourne, viewed 21 April 2019, <u>https://www.melbournewater.com.au/planning-and-building/stormwater-management/introduction-wsud</u>

Mag, V & Mag, M 2019, Drainage system design, Stormy Water Solutions, Melbourne.

Paterson, J 2019a, Flooding at Wonthaggi Surf Life Saving Club, unpublished photo.

Paterson, J 2019b, Localised flooding at Cape Paterson, unpublished photo.

Paule-Mercado, MCA, Salim, I, Lee, BY, Memon, S, Sajjad, RU, Sukhbaatar, C & Lee CH 2018, 'Monitoring and quantification of stormwater runoff from mixed land use and land cover catchment in response to land development', *Ecological Indicators*, vol. 93, pp. 1112-1125.

South Gippsland Sentinel-Times 2017, 'What's so special about South Dudley?', July 25, viewed 26 May 2019, <u>https://sgst.com.au/2017/07/whats-special-south-dudley/</u>

Torlapati, J 2017, Hydrology Worksheet 1, Rowan University, Glassboro, New Jersey.

Victoria State Government 2018, *Risk Assessment Template*, Department of Education and Training, Victoria State Government, Melbourne, viewed 18 May 2019, <u>https://www.education.vic.gov.au/hrweb/safetyhw/Pages/ohsriskmgt.aspx</u>

Whitby, M 2019a, Cowes study area outfall, unpublished photo.

Whitby, M 2019b, Flow path for Cowes study area outfall, unpublished photo.

## Appendix A: Project Specification

#### ENG4111/4112 Research Project

#### **Project Specification**

For:	Mathew Whitby
Title:	Infill development impact on the capacity of regional drainage networks
Major:	Civil Engineering
Supervisor:	Rezaul Chowdhury
Sponsorship:	Bass Coast Shire Council
Enrolment:	ENG4111 – EXT S1, 2019
	ENG4112 – EXT S2, 2019
Project Aim:	To investigate the capacity of drainage networks within regional towns and the impact of increasingly dense infill development.

#### Program: Version 1, 19 March 2019

- 1. Research the existing literature relating to managing drainage capacities for infill development.
- 2. Review current planning legislation and existing development controls.
- 3. Identify drainage networks to be investigated.
- 4. Collect all required drainage data.
- 5. Determine existing level of development and the maximum level of development permissible under existing planning legislation.
- 6. Calculate the hydraulic capacity of the drainage networks using hydraulic modelling software for both levels of development (existing and maximum).
- 7. Identify areas of the drainage network with existing and potential future capacity issues.
- 8. Propose prioritised upgrade options for the local Council.

#### If time and resources permit:

9. Develop a drainage strategy for the ongoing management of infill development.

# Appendix B: Project Plan Gantt Chart

				Semest	ter 1		Recess		Semes	ster 2	
		1	2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13 14	1 15 16 17	1	2 3 4	5 6 7	8 9 10	11 12 13 14
#	Task		March	April	May	June	AInf		August	Septembe	r October
	Project										
-4	Allocation/Initiation										
	Research literature and										
2	planning laws					2		2 			8
	Gathering existing										
ω	network data										
2	Determining level of										
4	development										
	Impervious area							_			
ы	estimation										
3	Modelling existing		-								2
6	development										
	Recording model									_	
7	results										
6	Determining full										
00	development										
24	Modelling full										
9	development										
	Reviewing										
10	results/capacities										
	Prepare project		- 2						_		- 2
11	presentation										
	PP2 Project										
12	Conference										
2											
13	Drafting Dissertation										
	Finalise Report/							_	_		
14	Dissertation										
	Submit										
15	Dissertation	Γ									

÷	Background Informa	tion				
Sc	hool/Workplace:	Bass Coast	Shire Council	Date:		18 May 2019
Tit	e of Assessment:	Field trips fo measuring p	r collection of stormwater asset data (e.g. it depths and pipe diameters)	Name of perso conducting ass	n sessment:	Mathew Whitby
	3					
2.	Risk Assessment					
	Identify and list Ha	zards	List Current Risk Controls	<b>Risk Rating</b>	List Addition	al Controls (if any - where current controls are dequately managing the level of risk)
1	Sun exposure		<ul> <li>Long sleeve clothing and hat to be worn</li> <li>Sunscreen</li> </ul>	Low	- Not requ	iired
2	Slips, trips and falls		<ul> <li>Sturdy, steel-capped hiking boots to be worn</li> </ul>	Medium	- Not requ	ired
3	Snakes and spiders		<ul> <li>Long pants and enclosed footwear to be worn</li> <li>Gloves to be worn when lifting pit lids</li> </ul>	Low	- First aid	kit to be added to work vehicles
4	Traffic		<ul> <li>Hi-vis clothing to be worm</li> <li>Flashing lights on vehicle</li> <li>Traffic management plan (e.g. signs and cones to be used if required)</li> </ul>	High	- Spotter t road car	o be used for any work on or near the riageway
S	Heavy lifting (e.g. sto lids)	rmwater pit	<ul> <li>2-man lift employed for &gt; 20 kg</li> </ul>	Medium	- Not requ	ired



**Risk Assessment Template** 

Appendix C: Risk Assessment – Field Trips

Education and Training

Human Resources

Mathew Whitby

the ratings	in the top ro	W				Cinor	I UNCOUNT	and column
Descriptor	Level	Definition				Descripto	Level	Definition
Insignificant	-	No injury					•	Mark party representations approaching the set of the first f
lisignican	6	Y III Y				Rare	-	May occur somewhere, sometime ("once in a life time / once in a hundred years")
Minor	2	Injury/ ill health r	equiring first ai	ā		Unlikely	2	May occur somewhere within the Department over an extended period of time
Moderate	ω	Injury/ill health re	equiring medica				<b>.</b>	
	•	attention				Possible	ω	May occur several times across the Department or a region over a period of time
Major	4	admission	equining nospita	<u> </u>		Likely	4	May be anticipated multiple times over a period of time May occur once every few repetitions of the activity or
Severe		Fatality						event
	5					Alessant	n	
<ol> <li>Risk Matri: intersection bet</li> </ol>	თ			ļ		Almost Certain	5	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even
l ikelihood	5 X – Using the tween the like	e matrix calculat	e the level of ri	isk by findin	g the	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le	5 vel/Ratii	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions
	5 X - Using the like the like the second sec	e matrix calculat (elihood and the	e the level of ri consequences onsequence	isk by findin	g the	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto	5 Vel/Rati	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions on
	5 X – Using th tween the lift	e matrix calculat (elihood and the C	e the level of ri consequences onsequence Moderate	isk by findin Major	g the Severe	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto	5 Vel/Rati	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions on Workplace Manager and/or Management OHS
Almost	5 X – Using th tween the like Insignifica Medium	ne matrix calculat velihood and the cont Minor	e the level of ri consequences moderate Extreme	sk by findin Major	g the Severe	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto	5 vel/Rati	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions on Vorkplace Manager and/or Management OHS re immediately. Corrective actions should be taken ately. Cease associated activity.
Almost Certain Likely	5 X – Using th tween the lik Insignifica Medium Medium	ne matrix calculat velihood and the nt Minor High Medium	e the level of ri consequences misequence Moderate Extreme High	sk by findin Major Extreme	g the Severe Extreme	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto Extreme: High:	5 Vel/Ratii Defini Nomin Nomin Nomin Nomin	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions g and Actions workplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken ately. Cease associated activity. Vorkplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken
Almost Certain Likely Possible	5 X – Using th tween the iii Medium Medium	ee matrix calculat (elihood and the nt Minor High Medium Medium	e the level of ri consequences moderate Extreme High Medium	Major Extreme	g the Severe Extreme Extreme	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto Extreme: High:	5 Vel/Ratii Definii Immed Notify Nomir Nomir Nomir	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions on Vorkplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken ately. Cease associated activity. Vorkplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken 8 hours of notification. 8 hours of notification.
Almost Certain Likely Possible Unlikely	5 X - Using th tween the lik Medium Medium Low	e matrix calculat (elihood and the nt Minor High Medium Medium	e the level of ri consequences Moderate Extreme High Medium	isk by findin Major Extreme High	g the Severe Extreme Extreme Extreme	Almost Certain 4. Risk Le Descripto Extreme: High: Medium:	5 Vel/Rati Defini Nomir Nomir Nomir Nomir Nomir	Prone to occur regularly It is anticipated for each repetition of the activity of even g and Actions on Workplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken ately. Cease associated activity. Workplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken ately. Cease of the taken ately. Cease associated activity. Workplace Manager and/or Management OHS e immediately. Corrective actions should be taken 8 hours of notification. 8 hours of notification. 8 hours of notification. 9 hours of notification. 9 hours of notification. 9 hours of notification.



**Risk Assessment Template**
# Appendix D: Cowes existing subcatchment data

Subcatchment #	Area (m²)	Roof areas (m <sup>2</sup> )	Paved (m²)	Total Imperv. Areas (m²)	Imperv	Perv	Zero Imperv (roofs)
S1	680	0	315	315	46%	54%	0%
S2	1500	189	675	864	58%	42%	22%
S3	5870	1151	1368	2519	43%	57%	46%
S4	665	0	280	280	42%	58%	0%
S5	1000	300	450	750	75%	25%	40%
S6	3550	525	475	1000	28%	72%	53%
S7	3160	720	450	1170	37%	63%	62%
S8	2050	700	445	1145	56%	44%	61%
S9	730	0	360	360	49%	51%	0%
S10	1800	1000	325	1325	74%	26%	75%
S11	6105	1135	555	1690	28%	72%	67%
S12	1000	320	260	580	58%	42%	55%
S13	2900	670	335	1005	35%	65%	67%
S14	2560	915	695	1610	63%	37%	57%
S15	860	330	100	430	50%	50%	77%
S16	1545	280	290	570	37%	63%	49%
S17	180	0	100	100	56%	44%	0%
S18	3220	642	300	942	29%	71%	68%
S19	2005	580	155	735	37%	63%	79%
S20	3210	1980	600	2580	80%	20%	77%
S21	920	920	0	920	100%	0%	100%
Total	45510	12357	8533	20890	Average 46%	Average 54%	Average 59%

# Appendix E: Inverloch existing subcatchment data

	Δrea	Roof		Total Imperv.			Zero Impery
Subcatchment	(m <sup>2</sup> )	areas	Paved	Areas	Imperv	Perv	(roofs)
S1	676	676	0	676	100%	0%	100%
S2	7120	1565	1075	2640	37%	63%	59%
S3	9260	0	465	465	5%	95%	0%
S4	1450	0	815	815	56%	44%	0%
S5	2160	465	0	465	22%	78%	100%
S6	490	490	0	490	100%	0%	100%
S7	3640	1015	95	1110	30%	70%	91%
S8	490	0	170	170	35%	65%	0%
S9	1565	0	155	155	10%	90%	0%
S10	2030	435	400	835	41%	59%	52%
S11	1235	0	0	0	0%	100%	0%
S12	700	230	225	455	65%	35%	51%
S13	3465	835	900	1735	50%	50%	48%
S14	180	0	135	135	75%	25%	0%
S15	600	0	200	200	33%	67%	0%
S16	800	185	195	380	48%	53%	49%
S17	3845	925	420	1345	35%	65%	69%
S18	375	0	170	170	45%	55%	0%
S19	860	0	370	370	43%	57%	0%
S20	2945	595	350	945	32%	68%	63%
S21	1520	175	400	575	38%	62%	30%
S22	1245	240	455	695	56%	44%	35%
S23	185	0	125	125	68%	32%	0%
S24	3500	660	730	1390	40%	60%	47%
S25	130	0	65	65	50%	50%	0%
S26	855	0	380	380	44%	56%	0%
S27	6975	1470	1325	2795	40%	60%	53%
S28	1020	0	630	630	62%	38%	0%
S29	1290	0	755	755	59%	41%	0%
S30	155	0	120	120	77%	23%	0%
S31	2240	460	765	1225	55%	45%	38%
S32	4590	1500	670	2170	47%	53%	69%
S33	1260	700	150	850	67%	33%	82%
S34	475	0	230	230	48%	52%	0%
S35	300	0	100	100	33%	67%	0%
S36	2190	765	700	1465	67%	33%	52%
S37	2315	720	375	1095	47%	53%	66%
S38	1340	270	215	485	36%	64%	56%

\$39	1300	425	155	580	45%	55%	73%
S40	1360	350	155	505	37%	63%	69%
S41	2435	225	345	570	23%	77%	39%
S42	1065	320	80	400	38%	62%	80%
S43	1030	0	440	440	43%	57%	0%
S44	2350	410	395	805	34%	66%	51%
S45	1400	670	285	955	68%	32%	70%
S46	2545	870	320	1190	47%	53%	73%
S47	3360	305	700	1005	30%	70%	30%
S48	665	200	0	200	30%	70%	100%
S49	740	240	0	240	32%	68%	100%
S50	175	0	105	105	60%	40%	0%
S51	600	0	275	275	46%	54%	0%
S52	1100	360	265	625	57%	43%	58%
S53	1315	190	200	390	30%	70%	49%
S54	1380	460	75	535	39%	61%	86%
S55	4440	745	860	1605	36%	64%	46%
S56	1150	0	585	585	51%	49%	0%
S57	155	0	110	110	71%	29%	0%
S58	3235	715	520	1235	38%	62%	58%
S59	615	330	95	425	69%	31%	78%
S60	3135	635	815	1450	46%	54%	44%
S61	5225	555	490	1045	20%	80%	53%
S62	1020	295	160	455	45%	55%	65%
S63	705	250	125	375	53%	47%	67%
S64	1405	270	90	360	26%	74%	75%
S65	1830	730	300	1030	56%	44%	71%
S66	235	0	130	130	55%	45%	0%
S67	2940	805	515	1320	45%	55%	61%
S68	2075	615	500	1115	54%	46%	55%
S69	2810	0	0	0	0%	100%	0%
S70	3915	360	410	770	20%	80%	47%
S71	1230	75	120	195	16%	84%	38%
S72	1270	280	100	380	30%	70%	74%
S73	650	300	65	365	56%	44%	82%
S74	1080	485	130	615	57%	43%	79%
S75	1340	255	100	355	26%	74%	72%
S76	980	215	230	445	45%	55%	48%
S77	195	0	130	130	67%	33%	0%
S78	540	0	375	375	69%	31%	0%
S79	1935	735	500	1235	64%	36%	60%
S80	150	0	105	105	70%	30%	0%
S81	680	0	340	340	50%	50%	0%
S82	870	285	170	455	52%	48%	63%
S83	3500	740	290	1030	29%	71%	72%

Mathew Whitby

S84	1510	460	110	570	38%	62%	81%
S85	725	175	210	385	53%	47%	45%
S86	2550	160	1525	1685	66%	34%	9%
S87	1965	690	225	915	47%	53%	75%
S88	1525	570	225	795	52%	48%	72%
S89	720	330	115	445	62%	38%	74%
S90	630	210	90	300	48%	52%	70%
S91	1610	300	100	400	25%	75%	75%
S92	315	0	185	185	59%	41%	0%
S93	190	0	85	85	45%	55%	0%
S94	185	0	105	105	57%	43%	0%
S95	205	0	145	145	71%	29%	0%
					Average	Average	Average
Total	159731	31971	29605	61576	39%	61%	52%

## Appendix F: Cowes existing PCSWMM results

```
EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.012)
```

4.53 ha catchment at Beach Road, Cowes

WARNING 01: wet weather time step reduced to recording interval for Rain Gage  ${\rm Chicago\_3h}$ 

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago_3h	Chicago_3h	INTENSITY	1 min.
Cowes120min	Cowes120min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes120min(2)	Cowes120min(2)	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes180min	Cowes180min	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes30min	Cowes30min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes45min	Cowes45min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes4day	Cowes4day	INTENSITY	180 min.
Cowes60min	Cowes60min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes6hr	Cowes6hr	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes90min	Cowes90min	INTENSITY	5 min.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Subcatchment Summary ******				
Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage
Outlet				
	_			
S01	0.07	8.00	46.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J07				
S02	0.15	7.50	58.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J06				
S03	0.59	80.00	43.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J03				
S04	0.07	8.00	42.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J04				
S05	0.10	20.00	75.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J14				
S06	0.35	60.00	28.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J12				
S07	0.32	70.00	37.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J13				
S08	0.20	30.00	56.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J11				
S09	0.07	7.00	49.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J09				

S10	0.18	46.00	74.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J23				
S11	0.61	100.00	28.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J22				
S12	0.10	25.00	58.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J18				
S13	0.29	60.00	35.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J01				
S14	0.26	30.00	63.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J21				
S15	0.09	13.00	50.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J20				
S16	0.15	25.00	37.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J19				
S17	0.02	8.00	56.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J15				
S18	0.32	50.00	29.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J16				
S19	0.20	35.00	37.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J17				
S20	0.25	25.00	80.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J02				
S21	0.09	45.00	100.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
OF1				

* * * * *	******
Node	Summary
* * * * *	******

Name	Туре	II I	nvert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
J01	JUNCTION		3.72	1.28	0.0	
J02	JUNCTION		4.29	0.70	0.0	
J03	JUNCTION		4.12	1.22	0.0	
J04	JUNCTION		4.46	0.85	0.0	
J05	JUNCTION		4.95	0.85	0.0	
J06	JUNCTION		5.01	0.80	0.0	
J07	JUNCTION		5.14	0.70	0.0	
J08	JUNCTION		4.22	1.40	0.0	
J09	JUNCTION		6.07	0.82	0.0	
J10	JUNCTION		6.18	0.80	0.0	
J11	JUNCTION		6.33	0.72	0.0	
J12	JUNCTION		6.22	0.75	0.0	
J13	JUNCTION		6.39	0.62	0.0	
J14	JUNCTION		6.45	0.90	0.0	
J15	JUNCTION		4.09	1.00	0.0	
J16	JUNCTION		4.26	0.84	0.0	
J17	JUNCTION		4.62	0.60	0.0	
J18	JUNCTION		3.87	1.28	0.0	
J19	JUNCTION		3.94	1.37	0.0	
J20	JUNCTION		4.44	0.92	0.0	
J21	JUNCTION		4.50	0.70	0.0	
J22	JUNCTION		4.74	0.55	0.0	
J23	JUNCTION		4.84	0.68	0.0	
OF1	OUTFALL		2.08	0.45	0.0	
* * * * * * * * * * * *						
Link Summary *****						
Name %Slope Roughness	From Node	To Node		Туре	Lei	ngth

\_\_\_\_\_

J01 OF1

Mathew Whitby

\_\_\_\_\_

2.0179 0.0120

C01

CONDUIT 81.3

	Infill Devel	opment Impa	ct on the Capacity	of Regional Dr	ainage	Networks	2019
C02		J02	J01	COND	UIT	1	3.8
4.1460 C03	0.0120	J03	J01	COND	UIT	6	9.6
0.5747 C04	0.0120	J04	J03	COND	UIT		8.1
4.2117 C05 1.2354	0.0120	J05	J03	COND	UIT	6	7.2
C06 0.4688	0.0120	J06	J05	COND	UIT	1	2.8
C07 1.7570	0.0120	J07	J06	COND	UIT		7.4
C08 1.7639	0.0120	J08	J03	COND	UIT		5.7
C09 1.6473	0.0120	J09	308	COND	UIT	11	2.3
C10 0.6156	0.0120	J10	J09	COND	UIT	1	7.9
1.9136	0.0120	JII T12	JIU	COND	ULT	1	7.8
0.8542 C13	0.0120	J13	JUS	COND	011 11TT	T	7.8
2.1772 C14	0.0120	J14	J12	COND	UIT	5	9.2
0.3885 C15	0.0120	J15	J01	COND	UIT	2	0.4
1.8122 C16	0.0120	J16	J15	COND	UIT	1	7.2
0.9878 C17	0.0120	J17	J16	COND	UIT	5	9.7
C18	0.0120	J18	J01	COND	UIT		7.2
C19	0.0120	J19	J18	COND	UIT	1	0.4
C20 0.7667	0.0120	J20	J19	COND	UIT	6	5.2
C21 0.4289	0.0120	J21	J20	COND	UIT	1	4.0
C22 3.0943	0.0120	J22	J21	COND	UIT		7.8
C23 0.4346	0.0120	J23	J22	COND	UIT	2	3.0
***** Cross *****	*********** Section Su *********	***** mmary ****		<b>D</b> 111	цала	M	No
Full	÷+	Shape	Full	Full	нуа. Rad	Max.	NO. OI
Flow				Area		WIUUI	
 C01		CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.44 C02		CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1

Full		Full	Full	Hyd.	Max.	No. of	
Conduit	Shape	Depth	Area	Rad.	Width	Barrels	
Flow	-						
C01	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1	
0.44							
C02	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.21							
C03	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1	
0.23							
C04	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.22							
C05	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.12							
C06	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.07							

	Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks						
C07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.06 C08	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.14 C09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.06 C10	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.04 C11	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.07 C12	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C13	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C14	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C15	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C16 0.05	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C17 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C18 0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C19 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C20 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C21 0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
C22 0.18	RECT_CLOSED	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.25	1	
C23 0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	

CMS	
YES	
NO	
NO	
NO	
YES	
NO	
NO	
HORTON	
DYNWAVE	
07/02/2019	00:05:00
07/02/2019	02:05:00
0.0	
00:01:00	
00:01:00	
00:05:00	
5.00 sec	
YES	
8	
4	
0.001500 m	
	CMS YES NO NO YES NO HORTON DYNWAVE 07/02/2019 07/02/2019 0.0 00:01:00 00:01:00 00:01:00 00:05:00 5.00 sec YES 8 4 0.001500 m

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth		
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm 		
Total Precipitation Evaporation Loss Infiltration Loss Surface Runoff Final Storage Continuity Error (%)	0.110 0.000 0.060 0.048 0.002 -0.032	24.599 0.000 13.424 10.671 0.512		
**************************************	Volume hectare-m	Volume 10^6 ltr		
Dry Weather Inflow Wet Weather Inflow Groundwater Inflow RDII Inflow External Inflow External Outflow Flooding Loss Evaporation Loss Exfiltration Loss Initial Stored Volume Final Stored Volume Continuity Error (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.048\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.048\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ -0.061 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.478\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.476\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.002\\ \end{array}$		
**************************************				
**************************************	**** =xes ****			
**************************************				
Minimum Time Step Average Time Step Maximum Time Step Percent in Steady State Average Iterations per Step Percent Not Converging	: 0.50 sec : 2.79 sec : 5.00 sec : 0.00 : 2.13 : 0.66			
**************************************				
 			 Тоt о 1	
Total Peak Runoff Pred	cip Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff
Runoff Runoff Coeff	- F Ranon	b		

Subca 10^6 lt	atchment cr	смs	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	
			24.60	0.00	0.00	13.28	10.31	
0.01 S02	0.00	0.419	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.33	12.89	
0.02	0.01	0.524	24 60	0 00	0 00	14 00	10 05	
0.06	0.03	0.409	24.00	0.00	0.00	14.02	10.03	
S04 0.01	0.00	0.383	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.27	9.42	
S05	0 01	0 709	24.60	0.00	0.00	6.15	17.41	
0.02 S06	0.01	0.708	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.71	6.61	
0.02 S07	0.01	0.269	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.50	8.80	
0.03 S08	0.01	0.358	24 60	0 00	0 00	10 82	13 23	
0.03	0.01	0.538	21.00	0.00	0.00	10.02	10.04	
S09 0.01	0.00	0.445	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.55	10.94	
S10 0.03	0.02	0.721	24.60	0.00	0.00	6.40	17.74	
S11	0 02	0 272	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.71	6.69	
S12	0.02	0.272	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.33	13.66	
0.01 S13	0.01	0.555	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.99	8.36	
0.02 S14	0.01	0.340	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.10	14.66	
0.04	0.02	0.596	24.00	0.00	0.00	10.20	11 04	
0.01	0.01	0.486	24.00	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.94	
S16 0.01	0.01	0.352	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.50	8.67	
S17	0 00	0 513	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	12.62	
S18	0.00	0.010	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.47	6.67	
0.02 S19	0.01	0.271	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.50	8.90	
0.02 \$20	0.01	0.362	24.60	0.00	0.00	4.92	18.68	
0.05	0.02	0.760	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
521 0.02	0.01	0.995	∠4.6U	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.4/	

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max arrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
J01	JUNCTION	0.12	0.23	3.95	0	01:25	0.23
J02	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	4.35	0	01:25	0.06
J03	JUNCTION	0.11	0.20	4.32	0	01:25	0.20
J04	JUNCTION	0.01	0.03	4.49	0	01:25	0.03
J05	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	5.02	0	01:25	0.07
J06	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	5.10	0	01:25	0.09
J07	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	5.18	0	01:25	0.04
J08	JUNCTION	0.07	0.15	4.37	0	01:25	0.15
J09	JUNCTION	0.08	0.16	6.23	0	01:25	0.16
J10	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	6.27	0	01:25	0.09
J11	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	6.40	0	01:25	0.07

	Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks										
J12 J13 J14 J15 J16 J17 J18 J19 J20 J21 J22 J23 OF1		JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL	0.08 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.04 0.09 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.06 0.07 0.12	0.15 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.10 0.07 0.20 0.30 0.62 0.70 0.49 0.58 0.23	6.3 6.4 6.5 4.1 4.3 4.6 4.0 4.2 5.0 5.2 5.2 5.4 2.3	7 0   6 0   3 0   8 0   9 0   7 0   4 0   0 0   3 0   2 0   1 0	01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:23 01:23 01:23 01:23 01:23	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.10\\ 0.07\\ 0.20\\ 0.29\\ 0.61\\ 0.70\\ 0.47\\ 0.39\\ 0.22 \end{array}$			
***** Node 1 *****	<pre>************************************</pre>										
Total	Flow		Maximum	Maximum			Latera	al			
Inflow	Balance		Lateral	Total	Time	of Max	Inflo	WC			
Volume	Error		Inflow	Inflow	Occu	rrence	Volur	ne			
Node ltr	Percent	Туре	CMS	CMS	days	hr:min	10^6 l+	tr 10^6			
J01 0.454	0.117	JUNCTION	0.012	0.220	0	01:25	0.024	42			
J02 0.0467	0.059	JUNCTION	0.021	0.021	0	01:25	0.04	67			
J03 0.195	0.149	JUNCTION	0.030	0.098	0	01:25	0.05	59			
J04	0 069	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.0062	26			
J05	0.416	JUNCTION	0.000	0.013	0	01:25		0			
J06	0.410	JUNCTION	0.009	0.013	0	01:25	0.01	93			
J07	0.137	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.007	01			
0.00701 J08	0.086	JUNCTION	0.000	0.052	0	01:25		0			
0.104 J09	0.101	JUNCTION	0.004	0.053	0	01:25	0.007	98			
0.104 J10	0.088	JUNCTION	0.000	0.014	0	01:25		0			
0.0271	0.083	TUNCTION	0 014	0 014	0	01.25	0 02	71			
0.0271	0.038	TUNCETON	0.010	0.025	0	01.25	0.02	) <u>-</u>			
0.0686	0.063	JUNCTION	0.012	0.035	0	01:25	0.02				
J13 0.0278	0.013	JUNCTION	0.014	0.014	0	01:25	0.02	/8			
J14 0.0174	0.280	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.01	74			
J15 0.0415	0.055	JUNCTION	0.001	0.021	0	01:25	0.0022	27			
J16 0.0393	0.111	JUNCTION	0.011	0.020	0	01:25	0.023	15			
J17	0 000	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.01	78			
J18	0.039	JUNCTION	0.007	0.068	0	01:25	0.013	37			
0.14/	0.01/										

	Infill Developn	nent Impact on	the Capaci	ty of Regio	nal Dr	ainage N	etworks	2019
J19		JUNCTION	0.007	0.061	0	01:25	0.01	34
0.133	0.100							
J20		JUNCTION	0.005	0.055	0	01:25	0.01	03
0.12	0.090							
J21		JUNCTION	0.018	0.055	0	01:25	0.03	75
0.11	0.062							
J22		JUNCTION	0.021	0.037	0	01:25	0.04	08
0.0727	-0.014							
J23		JUNCTION	0.016	0.016	0	01:25	0.03	19
0.0319	0.024							
OF1		OUTFALL	0.011	0.230	0	01:25	0.02	25
0.476	0.000							

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

Node	Туре	Hours Surcharged	Max. Height Above Crown Meters	Min. Depth Below Rim Meters
J19	JUNCTION	0.12	0.075	1.070
J20	JUNCTION	0.15	0.398	0.297
J21	JUNCTION	0.14	0.400	0.000
J22	JUNCTION	0.05	0.193	0.057
J23	JUNCTION	0.05	0.355	0.100

Flooding refers to all water that overflows a node, whether it ponds or not.

Node	Hours Flooded	Maximum Rate CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Total Flood Volume 10^6 ltr	Maximum Ponded Depth Meters
J21	0.03	0.006	0 01:23	0.001	0.000

\*\*\*\*

Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow CMS	Max Flow CMS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr
OF1	97.06	0.090	0.230	0.476
System	97.06	0.090	0.230	0.476

		Maximum	Time of Max	Maximum	Max/	Max/
		Flow	Occurrence	Veloc	Full	Full
Link	Туре	CMS	days hr:min	m/sec	Flow	Depth

Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks									2019
C01 C02 C03 C04 C05 C06 C07 C08 C09 C10 C11 C12 C13 C14 C15 C16 C17 C18 C19 C20 C21 C22 C23	CONDUIT CONDUIT		20 21 97 03 13 04 53 52 14 14 35 14 09 21 20 09 68 61 55 50 37 16	0 01   0 01	: 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25	2.7 0.6 1.3 0.1 0.4 0.9 0.4 1.2 1.8 0.5 1.0 1.1 0.7 0.4 0.7 1.2 0.6 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.2 0.8 0.9	6 0   3 0   4 0   2 0   3 0   4 0   0 0   3 0   9 0   5 0   2 0   6 0   9 1   6 0   9 1   7 1   5 0   9 0	.50 .10 .42 .02 .11 .18 .06 .38 .84 .36 .20 .78 .20 .29 .32 .41 .23 .97 .54 .28 .57 .20 .50	0.50 0.48 0.38 0.45 0.25 0.27 0.59 0.69 0.56 0.36 0.69 0.49 0.52 0.70 0.42 0.39 0.93 1.00 1.00 1.00
******************* Flow Classifica *************	.************** ation Summary .***********								
	Adjusted			Fract	ion of	Time	in Flo	w Cla	ss
	/Actual		Up	Down	Sub	Sup	Up	Down	Norm
Inlet Conduit Ctrl	Length	Dry	Dry	Dry	Crit	Crit	Crit	Crit	Ltd
 C01	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.47
0.00 C02	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C03	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.78
0.00 C04	1.00	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C05	1.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00 C06	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.00 C07	1.00	0.03	0.08	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C08	1.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.33
0.00	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.06
0.00 C10	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90
0.00 C11	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.90
0.00 C12	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.58
0.00 C13	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00 C14	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00					-				-

C15	1.0	0 0.02	0.01	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94
0.00									
C16	1.0	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.02
0.00									
C17	1.0	0 0.03	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00									
C18	1.0	0 0.02	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.49
0.00									
C19	1.0	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.55
0.00									
C20	1.0	0 0.03	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.62
0.00									
C21	1.0	0 0.03	0.00	0.00	0.82	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00									
C22	1.0	0 0.02	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.88
0.00									
C23	1.0	0 0.02	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.01
0.00									

Conduit	Both Ends	Hours Full Upstream	 Dnstream	Hours Above Full Normal Flow	Hours Capacity Limited
C15	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
C18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
C19	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.25	0.01
C20	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.12
C21	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.28	0.15
C22	0.05	0.05	0.14	0.01	0.01
C23	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.01

Analysis begun on: Sun Oct 13 20:28:14 2019 Analysis ended on: Sun Oct 13 20:28:14 2019

## Appendix G: Cowes max development PCSWMM results

```
EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.012)
```

4.53 ha catchment at Beach Road, Cowes

WARNING 01: wet weather time step reduced to recording interval for Rain Gage  ${\rm Chicago\_3h}$ 

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago 3h	Chicago 3h	INTENSITY	1 min.
Cowes120min	Cowes120min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes120min(2)	Cowes120min(2)	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes180min	Cowes180min	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes30min	Cowes30min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes45min	Cowes45min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes4day	Cowes4day	INTENSITY	180 min.
Cowes60min	Cowes60min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes6hr	Cowes6hr	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes90min	Cowes90min	INTENSITY	5 min.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Subcatchment Summary ********				
Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage
Outlet				
S01	0.07	8.00	46.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J07				
S02	0.15	7.50	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J06				
S03	0.59	80.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J03				
S04	0.07	8.00	42.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J04				
S05	0.10	20.00	75.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J14				
S06	0.35	60.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J12				
S07	0.32	70.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J13				
S08	0.20	30.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J11				
S09	0.07	7.00	49.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J09				

S10	0.18	46.00	74.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J23				
S11	0.61	100.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J22				
S12	0.10	25.00	60.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J18				
S13	0.29	60.00	60.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
J01				
S14	0.26	30.00	63.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J21				
S15	0.09	13.00	60.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J20				
S16	0.15	25.00	60.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J19				
S17	0.02	8.00	56.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J15				
S18	0.32	50.00	60.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J16				
S19	0.20	35.00	60.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J17				
S20	0.25	25.00	80.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
J02				
S21	0.09	45.00	100.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
OF1				

* * * * *	******
Node	Summary
* * * * *	******

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
J01	JUNCTION	3.72	1.28	0.0	
J02	JUNCTION	4.29	0.70	0.0	
J03	JUNCTION	4.12	1.22	0.0	
J04	JUNCTION	4.46	0.85	0.0	
J05	JUNCTION	4.95	0.85	0.0	
J06	JUNCTION	5.01	0.80	0.0	
J07	JUNCTION	5.14	0.70	0.0	
J08	JUNCTION	4.22	1.40	0.0	
J09	JUNCTION	6.07	0.82	0.0	
J10	JUNCTION	6.18	0.80	0.0	
J11	JUNCTION	6.33	0.72	0.0	
J12	JUNCTION	6.22	0.75	0.0	
J13	JUNCTION	6.39	0.62	0.0	
J14	JUNCTION	6.45	0.90	0.0	
J15	JUNCTION	4.09	1.00	0.0	
J16	JUNCTION	4.26	0.84	0.0	
J17	JUNCTION	4.62	0.60	0.0	
J18	JUNCTION	3.87	1.28	0.0	
J19	JUNCTION	3.94	1.37	0.0	
J20	JUNCTION	4.44	0.92	0.0	
J21	JUNCTION	4.50	0.70	0.0	
J22	JUNCTION	4.74	0.55	0.0	
J23	JUNCTION	4.84	0.68	0.0	
OF1	OUTFALL	2.08	0.45	0.0	
* * * * * * * * * * * *					
Link Summary					
Name	From Node	To Node	Tvpe	Le	ength

Name %Slope R	oughness	From Node	To Node	Туре	Length
 C01		J01	OF1	CONDUIT	81.3
2.0179	0.0120				

Mathew Whitby

	Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks						2019
C02		J02	J01	CO	NDUIT	13	3.8
4.1460 C03	0.0120	J03	J01	CO	NDUIT	69	9.6
0.5747 C04	0.0120	J04	J03	CO	NDUIT	8	8.1
4.2117 C05	0.0120	J05	J03	CO	NDUIT	67	.2
1.2354 C06	0.0120	J06	J05	CO	NDUIT	12	2.8
0.4688 C07	0.0120	J07	J06	CO	NDUIT	-	.4
1.7570 C08	0.0120	J08	J03	CO	NDUIT		5.7
1.7639 C09	0.0120	J09	J08	CO	NDUIT	112	2.3
1.6473 C10	0.0120	J10	J09	CO	NDUIT	17	.9
0.6156 C11	0.0120	J11	J10	CO	NDUIT	-	.8
1.9136 C12	0.0120	J12	J09	CO	NDUIT	17	.6
0.8542 C13	0.0120	J13	J12	CO	NDUIT	-	·.8
2.1772 C14	0.0120	J14	J12	CO	NDUIT	59	9.2
0.3885 C15	0.0120	J15	J01	CO	NDUIT	20	).4
1.8122 C16	0.0120	J16	J15	CO	NDUIT	17	.2
0.9878 C17	0.0120	J17	J16	CO	NDUIT	59	9.7
0.6034 C18	0.0120	J18	J01	CO	NDUIT	7	.2
2.0954 C19	0.0120	J19	J18	CO	NDUIT	10.4	
0.6744 C20	0.0120	J20	J19	CO	NDUIT	65	5.2
0.7667 C21	0.0120	J21	J20	CO	NDUIT	14	1.0
0.4289 C22	0.0120	J22	J21	CO	NDUIT	-	.8
3.0943 C23	0.0120	J23	J22	CO	NDUIT	23	3.0
0.4346	0.0120						
***** Cross *****	********** Section S ********	***** ummary *****					
Full			Full	Full	Hyd.	Max.	No. of
Condu Flow	it	Shape	Depth	Area	Rad.	Width	Barrels
		CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.44			0 30	0 07	0 07	0 30	1

C01	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.44						
C02	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.21						
C03	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.23						
C04	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22						
C05	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.12						
C06	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.07						

	Infill Development Impact on the	Capacity of	f Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.06 C08	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.14 C09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.06 C10	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.04 C11	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.07 C12	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C13	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C14	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C15 0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C16 0.05	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C17 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C18 0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C19 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C20 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C21 0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C22 0.18	RECT_CLOSED	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.25	1
C23 0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Analysis Options ******		
Flow Units	CMS	
Process Models:		
Rainfall/Runoff	YES	
RDII	NO	
Snowmelt	NO	
Groundwater	NO	
Flow Routing	YES	
Ponding Allowed	NO	
Water Quality	NO	
Infiltration Method	HORTON	
Flow Routing Method	DYNWAVE	
Starting Date	07/02/2019	00:05:00
Ending Date	07/02/2019	02:05:00
Antecedent Dry Days	0.0	
Report Time Step	00:01:00	
Wet Time Step	00:01:00	
Dry Time Step	00:05:00	
Routing Time Step	5.00 sec	
Variable Time Step	YES	
Maximum Trials	8	
Number of Threads	4	
Head Tolerance	0.001500 m	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth			
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm			
Total Precipitation Evaporation Loss Infiltration Loss Surface Runoff Final Storage Continuity Error (%)	0.110 0.000 0.042 0.066 0.003 -0.041	24.599 0.000 9.266 14.667 0.676			
**************************************	Volume	Volume			
FIOW ROULING CONLINUILY ***************************	nectare-m	100 ICL			
Dry Weather Inflow Wet Weather Inflow Groundwater Inflow RDII Inflow External Inflow External Outflow Flooding Loss Evaporation Loss Exfiltration Loss Initial Stored Volume Final Stored Volume Continuity Error (%)	0.000 0.066 0.000 0.000 0.064 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.657 0.000 0.000 0.644 0.011 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000			
Time-Step Critical Elements					
Link C18 (57.74%) Link C08 (29.93%)					
<pre>************************************</pre>	**** exes ****				
****					
Routing Time Step Summary					
Minimum Time Step Average Time Step Maximum Time Step Percent in Steady State Average Iterations per Step Percent Not Converging	: 0.50 sec : 2.51 sec : 5.00 sec : -0.00 : 2.27 : 2.12				
**************************************					
m~.	tal motal		<b>T</b> _+ - 1	Total	
Total Peak Runoff Pre	cip Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	
Runoff Runoff Coeff	•	- 1			

Subca 10^6 lt 	tchment r	CMS	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	
			24.60	0.00	0.00	13.28	10.31	
0.01 S02	0.00	0.419	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	13.77	
0.02	0.01	0.560	24 60	0 00	0 00	0 0 1	1/1/1	
0.08	0.04	0.575	24.00	0.00	0.00	9.04	11,11	
S04 0.01	0.00	0.383	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.27	9.42	
S05 0.02	0.01	0.708	24.60	0.00	0.00	6.15	17.41	
S06	0 03	0 576	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.18	
s07	0.03	0.570	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.21	
0.04 S08	0.02	0.578	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.15	
0.03 S09	0.01	0.575	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.55	10.94	
0.01 S10	0.00	0.445	24 60	0 00	0 00	6 40	17 74	
0.03	0.02	0.721	21.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	14 17	
0.09	0.04	0.576	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.1/	
S12 0.01	0.01	0.577	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.18	
S13 0.04	0.02	0.577	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.20	
S14	0 02	0 597	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.10	14.69	
S15	0.02	0.557	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.09	
0.01 S16	0.01	0.5/3	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.10	
0.02 S17	0.01	0.573	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	12.62	
0.00 S18	0.00	0.513	24 60	0 00	0 00	9 84	14 09	
0.05	0.02	0.573	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	14 10	
0.03	0.01	0.574	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.12	
S20 0.05	0.02	0.760	24.60	0.00	0.00	4.92	18.68	
S21 0.02	0.01	0.995	24.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.47	

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max rrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
J01	JUNCTION	0.15	0.26	3.98	0	01:25	0.26
J02	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	4.35	0	01:25	0.06
J03	JUNCTION	0.13	0.23	4.35	0	01:25	0.23
J04	JUNCTION	0.01	0.03	4.49	0	01:25	0.03
J05	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	5.02	0	01:25	0.07
J06	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	5.10	0	01:25	0.09
J07	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	5.18	0	01:25	0.04
J08	JUNCTION	0.09	0.18	4.40	0	01:25	0.17
J09	JUNCTION	0.12	0.72	6.79	0	01:25	0.45
J10	JUNCTION	0.07	0.63	6.81	0	01:25	0.36
J11	JUNCTION	0.05	0.49	6.82	0	01:25	0.22

	Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks											
J12 J13 J14 J15 J16 J17 J18 J19 J20 J21 J22 J23 OF1		JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL	0.12 0.06 0.05 0.07 0.08 0.05 0.12 0.16 0.21 0.24 0.12 0.11 0.15	0.75 0.62 0.90 0.12 0.15 0.09 0.26 0.37 0.64 0.70 0.53 0.63 0.26	6.9 7.0 7.3 4.2 4.4 4.7 4.1 4.3 5.0 5.2 5.2 5.4 2.3	7 0   1 0   5 0   1 0   1 0   3 0   1 0   8 0   0 0   7 0   4 0	01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:25 01:18 01:18 01:18 01:18 01:25	0.46 0.30 0.25 0.12 0.15 0.09 0.26 0.36 0.63 0.70 0.49 0.41 0.25				
***** Node ] *****	<pre>************************************</pre>											
Total	Flow		Maximum	Maximum			Latera	al				
Inflow	Balance		Lateral	Total	Time	of Max	Inflo	W				
Volume	Error		Inflow	Inflow	0ccu	rrence	Volur	ne				
Node ltr	Percent	Туре	CMS	CMS	days	hr:min	10^6 lt	er 10^6				
J01 0.622	0.112	JUNCTION	0.021	0.271	0	01:25	0.041	12				
J02 0.0467	0.057	JUNCTION	0.021	0.021	0	01:25	0.040	57				
J03 0.266	0.148	JUNCTION	0.042	0.122	0	01:25	0.08	33				
J04 0.00626	0.070	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.0062	26				
J05 0.0276	0.370	JUNCTION	0.000	0.013	0	01:25		0				
J06 0.0276	0 134	JUNCTION	0.010	0.013	0	01:25	0.020	06				
J07	0.001	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.0070	)1				
J08	0.0001	JUNCTION	0.000	0.068	0	01:25		0				
J09	0.096	JUNCTION	0.004	0.071	0	01:22	0.0079	98				
J10	0.056	JUNCTION	0.000	0.015	0	01:26		0				
0.029 J11	0.057	JUNCTION	0.015	0.015	0	01:25	0.02	29				
0.029 J12	0.016	JUNCTION	0.025	0.057	0	01:25	0.050	)3				
0.113 J13	0.084	JUNCTION	0.023	0.023	0	01:25	0.044	19				
0.0449 J14	0.007	JUNCTION	0.009	0.011	0	01:24	0.01	74				
0.0175 J15	0.152	JUNCTION	0.001	0.037	0	01:25	0.0022	27				
0.0758 T16	0.063	JUNCTION	0 023	0 037	Ŭ O	01.25	0 04	54				
0.0736	0.119	TINCUTON	0.025	0.007	0	01.25	0.04	) ) / ·				
0.0283	0.150	JUNCTION	0.014	0.014	U	01.05	0.028					
J18 0.193	0.015	JUNCTION	0.007	0.0/1	U	01:25	0.014	ŧ∠				

J19		JUNCTION	0.011	0.064	0	01:21	0.0218
0.179	0.091						
J20		JUNCTION	0.006	0.054	0	01:27	0.0121
0.157	0.089						
J21		JUNCTION	0.018	0.078	0	01:25	0.0376
0.156	0.052						
J22		JUNCTION	0.044	0.060	0	01:25	0.0865
0.118	-0.011						
J23		JUNCTION	0.016	0.016	0	01:25	0.0319
0.0319	-0.020						
OF1		OUTFALL	0.011	0.281	0	01:25	0.0225
0.644	0.000						

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

Node	Туре	Hours Surcharged	Max. Height Above Crown Meters	Min. Depth Below Rim Meters
J09	JUNCTION	0.11	0.495	0.100
J10	JUNCTION	0.07	0.409	0.166
J11	JUNCTION	0.01	0.267	0.228
J12	JUNCTION	0.12	0.525	0.000
J13	JUNCTION	0.04	0.390	0.005
J14	JUNCTION	0.02	0.675	0.000
J18	JUNCTION	0.10	0.032	1.023
J19	JUNCTION	0.27	0.141	1.004
J20	JUNCTION	0.33	0.414	0.281
J21	JUNCTION	0.31	0.400	0.000
J22	JUNCTION	0.18	0.230	0.020
J23	JUNCTION	0.18	0.405	0.050

Flooding refers to all water that overflows a node, whether it ponds or not.

Node	Hours Flooded	Maximum Rate CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Total Flood Volume 10^6 ltr	Maximum Ponded Depth Meters
J12 J14	0.01 0.01	0.003	0 01:25 0 01:25 0 01:25	0.000	0.000

Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pont	Avg Flow CMS	Max Flow CMS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr
outidii Node	10110	0110	0110	10 0 101
OF1	97.35	0.122	0.281	0.644
System	97.35	0.122	0.281	0.644

		Maxim  Flo	um T: w  (	ime of Dccurr	Max ence	Maximu  Veloc	ım N c  E	Max/ Full	Max/ Full
Link	Туре	C	MS da	ays hr	:min	m/se	ec B	Flow	Depth
C01	CONDUIT	0.2	70	0 0	1:25	2.9	0 0	0.62	0.57
C02	CONDUIT	0.0	21	0 0	1:25	0.5	i6 (	0.10	0.53
C03	CONDUIT	0.1	21	0 0	1:25	1.3	39 (	).52	0.54
C04	CONDUIT	0.0	03	0 0	1:25	0.1	.2 0	0.02	0.43
C05	CONDUIT	0.0	13	0 0	1:25	0.3	37 (	).11	0.50
C06	CONDUTT	0 0	13	0 0	1.25	0 0	12 (	) 18	0.26
C07	CONDUIT	0.0	04	0 0	1.25	0.2	12 (	0.10	0.20
C08	CONDUIT	0.0	67	0 0	1.25	1 /	12 (	1 1 8	0.27
C00	CONDUIT	0.0	68	0 0	1.25	1 0	10 1	1 10	0.07
C10	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0 0	1.25	1.3	10 L0 L0	) 40	1 00
C10 C11	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0 0	1.20	1 0		) 22	1 00
C11 C12	CONDUIT	0.0	10	0 0	1.20	1 /		1.22	1 00
C12	CONDULT	0.0	23 20		1.20 1.05	1.4	iJ	1 20	1 00
C13	CONDUTT	0.0	∠J 1 /	0 0	1.20	0.8	12 ( )T (	).3Z	1 00
015	CONDULT	0.0	14	0 0	1.28	0.4	13 (	J.45	1.00
CI5	CONDUIT	0.0	3/ 20	0 0	1:25	1.1	.4 (	1.5/	0.77
CT0	CONDUIT	0.0	36	0 0	1:25	1.4	E/ (	1./5	0.59
CT/	CONDUIT	0.0	14	0 0	1:25	0.6	5 (	0.37	0.53
C18	CONDUIT	0.0	71	0 0	1:24	1.7	'8 1	L.00	1.00
C19	CONDUIT	0.0	64	0 0	1:21	1.6	52 1	L.59	1.00
C20	CONDUIT	0.0	54	0 0	1:27	1.3	37 1	L.28	1.00
C21	CONDUIT	0.0	50	0 0	1:27	1.2	26 1	L.58	1.00
C22	CONDUIT	0.0	60	0 0	1:25	0.9	93 (	0.33	1.00
C23	CONDUIT	0.0	16	0 0	1:25	0.7	18 (	0.50	1.00
**************************************	**************************************								
************** Flow Classific ***************	**************************************						in Flo		
************** Flow Classific **************** 	**************************************				tion o	f Time	in Flc	 bw Cla	 ss
************** Flow Classific ***********************************	**************************************		 	- Frac Down	tion o: Sub	f Time Sup	in Flo Up	 bw Cla Down	ss Norm
************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual		 Up Drv	- Frac Down	tion o: Sub	f Time Sup Crit	in Flo Up Crit	Down	ss Norm
************* Flow Classific *************** 	**************************************	  Dry	Up Dry	- Frac Down Dry	tion o: Sub Crit	f Time Sup Crit	in Flo Up Crit	Dw Cla Down Crit	ss Norm Ltd
<pre>************************************</pre>	**************************************	 Dry	Up Dry	- Frac Down Dry	tion o: Sub Crit	f Time Sup Crit	in Flo Up Crit	Dow Cla Down Crit	ss Norm Ltd
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02	Up Dry 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00	f Time Sup Crit 0.97	in Flo Up Crit 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit	ss Norm Ltd 
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02	Up Dry 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00	f Time Sup Crit 0.97	in Flo Up Crit 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00	 Norm Ltd 0.48
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02	Up Dry 0.00 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00	ss Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02	Up Dry 0.00 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00	ss Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ow Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	 Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ss Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ow Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	SS Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96 0.03	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.95	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	 ss Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93 0.05
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01 0.00 0.08	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96 0.03 0.90	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00 0.95 0.00	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ss Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93 0.05 0.82
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01 0.00 0.08 0.01	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96 0.03 0.90 0.90 0.13	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00 0.95 0.00 0.83	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	ow Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	SS Norm Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93 0.05 0.82 0.28
**************************************	**************************************	Dry 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.01 0.00 0.08 0.01 0.00	- Frac Down Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	tion o: Sub Crit 0.00 0.95 0.23 0.90 0.96 0.03 0.90 0.13 0.01	f Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.02 0.75 0.00 0.00 0.95 0.00 0.83 0.96	in Flo Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	Down Cla Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	 ss Ltd 0.48 0.95 0.70 0.82 0.93 0.05 0.82 0.28 0.05

C11	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.87
0.00	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 17	0 81	0 00	0 00	0 51
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.51
C13	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.88
0.00									
C14	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90
0.00									
C15	1.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94
0.00									
C16	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.10
0.00									
C17	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00									
C18	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.38
0.00	1 0 0	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 07	0 71	0 00	0 00	0 45
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.2/	0./1	0.00	0.00	0.45
0.00	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 00	0 00		0 20	0 00	0 00	0 5 2
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.53
0.00	1 0 0	0 0 2	0 00	0 00	0 0 5	0 1 2	0 00	0 00	0 00
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00
C22	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 97	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 80
0 00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
C23	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 28	0 70	0 00	0 00	0 03
0 00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.00									

Conduit	Both Ends	Hours Full Upstream	 Dnstream	Hours Above Full Normal Flow	Hours Capacity Limited
C09	0.01	0.11	0.01	0.11	0.01
C10	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.01	0.01
C11	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.01
C12	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.09
C13	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.01	0.01
C14	0.02	0.02	0.12	0.01	0.01
C15	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.01
C18	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.03	0.03
C19	0.10	0.27	0.10	0.50	0.10
C20	0.27	0.33	0.27	0.39	0.27
C21	0.33	0.39	0.33	0.52	0.33
C22	0.18	0.18	0.31	0.01	0.01
C23	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.01	0.01

Analysis begun on: Thu Oct 17 07:52:57 2019 Analysis ended on: Thu Oct 17 07:52:58 2019

## Appendix H: Inverloch existing PCSWMM results

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.012)

WARNING 01: wet weather time step reduced to recording interval for Rain Gage  ${\rm Chicago\_3h}$ 

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Raingage Summary

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago 3h	Chicago 3h	INTENSITY	 1 min.
Cowes120min	Cowes120min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes120min(2)	Cowes120min(2)	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes180min	Cowes180min	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes30min	Cowes30min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes45min	Cowes45min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes4day	Cowes4day	INTENSITY	180 min.
Cowes60min	Cowes60min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes6hr	Cowes6hr	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes90min	Cowes90min	INTENSITY	5 min.

Subcatchment Summary

	_		^ <b>-</b>		
Name Outlet	Area	Width	%1mperv	%Slope Rain Gage	
S1	0.07	20.00	100.00	1.0000 Cowes90min	
OF1					
S10	0.20	35.00	41.00	8.3000 Cowes90min	
J9					
S11	0.12	25.00	0.00	3.3300 Cowes90min	
J8					
S12	0.07	25.00	65.00	5.0000 Cowes90min	
J10			=		
S13	0.35	25.00	50.00	3.0000 Cowes90min	
J13					
S14	0.02	6.00	75.00	3.0000 Cowes90min	
J12					
S15	0.06	7.00	33.00	4.5000 Cowes90min	
J14					
S16	0.08	18.00	48.00	3.3300 Cowes90min	
J17					
S17	0.38	30.00	35.00	3.3300 Cowes90min	
J16					
S18	0.04	8.00	45.00	4.5000 Cowes90min	
J15					

S19	0.09	11.00	43.00	3.0000 Cowes90min
S2	0.71	50.00	37.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
J1 S20	0.29	35.00	32.00	3.0000 Cowes90min
J19 S21	0.15	8.50	38.00	4.5000 Cowes90min
J25 S22	0.12	8.50	56.00	4.5000 Cowes90min
J24 S23	0.02	7.00	68.00	4.5000 Cowes90min
J23 S24	0.35	60.00	40.00	4.5000 Cowes90min
J22 S25	0.01	8.00	50.00	2.5000 Cowes90min
J20 S26	0.09	12.00	44.00	2.5000 Cowes90min
J21 S27	0 70	75 00	40 00	3 3300 Cowes90min
J26 \$28	0.10	10 00	62 00	3 3300 Cowos 90min
J27	0.10	10.00	59.00	3.3300 Cowestim
J44	0.13	10.00	59.00	5.5500 Cowes 90min
J65	0.93	50.00	5.00	5.5500 Cowes90min
S30 J43	0.02	7.00	77.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
S31 J45	0.22	8.00	55.00	2.5000 Cowes90min
S32 J28	0.46	60.00	47.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
S33 J29	0.13	30.00	67.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
S34 J33	0.05	6.50	48.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
\$35 .T30	0.03	10.00	33.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
S36	0.22	18.00	67.00	2.0000 Cowes90min
\$37 537	0.23	45.00	47.00	0.5000 Cowes90min
S38	0.13	10.00	36.00	2.0000 Cowes90min
S39	0.13	30.00	45.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
S4	0.14	10.00	56.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
J2 S40	0.14	40.00	37.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
J41 S41	0.24	38.00	23.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
J38 S42	0.11	20.00	38.00	4.0000 Cowes90min
J39 S43	0.10	9.00	43.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
J42 S44	0.20	35.00	34.00	3.0000 Cowes90min
J46 S45	0 14	35 00	68 00	2 8000 Cowes90min
J49 \$46	0.25	48 00	47 00	2.8000 Cowes90min
J50 \$47	0.23	45 00	20.00	2.3300 Cowessonitin
J54	0.34	43.00	20.00	2.2200 Cowesyumin
548 J55	0.07	20.00	30.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
S49 J56	0.07	25.00	32.00	3.3300 Cowes90min

s5	0.22	35.00	22.00	1.0000	Cowes90min
s50	0.02	9.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J57 S51	0.06	7.50	46.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J59 S52	0.11	25.00	57.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J52 S53	0.13	25.00	30.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J51 S54	0.14	35.00	39.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J53 S55	0.44	50.00	36.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J47 S56	0.12	7.00	51.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J61 S57	0.02	7.00	71.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J60 S58	0.32	45.00	38.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J62 S59	0.06	18.00	69.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J63 S6	0.05	50.00	100.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
J66 S60	0.31	45 00	46 00	3 3300	Cowes90min
J64 S61	0.52	28 00	20 00	6 6600	Cowes90min
J67	0.10	25.00	45 00	6.6600	Cowessonin
J74	0.10	20.00	43.00	6.6600	CourseQumin
563 J77	0.07	20.00	53.00	6.6600	Cowesgomin
J76	0.14	40.00	26.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
S65 J69	0.18	38.00	56.00	15.0000	Cowes90min
S66 J70	0.02	5.00	55.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
S67 J72	0.29	50.00	45.00	3.5000	Cowes90min
S68 J73	0.21	35.00	54.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
S69 J71	0.28	55.00	0.00	7.5000	Cowes90min
S7 J4	0.36	60.00	30.00	6.3600	Cowes90min
S70 J79	0.39	55.00	20.00	7.5000	Cowes90min
S71 J81	0.12	38.00	16.00	4.2000	Cowes90min
\$72 J182	0.13	28.00	30.00	13.0000	Cowes90min
\$73 .183	0.07	20.00	56.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
S74	0.11	23.00	57.00	10.0000	Cowes90min
\$75 575	0.13	50.00	26.00	10.0000	Cowes90min
\$76 \$76	0.10	20.00	45.00	5.7000	Cowes90min
587 \$77	0.02	10.00	67.00	5.7000	Cowes90min
578 578	0.05	8.50	69.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
579	0.19	30.00	64.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
985 88	0.05	8.50	35.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J5					

S80	0.01	15.00	70.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
S81	0.07	10.00	50.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
S82	0.09	25.00	52.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
583	0.35	40.00	29.00	15.0000	Cowes90min
S84	0.15	45.00	38.00	5.8000	Cowes90min
S85	0.07	25.00	53.00	5.8000	Cowes90min
590 586	0.26	15.00	66.00	5.3000	Cowes90min
S87	0.20	40.00	47.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
588 .T99	0.15	33.00	52.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
S89	0.07	20.00	62.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
S9	0.16	30.00	10.00	5.4000	Cowes90min
S90	0.06	25.00	48.00	7.0000	Cowes90min
S91	0.16	50.00	25.00	11.1100	Cowes90min
S92	0.03	6.00	59.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
S93	0.02	7.00	45.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
S94	0.02	7.00	57.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
S95 J105	0.02	7.00	71.00	5.0000	Cowes90min

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
J1	JUNCTION	2.90	1.54	0.0	
J10	JUNCTION	13.52	0.69	0.0	
J100	JUNCTION	18.00	1.50	0.0	
J101	JUNCTION	7.33	0.75	0.0	
J102	JUNCTION	7.45	0.80	0.0	
J103	JUNCTION	7.96	1.05	0.0	
J104	JUNCTION	7.22	1.14	0.0	
J105	JUNCTION	7.39	1.12	0.0	
J11	JUNCTION	7.96	1.37	0.0	
J12	JUNCTION	8.43	0.73	0.0	
J13	JUNCTION	8.59	0.69	0.0	
J14	JUNCTION	8.72	0.95	0.0	
J15	JUNCTION	9.22	0.62	0.0	
J16	JUNCTION	9.87	0.96	0.0	
J17	JUNCTION	12.22	0.70	0.0	
J18	JUNCTION	9.02	0.85	0.0	
J19	JUNCTION	8.53	1.47	0.0	
J2	JUNCTION	2.94	1.50	0.0	
J20	JUNCTION	10.81	1.47	0.0	
J21	JUNCTION	11.35	1.40	0.0	
J22	JUNCTION	11.80	1.51	0.0	
J23	JUNCTION	12.37	0.75	0.0	
J24	JUNCTION	13.08	1.09	0.0	
J25	JUNCTION	13.45	0.83	0.0	
J26	JUNCTION	13.57	1.53	0.0	
J27	JUNCTION	13.88	0.93	0.0	

.T28	TUNCTION	15 41	0 80	0 0
720	TINGTION	10.41	0.00	0.0
529	JUNCTION	16.40	0.30	0.0
J3	JUNCTION	3.47	1.13	0.0
J30	JUNCTION	16.29	1.16	0.0
J31	JUNCTION	16.34	1.02	0.0
JT32	TUNCTION	16 63	0 80	0 0
722	TINCETON	16 00	0.00	0.0
033	JUNCTION	16.80	0.80	0.0
J34	JUNCTION	16.64	0.70	0.0
J35	JUNCTION	16.85	0.90	0.0
136	JUNCTION	17.03	0.74	0.0
T37	TUNCTION	17 38	0 98	0 0
720	TUNCTION	10.05	0.90	0.0
738	JUNCTION	18.35	0.90	0.0
J39	JUNCTION	20.35	0.40	0.0
J4	JUNCTION	6.29	1.28	0.0
.T4 0	JUNCTION	17 47	0 90	0 0
ти 1	TUNCTION	17 96	0.80	0 0
541	JUNCTION	17.90	0.09	0.0
J 4 2	JUNCIIION	18.//	0.65	0.0
J43	JUNCTION	16.94	1.24	0.0
J44	JUNCTION	17.37	0.71	0.0
JT 4 5	TUNCTION	17 41	1 20	0 0
T16	TUNCETON	17 64	1 07	0.0
040	JUNCTION	17.04	1.07	0.0
J4/	JUNCTION	1/.66	1.27	0.0
J48	JUNCTION	18.80	0.70	0.0
J49	JUNCTION	19.70	0.37	0.0
.15	TINCTION	9 1 4	0 37	0 0
55	TUNCETON	20.20	1 55	0.0
550	JUNCTION	20.20	1.55	0.0
J51	JUNCTION	20.65	1.35	0.0
J52	JUNCTION	20.89	1.61	0.0
J53	JUNCTION	23.02	0.48	0.0
T5 /	TUNCTION	10 21	0 70	0 0
	TUNCTION	19.21	0.70	0.0
122	JUNCTION	21.20	0.80	0.0
J56	JUNCTION	22.10	0.40	0.0
J57	JUNCTION	21.83	0.82	0.0
J58	JUNCTION	21.99	0.62	0.0
T50	TUNCTION	23 14	0.43	0 0
555	TUNCTION	23.14	0.45	0.0
16	JUNCTION	9.17	0.83	0.0
J60	JUNCTION	19.85	0.66	0.0
J61	JUNCTION	19.89	1.26	0.0
J62	JUNCTION	20.02	1.20	0.0
T63	TUNCTION	20 11	0.82	0 0
505	TUNCTION	20.11	0.02	0.0
J 6 4	JUNCTION	20.32	0.70	0.0
J65	JUNCTION	3.80	0.55	0.0
J66	JUNCTION	4.43	3.30	0.0
J167	JUNCTION	4.82	3.85	0.0
T68	TUNCTION	5 / 8	2 60	0 0
500	TUNCTION	J.40 7 10	2.00	0.0
169	JUNCTION	1.19	1.46	0.0
J7	JUNCTION	10.79	0.96	0.0
J70	JUNCTION	10.85	1.13	0.0
J71	JUNCTION	5.71	1.10	0.0
	TINCTION	12 39	1 20	0 0
772	TINGTION	12.00	1 20	0.0
J 7 3	JUNCTION	13.80	1.20	0.0
J 7 4	JUNCTION	14.05	1.12	0.0
J75	JUNCTION	14.30	2.22	0.0
J76	JUNCTION	14.41	2.11	0.0
	TINCTION	15 66	0 79	0 0
577	TUNCETON	14 75	0.75	0.0
J / 8	JUNCTION	14.75	0.55	0.0
J / 9	JUNCTION	11.10	1.17	0.0
J8	JUNCTION	14.10	0.90	0.0
J80	JUNCTION	15.31	0.67	0.0
.181	TUNCTION	16 60	1 00	0 0
T00	TINCETON	±0.00	1 26	0.0
	JUNCIION	0.04	±.⊃0	0.0
58U	JUNCTION	1.24	0.95	0.0
J84	JUNCTION	13.68	1.00	0.0
J85	JUNCTION	16.95	0.80	0.0
186	JUNCTION	13.97	1.45	0.0
187	TUNCTION	1/ 27	1 00	0.0
		15 04	1.00	0.0
9 2 2 2	JUNCTION	15.24	1.24	0.0
J89	JUNCTION	15.53	1.27	0.0

Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks <b>2019</b>							
J9 J90 J91 J92 J93 J94 J95 J96 J97 J98 J99 OF1		JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL	14.26 18.14 18.78 6.25 6.48 19.50 20.50 21.00 6.81 17.03 6.90 2.23	0.91 1.83 1.78 2.25 3.10 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.80 1.40 0.95 0.75	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
* * * * * *	* * * * * *						
Link S *****	ummary *****						
Name %Slope R	oughness	From Node	To Node	Туре	Length		
			оп <sup>1</sup>	CONDUTE	100 (		
0.5208	0.0120	JI	OFI	CONDUTT	128.6		
C10 0.9725	0.0120	J10	J8	CONDUIT	59.6	-	
C100 1.2138	0.0120	J101	J99	CONDUIT	35.4		
C101	0 0120	J102	J101	CONDUIT	7.7		
C102	0.0120	J104	J102	CONDUIT	40.2	-	
C103	0.0120	J105	J104	CONDUIT	21.8		
0.7806 C104	0.0120	J103	J104	CONDUIT	4.7		
16.0486 C105	0.0120	J100	J99	CONDUIT	61.9		
18.2307 C11	0.0120	J11	J4	CONDUIT	47.1		
3.5501	0.0120	T1 2	т1 1	CONDULT	3 7		
12.9129	0.0120	71.2	71.0	CONDUIT	10.0		
1.3368	0.0120	JI3	JIZ	CONDUTT	12.0		
C14 8.8823	0.0120	J14	J11	CONDUIT	8.6		
C15 8.5932	0.0120	J15	J14	CONDUIT	5.8		
C16 1.9779	0.0120	J16	J15	CONDUIT	32.9		
C17	0.0120	J17	J16	CONDUIT	35.0		
C18	0.0120	J19	J11	CONDUIT	23.3		
2.4429 C19	0.0120	J18	J19	CONDUIT	3.8		
12.9341 C2	0.0120	J2	J1	CONDUIT	13.2		
0.3030 C20	0.0120	J20	J19	CONDUIT	76.2		
2.9950 C21	0.0120	JT2 4	JT20	CONDITT	28 4		
8.0186	0.0120	725	724	CONDUTE	20.1		
4.3265	0.0120	-01	JZ4	CONDUTT	0.0		
C23 3.7657	0.0120	J21	J20	CONDUIT	14.4		
C24 4.3646	0.0120	J22	J21	CONDUIT	10.3		

	Infill Develo	opment Impact on t	he Capacity of Regio	onal Drainage Networ	ks <b>2019</b>
C25		J23	J22	CONDUIT	7.6
7.5612 C26	0.0120	J26	J22	CONDUIT	79.1
2.2394 C27	0.0120	J27	J26	CONDUIT	11.7
2.6415 C28	0.0120	J28	J26	CONDUIT	41.1
4.4770 C29	0.0120	.129	1728	CONDUTT	72.3
1.3704	0.0120	.13	.12	CONDULT	5 8
9.2083	0.0120	130	128	CONDULT	43 0
2.0474	0.0120	721	720	CONDUIT	14.0
0.3385	0.0120			CONDULT	14.8
C32 3.4380	0.0120	J32	J31	CONDUIT	8.4
C33 2.6739	0.0120	J33	J32	CONDUIT	6.4
C34 4.4096	0.0120	J34	J31	CONDUIT	6.8
C35 3.3233	0.0120	J35	J30	CONDUIT	16.9
C36 2.1957	0.0120	J36	J35	CONDUIT	8.2
C37 3.6078	0.0120	J37	J35	CONDUIT	14.7
C38	0 0120	J38	J37	CONDUIT	51.2
C39	0.0120	J39	J38	CONDUIT	35.3
C4	0.0120	J4	J3	CONDUIT	80.3
3.5153 C40	0.0120	J40	J37	CONDUIT	5.5
C41	0.0120	J41	J40	CONDUIT	21.7
2.2586 C42	0.0120	J42	J41	CONDUIT	27.3
2.9683 C43	0.0120	J43	J26	CONDUIT	96.3
3.5002 C44	0.0120	J44	J43	CONDUIT	12.5
3.4393 C45	0.0120	J45	J43	CONDUIT	11.6
4.0691 C46	0.0120	J47	J43	CONDUIT	24.7
2.9127 C47	0.0120	J46	J45	CONDUIT	7.7
2.9806 C48	0.0120	J48	J46	CONDUIT	47.1
2.4620 C49	0.0120	.T4 9	.748	CONDULT	8 8
10.2694	0.0120	15	тл	CONDULT	70.8
3.5733	0.0120	TE 0	740	CONDUIT	19.0
1.0370	0.0120		349	CONDUIT	40.2
1.0232	0.0120	51	50	CONDUL'I	44.0
C52 1.0001	0.0120	J <sup>52</sup>	J51	CONDUIT	23.7
C53 6.9830	0.0120	J53	J52	CONDUIT	30.6
C54 1.0631	0.0120	J54	J48	CONDUIT	38.6
C55 3.7411	0.0120	J55	J54	CONDUIT	53.2

	Infill Develo	opment Impact on	the Capacity of Regi	onal Drainage Netwo	rks <b>2019</b>
C56		J56	J55	CONDUIT	29.9
3.0164 C57	0.0120	J57	J55	CONDUIT	17.6
3.5880 C58	0.0120	J58	J57	CONDUIT	8.4
1.8938	0.0120	.759		CONDULT	16 3
8.0430	0.0120	.16	.15	CONDULT	13 5
0.2222	0.0120	JEO	147	CONDUIT	01 5
2.3944	0.0120	360	347	CONDUIT	91.5
0.3565	0.0120	J61	J 60	CONDULT	11.2
C62 1.6864	0.0120	J62	J61	CONDUIT	7.7
C63 0.9678	0.0120	J63	J62	CONDUIT	9.3
C64 3.1034	0.0120	J64	J63	CONDUIT	6.8
C65 48.8290	0.0120	J65	J2	CONDUIT	2.0
C66 1 4567	0 0120	J66	J2	CONDUIT	102.3
C67	0.0120	J67	J66	CONDUIT	43.7
C68	0.0120	J68	J67	CONDUIT	56.5
C69	0.0120	J69	J68	CONDUIT	4.3
43.2226 C7	0.0120	J7	J6	CONDUIT	35.4
4.5811 C70	0.0120	J70	J69	CONDUIT	26.4
13.9718 C71	0.0120	J72	J70	CONDUIT	27.4
5.6232 C72	0.0120	J73	J72	CONDUIT	44.0
3.2069 C73	0.0120	J74	J73	CONDUIT	9.4
2.6605 C74	0.0120	J75	J74	CONDUIT	25.8
0.9705 C75	0.0120	J76	JT75	CONDUTT	28.3
0.3892	0.0120		.176	CONDULT	6.8
18.7295	0.0120	779	176	CONDULT	25 1
0.9695	0.0120		370	CONDUIT	33.1
0.4832	0.0120	571	068	CONDUIT	4/.6
C79 10.5342	0.0120	J79	J71	CONDUIT	51.4
C8 24.8404	0.0120	J8	J7	CONDUIT	13.7
C80 10.6484	0.0120	J80	J79	CONDUIT	39.8
C81 6.4440	0.0120	J81	J80	CONDUIT	20.1
C82 0.5454	0.0120	J82	J71	CONDUIT	60.5
C83	0 0120	J83	J82	CONDUIT	16.8
C84	0 0120	J84	J83	CONDUIT	46.1
C85	0.0120	J85	J84	CONDUIT	43.5
C86	0.0120	J86	J83	CONDUIT	41.8
10.J1JJ	0.0120				

	Infill Develo	opment Impact	on the Capacity	of Regional Drainage Net	works <b>2019</b>
C87		J87	J86	CONDUIT	8.4
3.5567 C88	0.0120	J88	J86	CONDUIT	18.7
6.7926 C89	0.0120	J89	J88	CONDUIT	9.2
3.1641 C9	0.0120	J9	J8	CONDUIT	16.7
0.9510 C90	0.0120	,790	.78.9	CONDUITT	51.2
5.1073 C91	0.0120	.T91		CONDUIT	9 6
6.7167 C.92	0.0120	.192	.182	CONDUIT	40.3
0.5216	0.0120	.193	.792	CONDUIT	30 6
0.7509	0.0120	.194	.793	CONDUIT	79.2
16.6726	0.0120	.195		CONDUIT	34 8
2.8731	0.0120	.196	.195	CONDUIT	10 3
4.8554	0.0120	.197	.193	CONDUIT	55 0
0.6001	0.0120	тоо	107	CONDUIT	20.2
37.2325	0.0120	ταα	υ <i>υ 1</i>	CONDUIT	60.2
0.1496	0.0120	660	091	CONDULI	00.2

		Full	Full	Hyd.	Max.	No. of
Full Conduit Flow	Shape	Depth	Area	Rad.	Width	Barrels
C1	CIRCULAR	0.75	0.44	0.19	0.75	1
0.87						
C10	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.02						
C100	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.12	CTDCIII AD	0 20	0 11	0 00	0 20	1
0.24	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	Ţ
C102	CIRCULAR	0 30	0 07	0 07	0 30	1
0.08	011(00Ent)	0.00	0.0,	0.07	0.00	±
C103	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.09						
C104	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.42						
C105	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.21						
C11	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.58						
C12	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.38	CTDCIII AD	0 20	0 07	0 07	0 20	1
0.12	CIRCULAR	0.50	0.07	0.07	0.30	Ţ
C14	CIRCULAR	0 30	0 07	0 07	0 30	1
0 31	CIRCOLINI	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	±
C15	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.14		0				_
C16	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.07						

	Infill Development Impact on t	he Capacity of	Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C17	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04 C18	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.48 C19	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.38 C2	CIRCULAR	0.75	0.44	0.19	0.75	1
0.66 C20	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.53 C21	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
0.54 C22	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22 C23	CTRCULAR	0.53	0.22	0.13	0.53	1
0.90	CIRCULAR	0 53	0 22	0 13	0 53	1
0.97	CIRCULAR	0.23	0 04	0.06	0.23	1
0.13		0.53	0.22	0.00	0.53	1
0.70	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.22	0.15	0.33	1
0.17	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	Ţ
C29 0.06	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C3 0.94	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C30 0.15	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C31 0.06	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C32 0.09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C33	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C34	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C35	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C36	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.07 C37	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.09 C38	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.07 C39	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04 C4	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.58 C40	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.06 C41	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.07 C42	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.08 C43	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
0.36 C44	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.19	CTRCIILAR	0 38	0 11	0 09	0 38	- 1
0.38	CIDCIII AD	0.00	0 07	0.07	0.20	1
0.18	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.00	1
0.18	CIKCULAK	0.30	0.0/	0.0/	0.30	Ţ

	Infill Development Impact on th	e Capacity of	f Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C48	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.16 C49	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.16 C5	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.09 C50	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C51	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C52	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.02 C53	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04 C54	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.09	CIRCULAR	0 15	0 02	0 04	0 15	1
0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0 04	0.06	0.23	- 1
0.09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.00	0.23	1
0.07	CIRCULAR	0.25	0.04	0.00	0.25	1
0.05	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04	RECT_CLOSED	0.23	0.07	0.06	0.30	1
C60 0.16	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C61 0.06	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C62 0.14	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C63 0.10	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C64 0.18	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C65 0.34	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C66	CIRCULAR	0.60	0.28	0.15	0.60	1
C67	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C68	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C69	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C7	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22 C70	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.39 C71	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.25 C72	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.19 C73	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.08 C74	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C75	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.03 C76	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.07 C77	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.02 C78	CIRCULAR	0 - 4.5	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.21						-

	Infill Development Impact on th	e Capacity of	Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C79	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.16 C8	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.52 C80	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C81	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C82	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C83 0.13	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C84 0.18	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C85	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.20	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.20 C88	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.27 C89	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.19 C9	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.10 C90	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.11 C91	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C92	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C93	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
C94 0.20	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C95 0.18	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C96 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
C97 0.08	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.30	CIRCULAR	0.20	0.04	0.00	0.20	1
0.04	CINCOLAN	0.50	0.07	0.07	0.50	Ţ

NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step. \*\*\*\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Analysis Options \*\*\*\*\* Flow Units ..... CMS Process Models: Rainfall/Runoff ..... YES RDII ..... NO Snowmelt ..... NO Groundwater ..... NO Flow Routing ..... YES Ponding Allowed ..... NO Water Quality ..... NO Infiltration Method ..... HORTON
Flow Routing Method Starting Date Ending Date Antecedent Dry Days Report Time Step Wet Time Step Dry Time Step Routing Time Step Variable Time Step Maximum Trials Number of Threads Head Tolerance	DYNWAVE 07/02/2019 00:00:00 07/02/2019 02:00:00 0.0 00:05:00 00:01:00 00:05:00 5.00 sec YES 8 4 0.001500 m
**************************************	Volume Depth hectare-m mm
**************************************	0.392 24.599 0.000 0.000 0.241 15.118 0.145 9.079 0.007 0.410 -0.031
**************************************	Volume Volume hectare-m 10^6 ltr
Dry Weather Inflow Wet Weather Inflow Groundwater Inflow RDII Inflow External Inflow Flooding Loss Evaporation Loss Exfiltration Loss Initial Stored Volume Final Stored Volume Continuity Error (%)	0.000   0.000     0.145   1.447     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.144   1.435     0.000   0.001     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.000   0.000     0.001   0.012     -0.087   0.000
**************************************	
**************************************	
<pre>************************************</pre>	***** dexes ****

* * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * * * *	* * *					
Minimu Averag Maximu Percen Averag Percen	um Time ge Time um Time ut in St ge Itera ut Not C	Step Step eady State tions per onverging	: : Step : :	0.78 sec 1.66 sec 5.00 sec 0.00 2.01 0.02				
***** Subcat *****	******* chment ******	********* Runoff Sun *********	**** mary ****					
	Posk	Bunoff	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	
Runoff	Runoff	Coeff	Precip	Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	
Subcat 10^6 ltr	chment C	MS	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	
			24 60	0.00	0 00	0.00		
0.02	0.01	0.993	24.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.44	
S10 0.02	0.01	0.394	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.51	9.69	
S11 0.00	0.00	0.000	24.60	0.00	0.00	24.60	0.00	
S12 0.01	0.01	0.624	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.61	15.35	
S13 0.04	0.02	0.475	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.68	
S14	0.00	0 600	24.60	0.00	0.00	6.15	16.93	
s15	0.00	0.000	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.48	7.45	
0.00 S16	0.00	0.303	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.79	11.31	
0.01 S17	0.00	0.460	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.99	8.36	
0.03 S18	0.02	0.340	24,60	0.00	0.00	13.53	10.17	
0.00	0.00	0.413	24 60	0 00	0.00	14 02	0 60	
0.01	0.00	0.394	24.00	0.00	0.00	15 50	9.09	
0.06	0.03	0.356	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.50	8.75	
S20 0.02	0.01	0.310	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.73	7.62	
S21 0.01	0.01	0.356	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.25	8.76	
S22	0 01	0 526	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	12.94	
s23	0.001	0.020	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.87	15.37	
s24	0.00	0.625	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.76	9.41	
0.03 s25	0.02	0.382	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.31	
0.00 S26	0.00	0.460	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.78	9.91	
0.01 S27	0.00	0.403	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.76	9 - 43	
0.07	0.03	0.384	24 60	0.00	0.00	0.25	12 01	
0.01	0.01	0.565	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.30	13.91	
S29 0.02	0.01	0.537	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.09	13.21	

	Infill De	evelopme	ent Impact on the	Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks <b>2019</b>
S3			24.60	0.00	0.00	23.37	1.13
0.01 S30	0.01	0.046	24.60	0.00	0.00	5.66	17.40
0.00 S31	0.00	0.707	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.07	12.49
0.03 S32	0.01	0.508	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.04	11.24
0.05 S33	0.03	0.457	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.12	16.21
0.02 S34	0.01	0.659	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.79	10.77
0.01 S35	0.00	0.438	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.48	7.46
0.00	0.00	0.303	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.12	15.62
0.03	0.02	0.635	24 60	0 00	0 00	13 04	11 17
0.03	0.01	0.454	24.60	0.00	0.00	15 74	8 48
0.01	0.01	0.345	24.00	0.00	0.00	12 52	10 92
0.01	0.01	0.440	24.00	0.00	0.00	10.92	10.02
0.02	0.01	0.509	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	12.52
0.01	0.01	0.361	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.50	8.87
S41 0.01	0.01	0.219	24.60	0.00	0.00	18.94	5.38
\$42 0.01	0.00	0.374	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.25	9.19
\$43 0.01	0.01	0.393	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.02	9.67
\$44 0.02	0.01	0.326	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.24	8.02
\$45 0.02	0.01	0.662	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.87	16.29
S46 0.03	0.01	0.459	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.04	11.29
S47 0.02	0.01	0.283	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	6.95
S48 0.00	0.00	0.300	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	7.38
S49 0.01	0.00	0.320	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.73	7.87
S5 0.01	0.01	0.220	24.60	0.00	0.00	19.19	5.40
s50 0.00	0.00	0.552	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	13.57
S51	0 00	0 421	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.28	10.35
s52	0.00	0.5/9	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.58	13.52
S53	0.01	0.049	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	7.07
S54	0.00	0.207	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.01	9.48
0.01 S55	0.01	0.385	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.74	8.45
0.04 S56	0.02	0.343	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.05	11.37
0.01 S57	0.01	0.462	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.13	16.05
0.00 S58	0.00	0.652	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.25	9.01
0.03 \$59	0.01	0.366	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.63	16.64
0.01 S6	0.01	0.676	24.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.61
0.01	0.01	1.001					

	Infill De	evelopmen	t Impact on the	Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks <b>2019</b>
S60			24.60	0.00	0.00	13.28	10.77
0.03 S61	0.02	0.438	24.60	0.00	0.00	19.68	4.72
0.02 S62	0.01	0.192	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.53	10.76
0.01 S63	0.01	0.437	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.56	12.69
0.01 S64	0.00	0.516	24.60	0.00	0.00	18.20	6.27
0.01 \$65	0.00	0.255	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	13.45
0.02 \$66	0.01	0.547	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.07	12.42
0.00	0.00	0.505	24 60	0 00	0 00	13 53	10 70
0.03	0.02	0.435	24.60	0.00	0.00	11 32	12 78
0.03	0.01	0.520	24.00	0.00	0.00	24 60	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.000	24.00	0.00	0.00	17 00	7 22
0.03	0.01	0.298	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	/.33
0.02	0.01	0.191	24.60	0.00	0.00	19.68	4./1
s71 0.00	0.00	0.152	24.60	0.00	0.00	20.66	3.74
\$72 0.01	0.00	0.294	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	7.23
\$73 0.01	0.00	0.552	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	13.58
\$74 0.01	0.01	0.560	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.58	13.78
\$75 0.01	0.00	0.254	24.60	0.00	0.00	18.20	6.26
S76 0.01	0.01	0.431	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.53	10.60
\$77 0.00	0.00	0.616	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.12	15.15
S78 0.01	0.00	0.632	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.63	15.56
\$79 0.03	0.02	0.618	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.86	15.20
S8	0 00	0 321	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.99	7.91
S80	0.00	0 644	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.38	15.85
S81	0.00	0 458	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.27
S82	0.00	0.505	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.81	12.42
S83	0.01	0.203	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.47	6.97
S84	0.01	0.205	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.25	9.21
0.01 S85	0.01	0.374	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.56	12.46
0.01 S86	0.00	0.506	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.36	14.86
0.04 S87	0.02	0.604	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.04	11.33
0.02 \$88	0.01	0.461	24.60	0.00	0.00	11.81	12.51
0.02 \$89	0.01	0.508	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.35	14.92
0.01 S9	0.01	0.606	24.60	0.00	0.00	22.14	2.26
0.00 S90	0.00	0.092	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.79	11.53
0.01	0.00	0.469					

	Infill De	evelopmen	t Impact on the	Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks <b>2019</b>
S91			24.60	0.00	0.00	18.45	6.03
0.01 S92	0.00	0.245	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.09	13.20
0.00	0.00	0.537	24 60	0 00	0 00	13 53	10 15
0.00	0.00	0.412	24.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.10
S94 0.00	0.00	0.522	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.58	12.84
S95	0.00	0.650	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.13	16.04
0.00	0.00	0.652					

\*\*\*\*

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max arrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
J1	JUNCTION	0.29	0.52	3.42	0	01:25	0.51
J10	JUNCTION	0.59	0.69	14.21	0	00:25	0.69
J100	JUNCTION	0.01	0.03	18.03	0	01:25	0.03
J101	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	7.39	0	01:25	0.06
J102	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	7.50	0	01:25	0.05
J103	JUNCTION	0.01	0.01	7.97	0	01:25	0.01
J104	JUNCTION	0.23	0.28	7.50	0	01:24	0.28
J105	JUNCTION	0.08	0.11	7.50	0	01:24	0.11
J11	JUNCTION	0.16	0.28	8.24	0	01:25	0.28
J12	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	8.48	0	01:25	0.05
J13	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	8.68	0	01:25	0.09
J14	JUNCTION	0.03	0.06	8.78	0	01:25	0.06
J15	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	9.28	0	01:25	0.06
J16	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	9.96	0	01:25	0.09
J17	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	12.25	0	01:25	0.03
J18	JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	9.04	0	01:25	0.02
J19	JUNCTION	0.16	0.29	8.82	0	01:25	0.29
J2	JUNCTION	0.31	0.54	3.48	0	01:25	0.54
J20	JUNCTION	0.15	0.26	11.07	0	01:25	0.26
J21	JUNCTION	0.13	0.22	11.57	0	01:25	0.22
J22	JUNCTION	0.12	0.21	12.01	0	01:25	0.21
J23	JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	12.39	0	01:25	0.02
J24	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	13.12	0	01:25	0.04
J25	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	13.49	0	01:25	0.04
J26	JUNCTION	0.15	0.25	13.82	0	01:25	0.25
J27	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	13.92	0	01:25	0.04
J28	JUNCTION	0.08	0.15	15.56	0	01:25	0.15
J29	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	16.46	0	01:25	0.06
J3	JUNCTION	0.13	0.25	3.72	0	01:25	0.25
J30	JUNCTION	0.08	0.14	16.43	0	01:25	0.14
J31	JUNCTION	0.06	0.11	16.45	0	01:25	0.11
J32	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	16.66	0	01:25	0.03
J33	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	16.83	0	01:25	0.03
J34	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	16.69	0	01:25	0.05
J35	JUNCTION	0.06	0.11	16.96	0	01:25	0.11
J36	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	17.07	0	01:25	0.04
J37	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	17.47	0	01:25	0.09
J38	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	18.41	0	01:25	0.06
J39	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	20.39	0	01:25	0.04
J4	JUNCTION	0.17	0.30	6.59	0	01:25	0.30
J40	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	17.54	0	01:25	0.07
J41	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	18.02	0	01:25	0.06
J42	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	18.81	0	01:25	0.04
J43	JUNCTION	0.10	0.18	17.12	0	01:25	0.18
J44	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	17.41	0	01:25	0.04
J45	JUNCTION	0.07	0.12	17.53	0	01:25	0.12
J46	JUNCTION	0.08	0.14	17.78	0	01:25	0.14

	Infill Development Impact o	on the Capao	city of Reg	ional Drain	age N	etworks	2019
	JUNCTION	0 07	0 12	17 78	0	01.25	0 12
J48	JUNCTION	0.08	0.13	18.93	0	01:25	0.13
J49	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	19.78	0	01:25	0.08
J5	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	9.21	0	01:25	0.07
J50	JUNCTION	0.08	0.14	20.34	0	01:25	0.14
J51	JUNCTION	0.06	0.10	20.75	0	01:25	0.10
J52	JUNCTION	0.06	0.11	20.99	0	01:25	0.11
J53	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	23.06	0	01:25	0.04
J54	JUNCTION	0.06	0.10	19.31	0	01:25	0.10
J55	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	21.25	0	01:25	0.05
J56	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	22.13	0	01:25	0.03
J57	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	21.86	0	01:25	0.03
J58	JUNCTION	0.00	0.00	21.99	0	00:00	0.00
J59	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	23.17	0	01:25	0.03
J6	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	9.26	0	01:25	0.08
J60	JUNCTION	0.06	0.11	19.96	0	01:25	0.11
J61	JUNCTION	0.10	0.17	20.06	0	01:25	0.17
J62	JUNCTION	0.06	0.12	20.14	0	01:25	0.12
J63	JUNCTION	0.06	0.10	20.21	0	01:25	0.10
J 64 T 6 5	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	20.38	0	01:25	0.06
J 65 T 6 6	JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	J.0Z 4 65	0	01:25	0.02
.167	TUNCTION	0.15	0.22	5 11	0	01.25	0.22
.168	TUNCTION	0.15	0.25	5 74	0	01.25	0.25
J69	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	7.25	0	01:25	0.06
J7	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	10.84	0	01:25	0.05
J70	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	10.92	0	01:25	0.07
J71	JUNCTION	0.16	0.28	5.99	0	01:25	0.28
J72	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	12.48	0	01:25	0.09
J73	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	13.88	0	01:25	0.08
J74	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	14.12	0	01:25	0.07
J75	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	14.37	0	01:25	0.07
J76	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	14.49	0	01:25	0.08
J//	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	15.69	0	01:25	0.03
J/8 770	JUNCTION	0.00	0.00	14./5	0	00:00	0.00
J79 то	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	1/ 12	0	01:25	0.04
т <u>я</u> 0	UNCTION	0.02	0.03	15 33	0	01.25	0.03
.181	JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	16 62	0	01.25	0.02
.182	JUNCTION	0.15	0.26	6 30	0	01.25	0.26
J83	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	7.33	0	01:25	0.09
J84	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	13.72	0	01:25	0.04
J85	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	16.98	0	01:25	0.03
J86	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	14.03	0	01:25	0.06
J87	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	14.30	0	01:25	0.03
J88	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	15.30	0	01:25	0.06
J89	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	15.60	0	01:25	0.07
J9	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	14.33	0	01:25	0.07
J90	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	18.17	0	01:25	0.03
J91	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	18.81	0	01:25	0.03
J92	JUNCTION	0.11	0.20	6.45	0	01:25	0.20
J 9 J T Q A	JUNCTION	0.11	0.19	0.0/ 19 5/	0	U1:20 01:25	0.19
J 94 таб	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	19.04 20 53	0	01:20	0.04
,TQ6	TUNCTION	0.02	0.03	20.33	0	01.25	
J97	JUNCTION	0.11	0.19	7.00	0	01:25	0.19
J98	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	17.07	Õ	01:25	0.04
J99	JUNCTION	0.10	0.19	7.09	0	01:25	0.19
OF1	OUTFALL	0.28	0.52	2.75	0	01:25	0.51

## \*

Node Inflow Summary

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

]			Maximum	Maximum			Lateral	
Total	F,Tom		Lateral	Total	Time	of Max	Inflow	
Inflow	Balance		Inflow	Inflow	Οςςι	irrence	Volume	
Volume Node ltr	Error Percent	Туре	CMS	CMS	days	hr:min	10^6 ltr	10^6
J1 1 42	0 073	JUNCTION	0.032	0.712	0	01:25	0.0623	
J10	0.075	JUNCTION	0.006	0.006	0	01:25	0.0107	
0.0109 J100	/.150	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.0107	
0.0107 J101	-0.078	JUNCTION	0.002	0.011	0	01:25	0.00416	
0.0191 J102	0.122	JUNCTION	0 005	0 009	0	01.25	0 00971	
0.0159	-0.119	TUNCETON	0.001	0.001	0	01.25	0 00227	
0.00237	-0.008	JUNCIION	0.001	0.001	0	01:25	0.00237	
J104 0.0086	33.807	JUNCTION	0.001	0.004	0	01:24	0.00193	
J105 0.00354	6,945	JUNCTION	0.002	0.002	0	00:36	0.00329	
J11	0 023	JUNCTION	0.000	0.410	0	01:25	0	
J12	0.025	JUNCTION	0.002	0.022	0	01:25	0.00305	
0.0435 J13	0.022	JUNCTION	0.021	0.021	0	01:25	0.0405	
0.0404 J14	0.042	JUNCTION	0.002	0.025	0	01:25	0.00447	
0.0494 .T15	0.012	JUNCTION	0 002	0 023	0	01.25	0 00381	
0.0449	0.028	TUNCTION	0.002	0.023	0	01.25	0.00001	
0.0412	0.051	JUNCTION	0.016	0.021	0	01:25	0.0321	
J17 0.00904	0.019	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00904	
J18 0 00833	0 023	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.00833	
J19	0.011	JUNCTION	0.011	0.363	0	01:25	0.0224	
J2	0.044	JUNCTION	0.010	0.684	0	01:25	0.0182	
1.36 J20	0.096	JUNCTION	0.001	0.348	0	01:25	0.00147	
0.685 J21	0.040	JUNCTION	0.005	0.332	0	01:25	0.00848	
0.654	0.005		0 017	0 328	0	01.25	0 0329	
0.646	0.035	TINGTION	0.017	0.020	0	01.25	0.00020	
0.00284	0.022	JUNCTION	0.002	0.002	0	01:25	0.00284	
J24 0.0294	0.028	JUNCTION	0.008	0.015	0	01:25	0.0161	
J25 0 0133	0 038	JUNCTION	0.007	0.007	0	01:25	0.0133	
J26	0.070	JUNCTION	0.034	0.311	0	01:25	0.0658	
J27	0.072	JUNCTION	0.008	0.008	0	01:25	0.0142	
U.0142 J28	0.080	JUNCTION	0.026	0.106	0	01:25	0.0516	
0.209 J29	0.049	JUNCTION	0.010	0.010	0	01:25	0.0204	
0.0204	-0.022	TUNCETON	0.006	0 445	0	01.25	0 0117	
0.882	0.022	U U II C I I UN	0.000	0.440	U	01.20	0.011/	

J30	0 063	JUNCTION	0.001	0.070	0	01:25	0.00224	
J31	0.063	JUNCTION	0.000	0.016	0	01:25	0	
0.0309 J32	0.090	JUNCTION	0.000	0.003	0	01:25	0	
0.00511 J33	0.126	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00511	
0.00511	0.074		0 013	0 012	0	01.25	0 0259	
0.0258	0.023	JUNCTION	0.013	0.013	0	01:25	0.0258	
J35 0.104	0.028	JUNCTION	0.017	0.053	0	01:25	0.0342	
J36 0.0114	0.059	JUNCTION	0.006	0.006	0	01:25	0.0114	
J37	0 029	JUNCTION	0.007	0.030	0	01:25	0.0141	
J38	0.029	JUNCTION	0.007	0.012	0	01:25	0.0131	
0.0229 J39	0.035	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00978	
0.00978 J4	0.009	JUNCTION	0.013	0.440	0	01:25	0.0267	
0.87	0.054	JUNCTION	0 000	0 011	0	01.25	0	
0.022	0.057	TUNCTION	0.000	0.011	0	01.25	0 0101	
0.022	0.014	JUNCTION	0.006	0.011	0	01:25	0.0121	
J42 0.00996	0.120	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00996	
J43 0 321	0 054	JUNCTION	0.001	0.164	0	01:25	0.0027	
J44	0.031	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.017	
J45	0.077	JUNCTION	0.013	0.089	0	01:25	0.028	
0.176 J46	0.011	JUNCTION	0.008	0.075	0	01:25	0.0164	
0.148 J47	0.020	JUNCTION	0.019	0.065	0	01:24	0.0375	
0.126	0.046		0 000	0 067	0	01.25	0	
0.131	0.045	OUNCIION	0.000	0.007	0	01.25	0	
J49 0.0887	0.014	JUNCTION	0.012	0.045	0	01:25	0.0228	
J5 0.0362	0.069	JUNCTION	0.002	0.018	0	01:25	0.00387	
J50 0 0659	0 065	JUNCTION	0.015	0.033	0	01:25	0.0287	
J51	0.041	JUNCTION	0.005	0.019	0	01:25	0.0093	
J52	0.041	JUNCTION	0.008	0.014	0	01:25	0.0149	
0.0279 J53	0.064	JUNCTION	0.007	0.007	0	01:25	0.0131	
0.0131 J54	-0.007	JUNCTION	0.012	0.022	0	01:25	0.0234	
0.0426	0.067	τινιαψτονι	0 002	0 010	0	01.25	0 00/91	
0.0193	0.034	JUNCTION	0.002	0.010	0	01.25	0.00491	
J56 0.00582	-0.023	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00582	
J57 0.00858	0.092	JUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	01:25	0.00237	
J58 0	0 000 ltr	JUNCTION	0.000	0.000	0	00:00	0	
J59		JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00621	
J6	0.056	JUNCTION	0.002	0.016	0	01:25	0.00354	
0.0323 J60	0.040	JUNCTION	0.001	0.050	0	01:25	0.00249	
0.0886	0.009							

J61	0.002	JUNCTION	0.007	0.044	0	01:24	0.0131
J62	0.092	JUNCTION	0.015	0.038	0	01:25	0.0291
0.0731 J63	0.013	JUNCTION	0.005	0.023	0	01:25	0.0102
0.044 J64	0.033	JUNCTION	0.017	0.017	0	01:25	0.0338
0.0338	0.014	TUNCUTON	0.006	0 006	0	01.25	0.0105
0.0105	-0.002	JUNCIION	0.000	0.000	0	01:25	0.0105
J66 0.449	0.061	JUNCTION	0.006	0.226	0	01:25	0.0121
J67 0.437	0.055	JUNCTION	0.013	0.221	0	01:25	0.0247
J68 0 413	0 047	JUNCTION	0.000	0.209	0	01:25	0
J69	0.047	JUNCTION	0.012	0.058	0	01:25	0.0246
0.114 J7	0.001	JUNCTION	0.000	0.014	0	01:25	0
0.0288 J70	0.013	JUNCTION	0.002	0.046	0	01:25	0.00292
0.0895 J71	0.018	JUNCTION	0.000	0.152	0	01:25	0
0.299	0.135	TUNCETON	0.016	0 044	0	01.25	0 0215
0.0866	0.017	JUNCTION	0.016	0.044	0	01:25	0.0315
J73 0.0552	0.020	JUNCTION	0.014	0.028	0	01:25	0.0265
J74 0.0287	0.013	JUNCTION	0.006	0.015	0	01:25	0.011
J75 0 0177	0 0 9 0	JUNCTION	0.000	0.009	0	01:25	0
J76	0.000	JUNCTION	0.004	0.009	0	01:25	0.00881
J77	0.036	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00894
0.00894 J78	0.004	JUNCTION	0.000	0.000	0	00:00	0
0 J79	0.000 ltr	JUNCTION	0.010	0.012	0	01:25	0.0184
0.023	-0.081	TUNCTION	0 000	0 014	0	01.25	0
0.0289	-0.013	JUNCIION	0.000	0.014	0	01.25	0
J80 0.0046	0.045	JUNCTION	0.000	0.002	0	01:25	0
J81 0.0046	-0.020	JUNCTION	0.002	0.002	0	01:25	0.0046
J82 0.276	0.079	JUNCTION	0.005	0.141	0	01:25	0.00918
J83	0.024	JUNCTION	0.004	0.048	0	01:25	0.00883
J84	0.024	JUNCTION	0.007	0.012	0	01:25	0.0149
0.0233 J85	-0.014	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.00838
0.00838 J86	-0.022	JUNCTION	0.002	0.032	0	01:25	0.00295
0.0611	0.026	JUNCTION	0 005	0 005	0	01.25	0 0104
0.0104	0.001		0.005	0.000	0	01.05	0.0101
J88 0.0478	0.022	JUNCTION	0.005	0.025	U	UI:25	0.0084
J89 0.0394	0.008	JUNCTION	0.015	0.020	0	01:25	0.0294
J9 0.0197	0.013	JUNCTION	0.010	0.010	0	01:25	0.0197
J90 0 01	0 134	JUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	01:25	0.00238
J91	0.107	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.00766
0.00/66	0.026						

J92		JUNCTION	0.006	0.089	0	01:25	0.0108
0.174	0.067						
J93		JUNCTION	0.012	0.084	0	01:25	0.0244
0.163	0.120						
J94		JUNCTION	0.007	0.012	0	01:25	0.0139
0.0229	-0.097						
J95		JUNCTION	0.000	0.005	0	01:25	0
0.00903	0.049						
J96		JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00903
0.00903	0.010						
J97		JUNCTION	0.011	0.060	0	01:25	0.0223
0.116	0.166						
J98		JUNCTION	0.020	0.020	0	01:25	0.0379
0.0379	0.039						
J99		JUNCTION	0.013	0.030	0	01:25	0.0263
0.0561	0.215						
OF1		OUTFALL	0.008	0.719	0	01:25	0.0165
1.44	0.000						

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

Node	Туре	Hours Surcharged	Max. Height Above Crown Meters	Min. Depth Below Rim Meters
J10	JUNCTION	1.58	0.540	0.000

# Flooding refers to all water that overflows a node, whether it ponds or not.

Node	Hours Flooded	Maximum Rate CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Total Flood Volume 10^6 ltr	Maximum Ponded Depth Meters
J10	0.21	0.003	0 00:25	0.001	0.000

#### 

Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow CMS	Max Flow CMS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr
OF1	98.16	0.304	0.719	1.435
System	98.16	0.304	0.719	1.435

# 

\*\*\*\*

Maximum	Time of Max	Maximum	Max/	Max/
Flow	Occurrence	Veloc	Full	Full

Link	Туре	CMS	days	hr:min	m/sec	Flow	Depth
C1	CONDUIT	0.712	0	01:25	2.20	0.82	0.69
C10	CONDUIT	0.004	0	01:25	0.33	0.23	0.61
C100	CONDUIT	0.011	0	01:25	0.39	0.10	0.42
C101	CONDUIT	0.009	0	01:25	0.86	0.04	0.15
C102	CONDUIT	0.004	0	01:26	0.24	0.05	0.56
C103	CONDUIT	0.002	0	01:23	0.10	0.02	0.66
C104 C105	CONDULT	0.001	0	01:25	0.07	0.00	0.49
C105 C11	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:25	0.33	0.03	0.40
C12	CONDUIT	0.022	0	01:25	0.57	0.06	0.55
C13	CONDUIT	0.021	0	01:25	1.71	0.17	0.23
C14	CONDUIT	0.025	0	01:25	0.67	0.08	0.56
C15	CONDUIT	0.023	0	01:25	2.71	0.16	0.27
C16	CONDUIT	0.021	0	01:25	1.78	0.31	0.34
C17	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:25	0.68	0.11	0.41
C18	CONDUIT	0.363	0	01:25	3.42	0.75	0.63
C19	CONDUIT	0.004	0	01:25	0.14	0.01	0.52
02	CONDULT	0.684	0	01:25	2.09	1.03	0.70
C20 C21	CONDULT	0.348	0	01:25	3.37	0.65	0.62
C21 C22	CONDUIT	0.015	0	01:25	1 23	0.03	0.41
C23	CONDUIT	0.007	0	01.25	3 40	0.03	0.15
C24	CONDUIT	0.328	0	01:25	3.93	0.34	0.41
C25	CONDUIT	0.002	0	01:25	0.09	0.01	0.50
C26	CONDUIT	0.310	0	01:25	3.39	0.44	0.44
C27	CONDUIT	0.008	0	01:25	0.22	0.04	0.49
C28	CONDUIT	0.106	0	01:25	2.13	0.48	0.66
C29	CONDUIT	0.010	0	01:25	0.56	0.18	0.47
C3	CONDUIT	0.445	0	01:25	3.37	0.47	0.77
C30 C31	CONDULT	0.070	0	01:25	2.06	0.4/	0.48
C32	CONDULT	0.010	0	01:25	0.55	0.20	0.42
C33	CONDUIT	0.003	0	01.25	0.27	0.03	0.30
C34	CONDUIT	0.013	0	01:25	0.87	0.06	0.26
C35	CONDUIT	0.053	0	01:25	1.88	0.28	0.42
C36	CONDUIT	0.006	0	01:25	0.49	0.08	0.34
C37	CONDUIT	0.030	0	01:25	1.81	0.33	0.44
C38	CONDUIT	0.012	0	01:25	0.99	0.18	0.34
C39	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:25	0.96	0.13	0.33
C4	CONDULT	0.440	0	01:25	4.32	0./6	0.61
C40	CONDULT	0.011	0	01:25	1 24	0.18	0.34
C41 C42	CONDUIT	0.011	0	01.25	0.83	0.10	0.20
C43	CONDUIT	0.164	0	01:25	2.50	0.46	0.57
C44	CONDUIT	0.009	0	01:25	0.38	0.05	0.37
C45	CONDUIT	0.089	0	01:25	2.14	0.23	0.40
C46	CONDUIT	0.065	0	01:25	1.81	0.36	0.51
C47	CONDUIT	0.075	0	01:25	2.59	0.42	0.43
C48	CONDUIT	0.067	0	01:25	2.19	0.41	0.45
C49	CONDUIT	0.045	0	01:25	2.38	0.29	0.48
C5	CONDULT	0.018	0	01:25	0.65	0.19	0.65
C50 C51	CONDULT	0.033	0	01:25	1.0/	0.07	0.50
C52	CONDUIT	0.019	0	01.25	1 11	0.30	0.55
C53	CONDUIT	0.007	0	01:25	0.78	0.15	0.49
C54	CONDUIT	0.022	0	01:25	1.04	0.44	0.53
C55	CONDUIT	0.010	Ũ	01:25	0.82	0.11	0.34
C56	CONDUIT	0.003	0	01:25	0.75	0.10	0.27
C57	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:25	0.91	0.05	0.19
C58	CONDUIT	0.000	0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.08
C59	CONDUIT	0.003	0	01:25	1.28	0.07	0.20
	CONDUIT	0.016	0	01:25	0.69	0.37	0.34
	CONDUTT	0.046	U	01.25	1.8U	0.28	0.39
C 62	CONDUTT	0.049 0 038	0	01:20	1 1 3	0./8 0.28	0.4/
C63	CONDUIT	0.023	0	01:25	1.01	0.22	0.36

C 64	CONDITT	0 0	17	0 (	11.25	1 1	5 0	) () ()	0 27
C 65	CONDUIT	0.0	117 106		)1.25	1.1	5 0	0.09	0.27
C 6 6	CONDUIT	0.0	25	0 (	)1.25	1 2		) 20	0.54
000	CONDUIT	0.2	.20	0 (	)1:25	1.2		.20	0.03
C67	CONDULT	0.2	20	0 (	J1:25	2.3	/ (	)./6	0.57
C68	CONDUIT	0.2	209	0 (	)1:25	2.0	5 C	0.63	0.61
C69	CONDUIT	0.0	58	0 (	01 <b>:</b> 25	1.6	9 C	0.08	0.53
C7	CONDUIT	0.0	14	0 (	01:25	1.1	6 C	0.06	0.23
C70	CONDUIT	0.0	46	0 (	01:25	4.0	4 C	0.12	0.22
C71	CONDUIT	0.0	44	0 (	)1:25	2.9	1 C	).18	0.27
C72	CONDUIT	0.0	28	0 (	01:25	1.7	5 C	).15	0.28
C73	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0 (	01:25	1.3	з с	.18	0.32
C74	CONDUIT	0.0	09	0 (	01:25	0.9	4 C	).19	0.29
C75	CONDUIT	0.0	09	0 (	)1:25	0.7	7 C	).30	0.33
C76	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0 (	01:25	0.7	8 0	0.06	0.37
C77	CONDULT	0 0	00	0 (	00.00	0 0	0 0	00	0 28
C78	CONDITT	0.0 0 1	52	0 0	11.25	1 5	6 0	) 71	0.20
C79	CONDULT	0.1	112		)1 • 2 5	1.J 0 7	7 0	) 08	0.59
C 9	CONDULT	0.0	/エム \1 /		)1.05	0.7	, L		0.09
C0 C00	CONDULT	0.0	114		)1.25 )1.25	2.3			0.14
C0U	CONDUTT	0.0	02		)1;20 )1,05	0./	4 L		0.14
	CONDULT	0.0	102	U (	JI:25	1.3		0.02	0.09
082	CONDUIT	0.1	.41	U (	J1:25	1.4	4 C	1.62	0.59
083	CONDUIT	0.0	48	0 (	J1:25	1.5	9 C	0.37	0.71
C84	CONDUIT	0.0	12	0 (	J1:25	1.2	U C	0.06	0.30
C85	CONDUIT	0.0	04	0 (	01:25	1.3	7 C	0.09	0.23
C86	CONDUIT	0.0	32	0 (	01:25	2.6	1 C	0.16	0.35
C87	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0 (	01:25	0.7	5 C	0.03	0.16
C88	CONDUIT	0.0	25	0 (	01:25	2.4	0 0	0.09	0.20
C89	CONDUIT	0.0	20	0 (	01 <b>:</b> 25	1.8	з с	).11	0.22
С9	CONDUIT	0.0	10	0 (	01:25	1.2	9 C	0.10	0.17
C90	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0 (	01:25	0.8	0 0	0.05	0.23
C 91	CONDUTT	0 0	04	0 (	)1 • 25	1 1	3 (	02	0 10
C 92	CONDUTT	0.0	188	0 0	11.25	1 1		) 40	0.10
C93	CONDULT	0.0	183		)1 • 2 5	1 /	5 0	) 51	0.50
C93	CONDUIT	0.0	105	0 (	)1.2J	1.4		).JI	0.52
C94	CONDUIT	0.0		0 (	)1:20	1.0		.00	0.50
C95	CONDULT	0.0	105	0 (	)1:25	1.0		1.03	0.12
096	CONDUIT	0.0	105	0 (	J1:25	1.4	8 (	).13	0.23
097	CONDULT	0.0	160	0 (	J1:25	1.2	1 0	)./4	0.64
~ ~ ~	('())))))))))	0.0	1.2.0	0 (	JI:25	0.9	6 (	() () ()	
C98	CONDUIT	0 0	20	0 0	11.0E	0 0	2 0	. 72	0.51
C98 C99	CONDUIT	0.0	129	0 (	01:25	0.6	2 C	).73	0.51 0.64
C98 C99 ********************************	CONDUIT CONDUIT	0.0		0 (	01:25	0.6		).73	0.51
C98 C99 ********************************	CONDUIT CONDUIT	0.0		0 ( 	01:25	0.6  Time	2 C	).73	0.51 0.64
C98 C99 ********************************	CONDUIT CONDUIT	0.0	 Up	0 ( Frac Down	01:25  ction of n Sub	0.6  Time Sup	 in Flc Up	).73 ).73 ow Clas Down	0.51 0.64
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted	0.0	 Up	0 ( Frac Down	01:25 ction of n Sub	0.6 Time Sup	in Flc	).73 ).73 Down Clas	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ***************** Flow Classific ***********************************	CONDUIT CONDUIT ************************************	0.0	 Up Dry	0 ( Frac Down Dry	D1:25 ction of n Sub Crit	0.6 Time Sup Crit	2 C in Flc Up Crit	).73 ).73 Down Class Down Crit	0.51 0.64
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length	0.0  Dry	Up Dry	0 ( Frac Down Dry	D1:25 ction of n Sub Crit	0.6 Time Sup Crit	in Flc Up Crit	).73 )w Clas Down Crit	0.51 0.64 ss Norm Ltd
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length	0.0  Dry 0.01	Up Dry 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit	0.6 Time Sup Crit 	2 C in Flc Up Crit 	).73 ).73 ow Clas Down Crit 	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	CONDUIT CONDUIT ************************************	0.0	Up Dry 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.00	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00	).73 ).73 ow Clas Down Crit 0.00	0.51 0.64 ss Norm Ltd 0.44
C98 C99 ***************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length	0.0 Dry 0.01	Up Dry 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00	).73 ).73 )w Clas Down Crit 0.00	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ****************** Flow Classific ***********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98 0 0.07	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.92	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98 0 0.07	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.92	 in Flc Up Crit  0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Down Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.51 0.64 0.64 Norm Ltd 0.44 0.15 0.95 0.87
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98 0 0.07 0 0.97	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.92 0.01	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 
C98 C99 ********************************	Adjusted /Actual Length 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.0 Dry 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	Up Dry 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 ( Frac Down Dry 0.0( 0.0( 0.0( 0.0(	01:25 ction of n Sub Crit 0 0.01 0 0.98 0 0.98 0 0.07 0 0.97	0.6 Time Sup Crit 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.92 0.01	2 C in Flc Up Crit 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73 ).73	0.51 0.64 0.64 Norm Ltd 0.44 0.15 0.95 0.87 0.21

C104	1.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C105	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C11	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00 C12	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.94
0.00 C13	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C14	1.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.95	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 98	0 00	0 00	0 00
0.00	1 00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.19
C19 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
C2 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.11
C20 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.88
C21 0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.94
C22	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.94
C23	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.86
C24	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.76
C25	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
C26	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.04
0.00 C27	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C28	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00 C29	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C3	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.85
0.00 C30	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.64
0.00 C31	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C32	1.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00	1.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.64
0.00	1 00	0 01	0.00	0.00	0 04	0 94	0 00	0.00	0 92
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.09
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.92
C38 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.95
C39 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
C4 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00

C40 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.83
C41	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.88
C42	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C43	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C44	1.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 98	0 00	0 00	0 89
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.09
C47 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.27
C48 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.75
C49	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.91
C5	1.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.91
0.00 C50	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C51	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C52	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.52
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 1 2	0 95	0 00	0 00	0 03
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.91
C55 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.95
C56	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.91
C57	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.82
C58	1.00	0.06	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C59	1.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00 C6	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00	1 00	0.02	0.001	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.65
C63 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.69
C64	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
C65	1.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
C66	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00 C67	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.09
0.00 C68	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.76
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 10	0 80	0 00	0 00	0 93
0.00	1 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.68
C70 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.05

C71	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C72	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 98	0 00	0 00	0 95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.55
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.18
C75 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00
C76	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.95
C77	1.00	0.01	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C78	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.42
0.00 C79	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.91
0.00	1 00	0 02	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 98	0 00	0 00	0 92
0.00	1 00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.95
C81 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.20
C82	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.58
C83	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.95
C84	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C85	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00 C86	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 10	0 88	0 00	0 00	0 91
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.91
0.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.04
C89 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
C9	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.00
C90	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.82
C91	1.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00 C92	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C93	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.58
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 02	0.06	0 00	0 00	0 05
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
C95 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.94
C96 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.14
C97	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.68
C98	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C99	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62
0.00									

Conduit Surcharge Summary \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Conduit	Both Ends	Hours Full Upstream	 Dnstream	Hours Above Full Normal Flow	Hours Capacity Limited
C10	0.01	0.01	1.58	0.01	0.01
C2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01
C3	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.01
C5	0.01	0.01	0.24	0.01	0.01
C65	0.01	0.01	1.03	0.01	0.01
C79	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.01	0.01
C83	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.01

Analysis begun on: Sat Oct 12 21:00:55 2019 Analysis ended on: Sat Oct 12 21:00:56 2019

# Appendix I: Inverloch max development PCSWMM results

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.012)

WARNING 01: wet weather time step reduced to recording interval for Rain Gage  ${\rm Chicago\_3h}$ 

* * * * * * *	: * * *	* * * *						
Element Count								
* * * * * * *	***	* * * *						
Number	of	rain gages	10					
Number	of	subcatchments	95					
Number	of	nodes	106					
Number	of	links	105					
Number	of	pollutants	0					
Number	of	land uses	0					

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Raingage Summary

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago 3h	Chicago 3h	INTENSITY	1 min.
Cowes120min	Cowes120min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes120min(2)	Cowes120min(2)	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes180min	Cowes180min	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes30min	Cowes30min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes45min	Cowes45min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes4day	Cowes4day	INTENSITY	180 min.
Cowes60min	Cowes60min	INTENSITY	5 min.
Cowes6hr	Cowes6hr	INTENSITY	15 min.
Cowes90min	Cowes90min	INTENSITY	5 min.

Subcatchment Summary

Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage
0.07	20.00	100.00	1.0000 Cowes90min
0.20	35.00	60.00	8.3000 Cowes90min
0.12	25.00	0.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
0.07	25.00	65.00	5.0000 Cowes90min
0.35	25.00	60.00	3.0000 Cowes90min
0.02	6.00	75.00	3.0000 Cowes90min
0.06	7.00	33.00	4.5000 Cowes90min
0.08	18.00	60.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
0.38	30.00	60.00	3.3300 Cowes90min
0 04	8 00	45 00	4 5000 Cowes90min
0.01	0.00	10.00	
	Area 0.07 0.20 0.12 0.07 0.35 0.02 0.06 0.08 0.38 0.04	Area   Width     0.07   20.00     0.20   35.00     0.12   25.00     0.07   25.00     0.35   25.00     0.02   6.00     0.06   7.00     0.38   30.00     0.04   8.00	AreaWidth%Imperv0.0720.00100.000.2035.0060.000.1225.000.000.0725.0065.000.3525.0060.000.026.0075.000.067.0033.000.0818.0060.000.3830.0060.000.048.0045.00

S19	0.09	11.00	43.00	3.0000	Cowes90min
S2	0.71	50.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J1 S20	0.29	35.00	60.00	3.0000	Cowes90min
J19 S21	0.15	8.50	60.00	4.5000	Cowes90min
J25 S22	0.12	8.50	60.00	4.5000	Cowes90min
J24 S23	0.02	7.00	68.00	4.5000	Cowes90min
J23 S24	0.35	60.00	60.00	4.5000	Cowes90min
J22 S25	0.01	8.00	50.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J20 S26	0.09	12.00	44.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J21 S27	0 70	75 00	60 00	3 3300	Cowes90min
J26	0 10	10 00	62 00	3 3300	CowosQumin
J27	0.12	10.00	59.00	2 2200	Cowessonin
J44	0.13	10.00	59.00	5.5500	Cowesgomin
J65	0.93	50.00	60.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
S30 J43	0.02	7.00	.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
S31 J45	0.22	8.00	60.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
S32 J28	0.46	60.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
S33 J29	0.13	30.00	67.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
S34 J33	0.05	6.50	48.00	1.0000	Cowes90min
S35	0.03	10.00	33.00	1.0000	Cowes90min
S36	0.22	18.00	67.00	2.0000	Cowes90min
S37	0.23	45.00	60.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
S38	0.13	10.00	60.00	2.0000	Cowes90min
S39	0.13	30.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J37 S4	0.14	10.00	56.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J2 S40	0.14	40.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J41 S41	0.24	38.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J38 S42	0.11	20.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J39 S43	0.10	9.00	43.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J42 S44	0.20	35.00	60.00	3.0000	Cowes90min
J46 S45	0.14	35.00	68.00	2.8000	Cowes90min
J49 S46	0.25	48 00	47 00	2 8000	Cowes90min
J50	0.34	45 00	60.00	3 3300	Cowessin
J54	0.07	20.00	20.00	2 2200	Cowes Joint II
548 J55	0.07	20.00	30.00	3.3300	cowesyumin
549 J56	0.0/	25.00	32.00	3.3300	Cowes90min

S5	0.22	35.00	60.00	1.0000	Cowes90min
s50	0.02	9.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
S51	0.06	7.50	46.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J59 S52	0.11	25.00	60.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J52 S53	0.13	25.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J51 S54	0.14	35.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J53 S55	0.44	50.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
J47 S56	0.12	7.00	51.00	2.5000	Cowes90min
J61 S57	0 02	7 00	71 00	3 3300	Cowes90min
J60 \$58	0.32	45 00	60.00	2 5000	Cowesquain
J62	0.02	10.00	60.00	2.5000	Cowessonin
J63	0.06	18.00	69.00	2.5000	cowes 90min
36 J66	0.05	50.00	100.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
S60 J64	0.31	45.00	60.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
S61 J67	0.52	28.00	60.00	6.6600	Cowes90min
S62 J74	0.10	25.00	60.00	6.6600	Cowes90min
S63 J77	0.07	20.00	60.00	6.6600	Cowes90min
S64	0.14	40.00	60.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
S 65	0.18	38.00	60.00	15.0000	Cowes90min
S66	0.02	5.00	55.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
s67	0.29	50.00	60.00	3.5000	Cowes90min
S68	0.21	35.00	60.00	5.5500	Cowes90min
573 \$69	0.28	55.00	60.00	7.5000	Cowes90min
J71 S7	0.36	60.00	60.00	6.3600	Cowes90min
J4 S70	0.39	55.00	60.00	7.5000	Cowes90min
J79 S71	0.12	38.00	60.00	4.2000	Cowes90min
J81 S72	0.13	28.00	60.00	13.0000	Cowes90min
J82 \$73	0.07	20.00	60.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
J83 \$74	0 11	23 00	60 00	10 0000	Cowes90min
J84 \$75	0.12	50.00	60.00	10,0000	Cowessonin
J85	0.10	50.00	60.00	10.0000	Cowes 90milli
5/6 J87	0.10	20.00	60.00	5./000	Cowes90min
S77 J86	0.02	10.00	67.00	5.7000	Cowes90min
S78 J88	0.05	8.50	69.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
S79 J89	0.19	30.00	64.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
S8 J5	0.05	8.50	35.00	4.0000	Cowes90min

S80	0.01	15.00	70.00	6.5000	Cowes90min
S81	0.07	10.00	50.00	3.3300	Cowes90min
S82	0.09	25.00	60.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
592 583	0.35	40.00	60.00	15.0000	Cowes90min
595 584	0.15	45.00	60.00	5.8000	Cowes90min
S85	0.07	25.00	60.00	5.8000	Cowes90min
596 586	0.26	15.00	66.00	5.3000	Cowes90min
S87	0.20	40.00	60.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
588 588	0.15	33.00	60.00	20.0000	Cowes90min
589 589	0.07	20.00	62.00	4.0000	Cowes90min
J100 S9	0.16	30.00	60.00	5.4000	Cowes90min
J6 S90	0.06	25.00	60.00	7.0000	Cowes90min
J99 S91	0.16	50.00	60.00	11.1100	Cowes90min
J102 S92	0.03	6.00	59.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
J101 S93	0.02	7.00	45.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
J104 S94	0.02	7.00	57.00	0.5000	Cowes90min
J103 S95	0.02	7.00	71.00	5.0000	Cowes90min
J105					

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
J1	JUNCTION	2.90	1.54	0.0	
J10	JUNCTION	13.52	0.69	0.0	
J100	JUNCTION	18.00	1.50	0.0	
J101	JUNCTION	7.33	0.75	0.0	
J102	JUNCTION	7.45	0.80	0.0	
J103	JUNCTION	7.96	1.05	0.0	
J104	JUNCTION	7.22	1.14	0.0	
J105	JUNCTION	7.39	1.12	0.0	
J11	JUNCTION	7.96	1.37	0.0	
J12	JUNCTION	8.43	0.73	0.0	
J13	JUNCTION	8.59	0.69	0.0	
J14	JUNCTION	8.72	0.95	0.0	
J15	JUNCTION	9.22	0.62	0.0	
J16	JUNCTION	9.87	0.96	0.0	
J17	JUNCTION	12.22	0.70	0.0	
J18	JUNCTION	9.02	0.85	0.0	
J19	JUNCTION	8.53	1.47	0.0	
J2	JUNCTION	2.94	1.50	0.0	
J20	JUNCTION	10.81	1.47	0.0	
J21	JUNCTION	11.35	1.40	0.0	
J22	JUNCTION	11.80	1.51	0.0	
J23	JUNCTION	12.37	0.75	0.0	
J24	JUNCTION	13.08	1.09	0.0	
J25	JUNCTION	13.45	0.83	0.0	
J26	JUNCTION	13.57	1.53	0.0	
J27	JUNCTION	13.88	0.93	0.0	

.T28	TUNCTION	15 41	0 80	0 0
720	TINGTION	10.41	0.00	0.0
529	JUNCTION	16.40	0.30	0.0
J3	JUNCTION	3.47	1.13	0.0
J30	JUNCTION	16.29	1.16	0.0
J31	JUNCTION	16.34	1.02	0.0
.T32	TUNCTION	16 63	0 80	0 0
T22	TINGTION	10.00	0.00	0.0
033	JUNCTION	16.80	0.80	0.0
J34	JUNCTION	16.64	0.70	0.0
J35	JUNCTION	16.85	0.90	0.0
136	JUNCTION	17.03	0.74	0.0
T37	TUNCTION	17 38	0 98	0 0
720	TUNCTION	10.05	0.90	0.0
038	JUNCTION	18.35	0.90	0.0
J39	JUNCTION	20.35	0.40	0.0
J4	JUNCTION	6.29	1.28	0.0
J40	JUNCTION	17.47	0.90	0.0
ти1	TUNCTION	17 96	0 80	0 0
740	TUNCTION	10 77	0.09	0.0
042	JUNCTION	18.//	0.65	0.0
J43	JUNCTION	16.94	1.24	0.0
J44	JUNCTION	17.37	0.71	0.0
JT4.5	JUNCTION	17.41	1.20	0.0
T 1 6	TUNCTION	17 64	1 07	0 0
540	UNCTION	17.04	1.07	0.0
J4/	JUNCTION	1/.66	1.2/	0.0
J48	JUNCTION	18.80	0.70	0.0
J49	JUNCTION	19.70	0.37	0.0
J.5	JUNCTION	9.14	0.37	0.0
T50	TINCTION	20 20	1 55	0.0
550	UNCTION	20.20	1.05	0.0
J51	JUNCTION	20.65	1.35	0.0
J52	JUNCTION	20.89	1.61	0.0
J53	JUNCTION	23.02	0.48	0.0
JT54	JUNCTION	19.21	0.70	0.0
T55	TUNCTION	21 20	0 80	0 0
555	TUNCTION	21.20	0.00	0.0
J 5 6	JUNCTION	22.10	0.40	0.0
J57	JUNCTION	21.83	0.82	0.0
J58	JUNCTION	21.99	0.62	0.0
J59	JUNCTION	23.14	0.43	0.0
.16	TINCTION	9 17	0.83	0 0
50 TCO	TINGTION	10 05	0.05	0.0
0.60	JUNCIION	19.00	0.00	0.0
J61	JUNCTION	19.89	1.26	0.0
J62	JUNCTION	20.02	1.20	0.0
J63	JUNCTION	20.11	0.82	0.0
JT64	TUNCTION	20 32	0 70	0 0
165	TINCETON	20.52	0.70	0.0
000	JUNCTION	3.00	0.55	0.0
J66	JUNCIIION	4.43	3.30	0.0
J67	JUNCTION	4.82	3.85	0.0
J68	JUNCTION	5.48	2.60	0.0
169	JUNCTION	7.19	1.46	0.0
т7	TUNCTION	10 79	0 96	0 0
57	TUNCTION	10.75	1 1 2	0.0
J 70	JUNCTION	10.85	1.13	0.0
J71	JUNCTION	5.71	1.10	0.0
J72	JUNCTION	12.39	1.20	0.0
J73	JUNCTION	13.80	1.20	0.0
.T74	TUNCTION	14 05	1 12	0 0
775	TINCETON	14 20	2 22	0.0
J / 5	JUNCTION	14.30	2.22	0.0
J76	JUNCTION	14.41	2.11	0.0
J77	JUNCTION	15.66	0.79	0.0
J78	JUNCTION	14.75	0.55	0.0
JT79	JUNCTION	11.10	1.17	0.0
т.9	TUNCTION	1/ 10	0 90	0.0
	JUNCIION	15 01	0.90	0.0
J&U	JUNCTION	15.31	U.6/	0.0
J81	JUNCTION	16.60	1.00	0.0
J82	JUNCTION	6.04	1.36	0.0
J83	JUNCTION	7.24	0.95	0.0
T8/	TINCTION	13 60	1 00	0 0
		10.00	T.00	0.0
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	JUNCTION	10.95	0.80	0.0
J86	JUNCTION	13.97	1.45	0.0
J87	JUNCTION	14.27	1.00	0.0
J88	JUNCTION	15.24	1.24	0.0
J89	JUNCTION	15.53	1.27	0.0
				· · ·

Infill Development Impact on the Capacity of Regional Drainage Networks						
J9 J90 J91 J92 J93 J94 J95 J96 J97 J98 J99 OF1		JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL	14.26 18.14 18.78 6.25 6.48 19.50 20.50 21.00 6.81 17.03 6.90 2.23	0.91 1.83 1.78 2.25 3.10 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.80 1.40 0.95 0.75	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
***** Tink 9	*****					
***** Name	*****	From Node	To Node	Type	Lenat	h
%Slope R	oughness					
C1		J1	OF1	CONDUIT	128.	6
0.5208 C10	0.0120	J10	J8	CONDUIT	59.	6 –
0.9725 C100	0.0120	J101	J99	CONDUIT	35.	4
1.2138 C101	0.0120	J102	J101	CONDUIT	7.	7
1.5506 C102	0.0120	J104	J102	CONDUIT	40.	2 -
0.5726 C103	0.0120	J105	J104	CONDUIT	21.	8
0.7806 C104	0.0120	JT103	JT104	CONDUTT	4 .	7
16.0486 C105	0.0120	JT100	,799	CONDUTT	61.	9
18.2307	0.0120	.т1 1	.T4	CONDULT	47	1
3.5501	0.0120		. T1 1	CONDULT	3	- 7
12.9129	0.0120	.11 3	.T1 2	CONDULT	12	, 0
1.3368	0.0120	T1 4	т1 1	CONDULT	±2• Q	6
8.8823	0.0120	J 1 4 T 1 5	JII T1 4	CONDULT	o. 5	0
8.5932	0.0120	116	716	CONDUIT	20	0
1.9779	0.0120	17	315	CONDUIT	22.	0
6.7372	0.0120	J17	J16	CONDULT		0
2.4429	0.0120	J19 _1 0	JII	CONDUIT	23.	3
C19 12.9341	0.0120	J18	J19	CONDUIT	3.	8
C2 0.3030	0.0120	J2	J1	CONDUIT	13.	2
C20 2.9950	0.0120	J20	J19	CONDUIT	76.	2
C21 8.0186	0.0120	J24	J20	CONDUIT	28.	4
C22 4.3265	0.0120	J25	J24	CONDUIT	8.	6
C23 3.7657	0.0120	J21	J20	CONDUIT	14.	4
C24 4.3646	0.0120	J22	J21	CONDUIT	10.	3

	Infill Develo	opment Impact o	on the Capacit	y of Regional Drainage Net	works <b>2019</b>
C25		J23	J22	CONDUIT	7.6
7.5612 C26	0.0120	J26	J22	CONDUIT	79.1
2.2394 C27	0.0120	JT27	J726	CONDUTT	11.7
2.6415	0.0120	.128	.T26	CONDULT	41 1
4.4770	0.0120	120	T20	CONDUIT	70.0
1.3704	0.0120	529	020	CONDUIT	12.5
9.2083	0.0120	5.5	JZ	CONDULT	5.8
C30 2.0474	0.0120	J30	J28	CONDUIT	43.0
C31 0.3385	0.0120	J31	J30	CONDUIT	14.8
C32 3.4380	0.0120	J32	J31	CONDUIT	8.4
C33 2.6739	0.0120	J33	J32	CONDUIT	6.4
C34 4.4096	0.0120	J34	J31	CONDUIT	6.8
C35	0 0120	J35	J30	CONDUIT	16.9
C36	0.0120	J36	J35	CONDUIT	8.2
C37	0.0120	J37	J35	CONDUIT	14.7
C38	0.0120	J38	J37	CONDUIT	51.2
1.8956 C39	0.0120	J39	J38	CONDUIT	35.3
5.6829 C4	0.0120	J4	J3	CONDUIT	80.3
3.5153 C40	0.0120	J40	J37	CONDUIT	5.5
1.6218 C41	0.0120	J41	J40	CONDUIT	21.7
2.2586 C42	0.0120	J42	J41	CONDUIT	27.3
2.9683 C43	0.0120	J43	J26	CONDUIT	96.3
3.5002 C44	0.0120	J44	J43	CONDUIT	12.5
3.4393 C45	0.0120	J45	J43	CONDUIT	11.6
4.0691 C46	0.0120	J47	J43	CONDUIT	24.7
2.9127 C47	0.0120	JT4 6	JT4.5	CONDUTT	7.7
2.9806 C48	0.0120	.т48		CONDULT	47 1
2.4620	0.0120			CONDULT	8 8
10.2694	0.0120	75	T 4	CONDUIT	70.9
3.5733	0.0120	J 5		CONDULT	/9.8
1.0370	0.0120	50	549	CONDULT	48.2
C51 1.0232	0.0120	J51	J50	CONDUIT	44.0
C52 1.0001	0.0120	J52	J51	CONDUIT	23.7
C53 6.9830	0.0120	J53	J52	CONDUIT	30.6
C54 1.0631	0.0120	J54	J48	CONDUIT	38.6
C55 3.7411	0.0120	J55	J54	CONDUIT	53.2

	Infill Develo	opment Impact on tl	ne Capacity of Regio	nal Drainage Networ	ks <b>2019</b>
C56		J56	J55	CONDUIT	29.9
3.0164 C57	0.0120	J57	J55	CONDUIT	17.6
3.5880	0.0120	.158	.157	CONDULT	8 4
1.8938	0.0120	350		CONDUIT	16.0
8.0430	0.0120			CONDUIT	10.3
C6 0.2222	0.0120	J6	J5	CONDUIT	13.5
C60 2.3944	0.0120	J60	J47	CONDUIT	91.5
C61 0.3565	0.0120	J61	J60	CONDUIT	11.2
C62	0 0120	J62	J61	CONDUIT	7.7
C63	0.0120	J63	J62	CONDUIT	9.3
C64	0.0120	J64	J63	CONDUIT	6.8
3.1034 C65	0.0120	J65	J2	CONDUIT	2.0
48.8290 C66	0.0120	J66	J2	CONDUIT	102.3
1.4567 C67	0.0120	J67	J66	CONDUIT	43.7
0.8931 C68	0.0120	J68	J67	CONDUIT	56.5
1.1674	0.0120	.169	.168	CONDULT	4 3
43.2226	0.0120	77	16	CONDULT	35 /
4.5811	0.0120		360	CONDUIT	20.4
13.9718	0.0120	570	009	CONDUTT	20.4
5.6232	0.0120	J72	J 70	CONDULT	27.4
C72 3.2069	0.0120	J73	J72	CONDUIT	44.0
C73 2.6605	0.0120	J74	J73	CONDUIT	9.4
C74 0.9705	0.0120	J75	J74	CONDUIT	25.8
C75 0 3892	0 0120	J76	J75	CONDUIT	28.3
C76	0 0120	J77	J76	CONDUIT	6.8
C77	0.0120	J78	J76	CONDUIT	35.1
C78	0.0120	J71	J68	CONDUIT	47.6
0.4832 C79	0.0120	J79	J71	CONDUIT	51.4
10.5342 C8	0.0120	J8	J7	CONDUIT	13.7
24.8404 C80	0.0120	J80	J79	CONDUIT	39.8
10.6484 C81	0.0120	J81	J80	CONDUIT	20.1
6.4440 C82	0.0120	.182	.⊤71	CONDULT	60 5
0.5454	0.0120	183	182	CONDULT	16.8
7.1654	0.0120	TO /	102	CONDULT	16 1
14.1205	0.0120	004	000	CONDUIT	40.1
C85 7.5351	0.0120	580	J 8 4	CONDUTT	43.5
C86 16.3133	0.0120	J86	J83	CONDUIT	41.8

	Infill Develo	opment Impac	ct on the Capacity of	of Regional Drainage Ne	tworks 2019
C87	0 0120	J87	J86	CONDUIT	8.4
C88	0.0120	J88	J86	CONDUIT	18.7
6.7926 C89	0.0120	J89	J88	CONDUIT	9.2
3.1641 C9	0.0120	J9	J8	CONDUIT	16.7
0.9510 C90	0.0120	JT90	,189	CONDUTT	51.2
5.1073	0.0120	то1	190	CONDUIT	9 6
6.7167	0.0120	700	390	CONDUIT	9.0
0.5216	0.0120	J92	J82	CONDULT	40.3
C93 0.7509	0.0120	J93	J92	CONDUIT	30.6
C94 16.6726	0.0120	J94	J93	CONDUIT	79.2
C95	0 0120	J95	J94	CONDUIT	34.8
C96	0.0120	J96	J95	CONDUIT	10.3
4.8554 C97	0.0120	J97	J93	CONDUIT	55.0
0.6001 C98	0.0120	J98	J97	CONDUIT	29.3
37.2325 C99	0.0120	J99	J97	CONDUIT	60.2
0.1496	0.0120				

		Full	Full	Hyd.	Max.	No. of	
Full Conduit Flow	Shape	Depth	Area	Rad.	Width	Barrels	
							-
C1	CIRCULAR	0.75	0.44	0.19	0.75	1	
0.87							
C10	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1	
0.02		0.00	0 0 7	0 0 7			
0 12	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	T	
C101	CIDCULAD	0 38	0 11	0 09	0 38	1	
0 24	CIRCOLAR	0.50	0.11	0.09	0.50	T	
C102	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.08							
C103	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.09							
C104	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.42							
C105	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.21		0 45	0.1.0	0 1 1	0 45	1	
	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	T	
0.Jo	CIDCULAD	0 30	0 07	0 07	030	1	
0 38	CIRCOLAR	0.50	0.07	0.07	0.50	T	
C13	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.12							
C14	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1	
0.31							
C15	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.14							
C16	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1	
0.07							

	Infill Development Impact on t	he Capacity of	Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C17	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04 C18	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.48 C19	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.38 C2	CIRCULAR	0.75	0.44	0.19	0.75	1
0.66 C20	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.53 C21	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
0.54 C22	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22 C23	CIRCULAR	0.53	0.22	0.13	0.53	1
0.90 C24	CIRCULAR	0.53	0.22	0.13	0.53	1
0.97 C25	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.13 C26	CIRCULAR	0.53	0.22	0.13	0.53	1
0.70 C27	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.17 C28	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	-
0.22 C29	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.06	CIRCULAR	0 45	0 16	0 11	0 45	-
0.94	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.15		0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.06	CIRCULAR	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	1
0.09	CIRCULAR	0.25	0.04	0.00	0.23	1
0.17	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.22	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	Ţ
C35 0.19	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C36 0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C37 0.09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C38 0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C39 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
C4	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C40	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C41	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C42	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C43	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
U.36 C44	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
U.19 C45	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
0.38 C46	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.18 C47	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.18						

	Infill Development Impact on th	e Capacity of	f Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C48	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.16 C49	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.16 C5	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.09 C50	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C51	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C52	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.02 C53	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04 C54	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.09	CIRCULAR	0 15	0.02	0 04	0 15	1
0.03	CIRCULAR	0.23	0 04	0.06	0.23	- 1
0.09	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.00	0.23	1
0.07	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.00	0.25	1
0.05	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.04	RECT_CLOSED	0.23	0.07	0.06	0.30	1
C60 0.16	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C61 0.06	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C62 0.14	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C63 0.10	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C64 0.18	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C65 0.34	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C66	CIRCULAR	0.60	0.28	0.15	0.60	1
C67	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C68	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C69	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C7	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C70	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.39 C71	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.25 C72	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.19 C73	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.08 C74	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.05 C75	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.03 C76	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.07 C77	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
0.02 C78	CIRCULAR	0 . 4.5	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.21						-

	Infill Development Impact on th	ne Capacity of	Regional	Drainage N	letworks	2019
C79	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.16 C8	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.52 C80	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C81	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C82	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
C83	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C84 0.18	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C85 0.05	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
C86 0.20	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.20	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.27	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.19 C9	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.10 C90	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
0.11 C91	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
0.27 C92	CIRCULAR	0.45	0.16	0.11	0.45	1
0.22 C93	CIRCULAR	0.38	0.11	0.09	0.38	1
C94	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C95	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C96 0.04	CIRCULAR	0.15	0.02	0.04	0.15	1
C97 0.08	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1
C98 0.30	CIRCULAR	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.23	1
C99	CIRCULAR	0.30	0.07	0.07	0.30	1

0.04

Flow Routing Method Starting Date Ending Date Antecedent Dry Days Report Time Step Wet Time Step Dry Time Step Routing Time Step Variable Time Step Maximum Trials Number of Threads Head Tolerance	DYNWAVE 07/02/2019 00:00:0 07/02/2019 02:00:0 0.0 00:05:00 00:01:00 00:05:00 5.00 sec YES 8 4 0.001500 m	0 0
**************************************	Volume	Depth
**************************************		
Total Precipitation Evaporation Loss Infiltration Loss Surface Runoff Final Storage Continuity Error (%)	0.392 0.000 0.160 0.223 0.009 -0.043	24.599 0.000 10.062 13.989 0.559
****	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
<pre>************************************</pre>	0.000 0.223 0.000 0.000 0.222 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 -0.098	0.000 2.229 0.000 0.000 2.216 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.015
Time-Step Critical Elements	5	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
Link C65 (75.75%) Link C3 (17.26%)		
****	****	
Highest Flow Instability In ************************************	1dexes *****	
Link C78 (2)		
Link C60 (2) Link C1 (2)		
**************************************		
Routing inde Step Summary		

* * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * * * *	* * *					
Minimu Averag Maximu Percen Averag Percen	um Time ge Time um Time ut in St ge Itera ut Not C	Step Step eady State tions per onverging	: : Step : :	0.50 sec 1.03 sec 5.00 sec -0.00 2.07 0.57				
***** Subcat *****	:chment :******	********** Runoff Sun *********	**** mary ****					
Total	Peak		Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	
Runoff	Runoff	Coeff	Precip	Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	
Subcat 10^6 ltr	chment C	MS	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	
			24 60	0 00	0 00	0 00	24 44	
0.02	0.01	0.993	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	
0.03	0.01	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.26	
0.00	0.00	0.000	24.60	0.00	0.00	24.60	0.00	
S12 0.01	0.01	0.629	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.61	15.47	
S13 0.05	0.02	0.574	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.12	
S14 0.00	0.00	0.688	24.60	0.00	0.00	6.15	16.93	
S15	0 00	0 303	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.48	7.45	
S16	0.00	0.500	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.26	
\$17	0.01	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.15	
0.05 S18	0.03	0.5/5	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.53	10.17	
0.00 S19	0.00	0.413	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.02	9.69	
0.01 S2	0.00	0.394	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.13	
0.10 S20	0.05	0.574	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.20	
0.04	0.02	0.577	24 60	0 00	0 00	9 84	14 11	
0.02	0.01	0.574	24.60	0.00	0.00	0 01	14 15	
0.02	0.01	0.575	24.00	0.00	0.00	2.04	15.07	
0.00	0.00	0.625	24.60	0.00	0.00	1.87	15.37	
\$24 0.05	0.03	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.25	
\$25 0.00	0.00	0.460	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.31	
S26 0.01	0.00	0.403	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.78	9.91	
S27 0.10	0.05	0.577	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.20	
S28	0 01	0 565	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.35	13.91	
S29	0.01	0.505	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.09	13.21	
∪.∪∠	U.UI	0.33/						

	Infill De	evelopme	nt Impact on the	Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks <b>2019</b>
S3			24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.13
0.13 S30	0.07	0.574	24.60	0.00	0.00	5.66	17.40
0.00 S31	0.00	0.707	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	13.84
0.03 \$32	0.01	0.563	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.33
0.07 \$33	0.03	0.583	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.12	16.21
0.02 \$34	0.01	0.659	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.79	10.77
0.01	0.00	0.438	24 60	0 00	0 00	16 48	7 46
0.00	0.00	0.303	24.60	0.00	0.00	8 12	15 72
0.03	0.02	0.639	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	14 01
0.03	0.02	0.578	24.00	0.00	0.00	9.04	14.21
0.02	0.01	0.573	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.08
\$39 0.02	0.01	0.586	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.42
S4 0.02	0.01	0.509	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.82	12.52
\$40 0.02	0.01	0.584	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.38
S41 0.03	0.02	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.24
\$42 0.02	0.01	0.589	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.49
S43 0.01	0.01	0.393	24.60	0.00	0.00	14.02	9.67
S44 0.03	0.01	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.24
S45 0.02	0.01	0.662	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.87	16.29
S46	0 01	0 459	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.04	11.29
S47	0.01	0 578	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.22
S48	0.02	0.300	24.60	0.00	0.00	17.22	7.38
S49	0.00	0.300	24.60	0.00	0.00	16.73	7.87
0.01 S5	0.00	0.320	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.65
0.03 S50	0.02	0.596	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	13.57
0.00 S51	0.00	0.552	24.60	0.00	0.00	13.28	10.35
0.01 S52	0.00	0.421	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.25
0.02 \$53	0.01	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.25
0.02 S54	0.01	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.57
0.02 S55	0.01	0.592	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.20
0.06 S56	0.03	0.577	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.05	11.37
0.01 S57	0.01	0.462	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.13	16.05
0.00 \$58	0.00	0.652	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.21
0.05	0.02	0.578	24 60	0 00	0 00	7 63	16 64
0.01	0.01	0.676	24 60	0.00	0.00	0.00	24 61
0.01	0.01	1.001	27.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.01

	Infill De	evelopmen	t Impact on the	Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks 2019
S60			24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.23
0.04 S61	0.02	0.578	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.14
0.07 S62	0.04	0.575	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.33
0.01 S63	0.01	0.583	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.36
0.01	0.01	0.584	24 60	0 00	0 00	9 84	14 45
0.02	0.01	0.587	24 60	0 00	0 00	9 84	14 41
0.03	0.01	0.586	24 60	0.00	0.00	11 07	12 42
0.00	0.00	0.505	24.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	14 25
0.04	0.02	0.579	24.00	0.00	0.00	9.04	14.25
0.03	0.02	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.25
0.04	0.02	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.27
\$7 0.05	0.03	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.26
\$70 0.06	0.03	0.579	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.25
\$71 0.02	0.01	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.27
\$72 0.02	0.01	0.587	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.45
\$73 0.01	0.00	0.592	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.55
\$74 0.02	0.01	0.590	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.50
\$75 0.02	0.01	0.587	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.43
S76 0.01	0.01	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.26
S77	0 00	0 616	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.12	15.15
S78	0.00	0 632	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.63	15.56
S79	0.00	0.618	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.86	15.20
S8	0.02	0.010	24.60	0.00	0.00	15.99	7.91
S80	0.00	0.521	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.38	15.85
0.00 S81	0.00	0.644	24.60	0.00	0.00	12.30	11.27
0.01 S82	0.00	0.458	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.32
0.01 \$83	0.01	0.582	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.40
0.05 S84	0.03	0.585	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.53
0.02 \$85	0.01	0.591	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.28
0.01 S86	0.01	0.581	24.60	0.00	0.00	8.36	15.53
0.04 S87	0.02	0.631	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.46
0.03 \$88	0.01	0.588	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.43
0.02 \$89	0.01	0.586	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.35	14.92
0.01 S9	0.01	0.606	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.26
0.02	0.01	0.580	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.40
0.01	0.00	0.586	21.00		0.00	5.01	

	Infill De	evelopment	Impact on the	e Capacity of	Regional Dra	ainage Netwo	orks <b>2019</b>
S91	0.01	0.500	24.60	0.00	0.00	9.84	14.46
0.02 S92	0.01	0.588	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.09	13.20
0.00	0.00	0.537	24 60	0 00	0 00	13 53	10 15
0.00	0.00	0.412	21.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.10
S94	0 00	0 500	24.60	0.00	0.00	10.58	12.84
0.00 S95	0.00	0.522	24.60	0.00	0.00	7.13	16.04
0.00	0.00	0.652					

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Node Depth Summary \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

	Average	Maximum	Maximum		of Max	 Reported
	Depth	Depth	HGL	0001	irrence	Max Depth
Node Type	Meters	Meters	Meters	days	hr:min	Meters
J1 JUNCTION	0.42	1.41	4.31	0	01:23	0.98
J10 JUNCTION	0.61	0.69	14.21	0	00:24	0.69
J100 JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	18.03	0	01:25	0.03
J101 JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	7.41	0	01:25	0.08
J102 JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	7.52	0	01:24	0.07
J103 JUNCTION	0.01	0.01	7.97	0	01:25	0.01
J104 JUNCTION	0.25	0.30	7.52	0	01:25	0.30
J105 JUNCTION	0.09	0.13	7.52	0	01:24	0.13
J11 JUNCTION	0.20	0.36	8.32	0	01:26	0.35
J12 JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	8.48	0	01:25	0.05
J13 JUNCTION	0.06	0.09	8.68	0	01:25	0.09
J14 JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	8.79	0	01:25	0.07
J15 JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	9.30	0	01:25	0.08
J16 JUNCTION	0.07	0.12	9.99	0	01:25	0.12
J17 JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	12.26	0	01:25	0.04
J18 JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	9.04	0	01:25	0.02
J19 JUNCTION	0.21	0.37	8.90	0	01:25	0.37
J2 JUNCTION	0.44	1.34	4.28	0	01:23	1.04
J20 JUNCTION	0.19	0.32	11.13	0	01:25	0.32
J21 JUNCTION	0.16	0.26	11.61	0	01:25	0.26
J22 JUNCTION	0.15	0.25	12.05	0	01:25	0.25
J23 JUNCTION	0.01	0.02	12.39	0	01:25	0.02
J24 JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	13.13	0	01:25	0.05
J25 JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	13.50	0	01:25	0.05
J26 JUNCTION	0.18	0.30	13.87	0	01:25	0.30
J27 JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	13.92	0	01:25	0.04
J28 JUNCTION	0.10	0.17	15.58	0	01:25	0.17
J29 JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	16.46	0	01:25	0.06
J3 JUNCTION	0.20	1.01	4.48	0	01:23	0.73
J30 JUNCTION	0.11	0.18	16.47	0	01:25	0.18
J31 JUNCTION	0.07	0.13	16.47	0	01:25	0.13
J32 JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	16.66	0	01:25	0.03
J33 JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	16.83	0	01:25	0.03
J34 JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	16.70	0	01:25	0.06
J35 JUNCTION	0.08	0.13	16.98	0	01:25	0.13
J36 JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	17.08	0	01:25	0.05
J37 JUNCTION	0.07	0.12	17.50	0	01:25	0.12
J38 JUNCTION	0.06	0.10	18.45	0	01:25	0.10
J39 JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	20.40	0	01:25	0.05
J4 JUNCTION	0.22	1.28	7.57	0	01:25	0.42
J40 JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	17.55	0	01:25	0.08
JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	18.03	0	01:25	0.07
J42 JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	18.81	0	01:25	0.04
J43 JUNCTION	0.13	0.21	17.15	0 0	01:25	0.21
J44 JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	17.41	0 0	01:25	0.04
J45 JUNCTION	0.09	0.14	17.55	Ő	01:25	0.14
J46 JUNCTION	0.10	0.16	17.80	0	01:25	0.16

J47   JINCTION   0.09   0.15   17.81   0   01:25   0.15     J48   JINCTION   0.06   0.09   19.79   0   01:25   0.09     J5   JINCTION   0.06   0.09   9.23   0   01:25   0.09     J50   JINCTION   0.10   0.117   20.37   0   01:25   0.17     J51   JINCTION   0.00   0.14   21.03   0   01:25   0.11     J53   JUNCTION   0.03   0.14   12.03   0   01:25   0.11     J53   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.23   0   01:25   0.13     J55   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J66   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   0.09   0   01:24   0.13     J66   JUNCTION   0.12 <th></th> <th>Infill Development Impact or</th> <th>n the Capac</th> <th>ity of Regi</th> <th>ional Drain</th> <th>age N</th> <th>etworks</th> <th>2019</th>		Infill Development Impact or	n the Capac	ity of Regi	ional Drain	age N	etworks	2019
748   TUNCTION   0.09   0.16   18.96   0   0.125   0.16     349   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   19.79   0   0.125   0.09     350   JUNCTION   0.10   0.17   20.37   0   0.125   0.10     351   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   0.125   0.11     351   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   0.125   0.12     353   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   0.125   0.01     355   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   0.125   0.03     357   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   0.125   0.03     358   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   0.125   0.13     361   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   0.125   0.13     364 <thjunction< th="">   0.07<th></th><th>JUNCTION</th><th>0.09</th><th>0.15</th><th>17.81</th><th>0</th><th>01:25</th><th>0.15</th></thjunction<>		JUNCTION	0.09	0.15	17.81	0	01:25	0.15
J49   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   19.79   0   01:25   0.09     J50   JUNCTION   0.10   0.17   20.37   0   01:25   0.11     J51   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   01:25   0.14     J53   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   23.07   0   01:25   0.14     J54   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   23.07   0   01:25   0.14     J55   JUNCTION   0.03   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.13     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.12     J61   JUNCTION   0.02   0.32   21.99   0   01:25   0.12     J64   JUNCTION   0.12 </td <td>J48</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>0.16</td> <td>18.96</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.16</td>	J48	JUNCTION	0.09	0.16	18.96	0	01:25	0.16
J5   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   9.23   0   0.125   0.17     J51   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   20.37   0   0.1255   0.17     J51   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   20.377   0   0.1255   0.14     J53   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   0.1255   0.14     J55   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   0.125   0.03     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.18   0   01255   0.03     J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.99   0   0.00   0.00     J59   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   0.125   0.12     J60   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   0.12   0.20   0.12   0.20   0.12   0.20   0.12   0.12   0.20   0.12   0.12   0.12   0.12   0.12   0.12 </td <td>J49</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>19.79</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.09</td>	J49	JUNCTION	0.06	0.09	19.79	0	01:25	0.09
J50   JUNCTION   0.10   0.17   20.37   0   0.125   0.12     J51   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   01:25   0.12     J52   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   01:25   0.14     J53   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   17.35   0   01:25   0.03     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   0.125   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.99   0   0.100   0.00     J59   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.92   0   0.125   0.13     J60   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.25   0   0.125   0.12     J62   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.29   0   0.124   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   2.03   0   01:25   0.41     J64   JUNCTION   0.24 <td>J5</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>9.23</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.09</td>	J5	JUNCTION	0.06	0.09	9.23	0	01:25	0.09
J51   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   20.77   0   0.125   0.14     J52   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   23.07   0   01125   0.14     J53   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   19.35   0   01125   0.05     J54   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01125   0.03     J55   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01125   0.03     J55   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01125   0.03     J60   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   01125   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.07   0.112   0.20   0   0.124   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.06   0.13   19.48   0.125   0.13     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.112   20.20   0   0.124   0.14     J65   JUNCTION   0.26   0.5	J50	JUNCTION	0.10	0.17	20.37	0	01:25	0.17
J52   JUNCTION   0.07   0.14   21.03   0   01:25   0.14     J53   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   19.35   0   01:25   0.14     J54   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   19.35   0   01:25   0.05     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J6   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J62   JUNCTION   0.02   0.02   0.00   01:24   0.20     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:25   0.14     J64   JUNCTION   0.06   0.07   20.39   0   01:25   0.28     J66 <tdjunction< td="">   0.20   0.35<!--</td--><td>J51</td><td>JUNCTION</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.12</td><td>20.77</td><td>0</td><td>01:25</td><td>0.12</td></tdjunction<>	J51	JUNCTION	0.07	0.12	20.77	0	01:25	0.12
J53   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   23.07   0   011.25   0.05     J54   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   011.25   0.05     J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   221.25   0   011.25   0.03     J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   221.86   0   01:25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J60   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.04   0.12   0.22   0   01:24   0.10     J63   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.12   0   01:25   0.20     J64   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.24     J65   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.26     J64   JUNCTION   0.26	J52	JUNCTION	0.07	0.14	21.03	0	01:25	0.14
J54   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   19.35   0   01:25   0.14     J55   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   01:25   0.03     J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.186   0   01:25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.00   0.00   21.99   0   01:25   0.03     J6   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.13     J60   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   0.01:25   0.13   J14   0.03     J61   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   0.122   0.01   24   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.39   0   01:25   0.04     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.23   0.4   0.125   0.44     J63   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.40     J77   JUNCTION   0.05   0.0	J53	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	23.07	0	01:25	0.05
J55   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   21.25   0   01125   0.03     J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.186   0   01125   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.197   0   0125   0.13     J6   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01225   0.12     J60   JUNCTION   0.06   0.13   19.98   0   01225   0.12     J61   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   0124   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   0.124   0.11     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   0.125   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   0125   0.26     J66   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   0125   0.35     J69   JUNCTION   0.26	J54	JUNCTION	0.08	0.14	19.35	0	01:25	0.14
J56   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.13   0   01:25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.86   0   01:25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   22.99   0   01:25   0.13     J66   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   01:25   0.12     J60   JUNCTION   0.08   0.13   19.98   0   01:24   0.20     J62   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:24   0.20     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:24   0.20     J64   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.33   0   01:25   0.28     J65   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.35     J64   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.35     J67   JUNCTION   0.05 <td>J55</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>21.25</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.05</td>	J55	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	21.25	0	01:25	0.05
J57   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.86   0   01.25   0.03     J58   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01.25   0.03     J60   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01.25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.08   0.13   19.93   0   01.24   0.14     J62   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   01.24   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01.25   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.05   0.55   4.35   0   01.25   0.44     J66   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01.25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.24   0.40   0.61   0.85   0   01.25   0.07     J77   JUNCTION   0.40   0.06   10.93   0   01.25   0.07     J77   JUNCTION	J56	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	22.13	0	01:25	0.03
J58   JUNCTION   0.00   21.99   0   00:00   0.00     J59   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   21.71   0   01:25   0.03     J6   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   01:25   0.12     J60   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   20.09   0   01:24   0.20     J62   JUNCTION   0.18   0.14   20.16   0   01:24   0.12     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:25   0.07     J64   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.39   0   01:25   0.28     J65   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.35     J69   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0   01:25   0.08     J77   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   10:33   0   01:25   0.10     J71   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10	J57	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	21.86	0	01:25	0.03
J59   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   23.17   0   01:25   0.12     J60   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.09   0   01:24   0.14     J62   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   01:24   0.14     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:25   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.05   0.55   4.35   0   01:25   0.28     J67   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.28     J66   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.44   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.07     J77   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.08     J71   JUNCTION   0.05	J58	JUNCTION	0.00	0.00	21.99	0	00:00	0.00
J6   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   9.29   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   20.09   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   20.09   0   01:24   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:24   0.11     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.12   20.39   0   01:25   0.20     J66   JUNCTION   0.17   0.28   4.71   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.20   0.5   5.83   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0   01:25   0.44     J69   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.125   0.40   0   01:25   0.40     J70   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.40     J71   JUNCTION	J59	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	23.17	0	01:25	0.03
J60   JUNCTION   0.08   0.13   19.98   0   01:25   0.13     J61   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   20.09   0   011:24   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:24   0.11     J64   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.39   0   01:25   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.10   0.28   4.71   0   01:25   0.28     J67   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.46     J70   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.40     J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.40     J72   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.40     J73   JUNCTION   0.05	J6	JUNCTION	0.07	0.12	9.29	0	01:25	0.12
J61   JUNCTION   0.12   0.20   20.09   0   01:24   0.14     J63   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   01:24   0.11     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:25   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.05   0.55   4.35   0   01:25   0.20     J66   JUNCTION   0.17   0.28   4.71   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01:25   0.35     J69   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0   01:25   0.46     J70   JUNCTION   0.06   0.08   10.93   0   01:25   0.40     J72   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.05	J60	JUNCTION	0.08	0.13	19.98	0	01:25	0.13
J62   JUNCTION   0.08   0.14   20.16   0   0.124   0.114     J64   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   01:23   0.20     J65   JUNCTION   0.05   0.55   4.35   0   01:23   0.20     J66   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01:25   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0   01:25   0.06     J70   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.93   0   01:25   0.06     J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.06     J73   JUNCTION   0.66   0.19   13.89   0   01:25   0.08     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   0.125   0.03     J75   JUNCTION   0.05	J61	JUNCTION	0.12	0.20	20.09	0	01:24	0.20
363   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   20.22   0   0.124   0.111     364   JUNCTION   0.06   0.07   20.39   0   0.125   0.023     365   JUNCTION   0.17   0.28   4.71   0   01:25   0.28     367   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01:25   0.35     369   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01:25   0.06     370   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.08     371   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   01:25   0.08     371   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   01:25   0.08     372   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.09     374   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0   01:25   0.09     375   JUNCTION <td>J62</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>20.16</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:24</td> <td>0.14</td>	J62	JUNCTION	0.08	0.14	20.16	0	01:24	0.14
J64   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   20.35   0.0123   0.07     J65   JUNCTION   0.17   0.28   4.35   0.0123   0.20     J66   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0.0125   0.28     J67   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0.0125   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0.0125   0.07     J7   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0.0125   0.08     J70   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0.0125   0.40     J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0.0125   0.10     J73   JUNCTION   0.66   0.09   13.89   0.0125   0.10     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0.0125   0.10     J76   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0.0125   0.01     J78   JUNCTION   0.02	J63	JUNCTION	0.07	0.11	20.22	0	01:24	0.11
Jobs   JUNCTION   0.03   0.03   4.73   0   01123   0.28     J67   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01125   0.28     J67   JUNCTION   0.23   0.94   5.76   0   01125   0.44     J68   JUNCTION   0.20   0.35   5.83   0   01125   0.07     J7   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01125   0.06     J70   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.93   0   01125   0.06     J71   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   10.93   0   01125   0.10     J73   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   0125   0.10     J74   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   0125   0.08     J75   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0   0125   0.03     J77   JUNCTION   0.02	J64 TCE	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	20.39	0	01:25	0.07
3000   3000000000000000000000000000000000000	J 0 5 T 6 6	JUNCTION	0.05	0.55	4.35	0	01:23	0.20
J68   JUNCTION   0.123   0.141   0.1135   0.1113   0.1113     J69   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   7.26   0   0.1125   0.35     J70   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0   01:25   0.06     J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.40     J72   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.40     J73   JUNCTION   0.66   0.40   12.49   0   01:25   0.40     J73   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.03     J77   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   01:25   0.04     J79   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04	.167	JUNCTION	0.17	0.28	4.71 5.76	0	01.25	0.20
363   JUNCTION   0.123   0.133   1.135   0.01125   0.017     J7   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   10.85   0.01125   0.06     J70   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   10.93   0.01125   0.40     J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0.1125   0.40     J72   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0.01125   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0.01125   0.09     J75   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0.01125   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0.0125   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   14.14   0.0125   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0.0125   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0.0125   0.04     J83   JUNCTION	.168	TUNCTION	0.20	0.35	5.83	0	01.25	0.44
JT   JUNCTION   0.01   0.01   0.02   0.01 <th0.01< th="">   0.01   0.01   <t< td=""><td>.169</td><td>JUNCTION</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.00</td><td>7 26</td><td>0</td><td>01.25</td><td>0.00</td></t<></th0.01<>	.169	JUNCTION	0.20	0.00	7 26	0	01.25	0.00
JTO   JUNCTION   0.01   0.03   10.03   0.01:25   0.06     JT1   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0.01:25   0.40     JT2   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0.01:25   0.40     JT3   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0.01:25   0.08     JT4   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0.01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0.01:25   0.01     J77   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0.01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0.01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   14.14   0.01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0.01:25   0.04     J82   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0.01:25   0.05     J84   JUNCTION   <	J7	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	10.85	Õ	01:25	0.06
J71   JUNCTION   0.22   0.40   6.11   0   01:25   0.40     J72   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   01:25   0.10     J73   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   01:25   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.05   0.07   11.17   0   01:25   0.04     J8   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   14.14   0   01:25   0.04     J82   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.05     J84   JUNCTION   0.03 <td>J70</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>10.93</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.08</td>	J70	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	10.93	0	01:25	0.08
J72   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   12.49   0   01:25   0.10     J73   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   01:25   0.09     J75   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.03     J76   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.00   0.00   14.17   0   01:25   0.07     J8   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   14.14   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.31     J83   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   17.00   0   0.125   0.05     J84 <tdjunction< td="">   0.04<td>J71</td><td>JUNCTION</td><td>0.22</td><td>0.40</td><td>6.11</td><td>0</td><td>01:25</td><td>0.40</td></tdjunction<>	J71	JUNCTION	0.22	0.40	6.11	0	01:25	0.40
J73   JUNCTION   0.06   0.09   13.89   0   01:25   0.09     J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   01:25   0.08     J75   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0   01:25   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.00   0.00   14.175   0   00:00   0.00     J79   JUNCTION   0.05   0.07   11.17   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.04     J83   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   7.34   0   01:25   0.05     J84   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   17.00   0   01:25   0.06     J85   JUNCTION   0.02 </td <td>J72</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>12.49</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.10</td>	J72	JUNCTION	0.06	0.10	12.49	0	01:25	0.10
J74   JUNCTION   0.05   0.08   14.13   0   01:25   0.08     J75   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0   01:25   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.03     J77   JUNCTION   0.00   0.03   15.69   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.05   0.07   11.17   0   01:25   0.07     J8   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.05     J83   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0   01:25   0.05     J84   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   17.00   0   01:25   0.06     J84   JUNCTION   0.04 <td>J73</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>13.89</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.09</td>	J73	JUNCTION	0.06	0.09	13.89	0	01:25	0.09
J75   JUNCTION   0.05   0.09   14.39   0   01:25   0.09     J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.11     J77   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.00   0.00   14.75   0   00:00   0.00     J8   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   14.14   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   14.535   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.04     J82   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0   01:25   0.05     J84   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0   01:25   0.06     J85   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   14.03   0   01:25   0.06     J86   JUNCTION   0.02 </td <td>J74</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>14.13</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.08</td>	J74	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	14.13	0	01:25	0.08
J76   JUNCTION   0.07   0.11   14.52   0   01:25   0.11     J77   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   15.69   0   01:25   0.03     J78   JUNCTION   0.00   0.00   14.75   0   00:00   0.00     J79   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   14.14   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.04     J82   JUNCTION   0.18   0.31   6.35   0   01:25   0.01     J83   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0   01:25   0.05     J86   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   17.00   0   01:25   0.06     J87   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   15.30   0   01:25   0.06     J89   JUNCTION   0.04 <td>J75</td> <td>JUNCTION</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>14.39</td> <td>0</td> <td>01:25</td> <td>0.09</td>	J75	JUNCTION	0.05	0.09	14.39	0	01:25	0.09
J77JUNCTION0.020.0315.69001:250.03J78JUNCTION0.000.0014.75000:000.00J79JUNCTION0.050.0711.17001:250.07J8JUNCTION0.020.0414.14001:250.04J80JUNCTION0.030.0416.64001:250.04J81JUNCTION0.180.316.35001:250.04J83JUNCTION0.060.107.34001:250.10J84JUNCTION0.030.0517.70001:250.05J85JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.5400	J76	JUNCTION	0.07	0.11	14.52	0	01:25	0.11
J78 JUNCTION 0.00 14.75 0 00:00 0.00   J79 JUNCTION 0.05 0.07 11.17 0 01:25 0.07   J8 JUNCTION 0.02 0.04 14.14 0 01:25 0.04   J80 JUNCTION 0.02 0.04 15.35 0 01:25 0.04   J81 JUNCTION 0.18 0.31 6.35 0 01:25 0.31   J83 JUNCTION 0.06 0.10 7.34 0 01:25 0.05   J85 JUNCTION 0.03 0.05 17.00 0 01:25 0.06   J86 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 14.03 0 01:25 0.06   J87 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 14.03 0 01:25 0.06   J87 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 14.03 0 01:25 0.06   J88 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 15.30 0 01:25 0.03   J90 JUNCTION 0.02	J77	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	15.69	0	01:25	0.03
J79JUNCTION0.050.0711.17001:250.07J8JUNCTION0.030.0414.14001:250.04J80JUNCTION0.020.0415.35001:250.04J81JUNCTION0.180.316.35001:250.31J82JUNCTION0.180.316.35001:250.31J83JUNCTION0.060.107.34001:250.05J84JUNCTION0.030.0513.73001:250.05J85JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.06J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J92JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:	J78	JUNCTION	0.00	0.00	14.75	0	00:00	0.00
J8   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   14.14   0   01:25   0.04     J80   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   15.35   0   01:25   0.04     J81   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   16.64   0   01:25   0.04     J82   JUNCTION   0.18   0.31   6.35   0   01:25   0.10     J84   JUNCTION   0.06   0.10   7.34   0   01:25   0.05     J85   JUNCTION   0.03   0.05   13.73   0   01:25   0.05     J86   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   14.03   0   01:25   0.06     J87   JUNCTION   0.04   0.06   15.30   0   01:25   0.06     J88   JUNCTION   0.04   0.07   15.60   0   01:25   0.03     J90   JUNCTION   0.02   0.03   18.17   0   01:25   0.03     J91   JUNCTION   0.02	J79	JUNCTION	0.05	0.07	11.17	0	01:25	0.07
J80JUNCTION0.020.0415.35001:250.04J81JUNCTION0.030.0416.64001:250.04J82JUNCTION0.180.316.35001:250.31J83JUNCTION0.060.107.34001:250.05J84JUNCTION0.030.0513.73001:250.05J85JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.040.0614.31001:250.06J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.06J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J8 	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	14.14	0	01:25	0.04
J81 JUNCTION 0.103 0.04 16.64 0 01:25 0.04   J82 JUNCTION 0.18 0.31 6.35 0 01:25 0.31   J83 JUNCTION 0.06 0.10 7.34 0 01:25 0.05   J84 JUNCTION 0.03 0.05 13.73 0 01:25 0.05   J85 JUNCTION 0.03 0.05 17.00 0 01:25 0.06   J87 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 14.03 0 01:25 0.06   J88 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 15.30 0 01:25 0.06   J88 JUNCTION 0.04 0.06 15.30 0 01:25 0.06   J89 JUNCTION 0.04 0.07 15.60 0 01:25 0.08   J90 JUNCTION 0.02 0.03 18.17 0 01:25 0.03   J91 JUNCTION 0.14 0.23 6.71 0 01:25 0.23   J92 JUNCTIO	J80 T01	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	15.35	0	01:25	0.04
J82JUNCTION0.160.310.330011250.31J83JUNCTION0.060.107.34001:250.10J84JUNCTION0.030.0513.73001:250.05J85JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.020.0414.31001:250.06J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	JØL COT	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	10.04	0	01:25	0.04
J84JUNCTION0.030.0513.73001.250.10J85JUNCTION0.030.0517.00001:250.05J86JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.020.0414.31001:250.06J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.710001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	JOZ TQ2	JUNCTION	0.10	0.31	0.33	0	01:25	0.31
JUNCTIONJUNCTIO	.184	TUNCTION	0.00	0.10	13 73	0	01.25	0.10
J86JUNCTION0.040.0614.03001:250.06J87JUNCTION0.020.0414.31001:250.04J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.050.0814.34001:250.03J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	.185	JUNCTION	0.03	0.05	17 00	0	01.25	0.05
J87JUNCTION0.020.0414.31001:250.04J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.050.0814.34001:250.08J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J86	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	14.03	Ő	01:25	0.06
J88JUNCTION0.040.0615.30001:250.06J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.050.0814.34001:250.08J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J87	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	14.31	0	01:25	0.04
J89JUNCTION0.040.0715.60001:250.07J9JUNCTION0.050.0814.34001:250.08J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J88	JUNCTION	0.04	0.06	15.30	0	01:25	0.06
J9JUNCTION0.050.0814.34001:250.08J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.020.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J89	JUNCTION	0.04	0.07	15.60	0	01:25	0.07
J90JUNCTION0.020.0318.17001:250.03J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J9	JUNCTION	0.05	0.08	14.34	0	01:25	0.08
J91JUNCTION0.020.0318.81001:250.03J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J90	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	18.17	0	01:25	0.03
J92JUNCTION0.140.236.48001:250.23J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J91	JUNCTION	0.02	0.03	18.81	0	01:25	0.03
J93JUNCTION0.140.236.71001:250.23J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J92	JUNCTION	0.14	0.23	6.48	0	01:25	0.23
J94JUNCTION0.030.0419.54001:250.04J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J93	JUNCTION	0.14	0.23	6.71	0	01:25	0.23
J95JUNCTION0.020.0420.54001:250.04J96JUNCTION0.020.0421.04001:250.04J97JUNCTION0.130.227.03001:250.22J98JUNCTION0.030.0417.07001:250.04	J94	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	19.54	0	01:25	0.04
J96   JUNCTION   0.02   0.04   21.04   0   01:25   0.04     J97   JUNCTION   0.13   0.22   7.03   0   01:25   0.22     J98   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   17.07   0   01:25   0.04	J95	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	20.54	0	01:25	0.04
J97   JUNCTION   0.13   0.22   7.03   0   01:25   0.22     J98   JUNCTION   0.03   0.04   17.07   0   01:25   0.04	J96	JUNCTION	0.02	0.04	21.04	0	01:25	0.04
JOO JUNCTION U.U3 U.U4 17.07 U U1:25 U.U4	191	JUNCTION	0.13	0.22	1.03	U	01.25	0.22
ברי בייוי בייוי בייוי אחדשיאדו אידי בייוי בייוי ביי	U Y V D D T D D	JUNCTION	0.03	0.04	1/.U/ 7 10	U	01.25	0.04
OF1 OUTFALL 0.39 0.64 2.87 0.01.25 0.25	OF1	OUNCIION OUTFALL	0.39	0.64	2.87	0	01:25	0.23

Node Inflow Summary

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

_			Maximum	Maximum			Lateral	
Total	Flow		Lateral	Total	Time	of Max	Inflow	
Inflow	Balance		Inflow	Inflow	Occu	irrence	Volume	
Volume Node	Error	Туре	CMS	CMS	days	hr:min	10^6 ltr	10^6
ltr 	Percent							
 J1		JUNCTION	0.050	1.081	0	01:25	0.101	
2.2 J10	0.065	JUNCTION	0.006	0.006	0	01:25	0.0108	
0.011 J100	7.069	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.0107	
0.0107 J101	-0.077	JUNCTION	0.002	0.018	0	01:25	0.00416	
0.0326 J102	0.066	JUNCTION	0.012	0.016	0	01:24	0.0233	
0.0303 .T103	-0.086		0 001	0 001	0	01.25	0 00237	
0.00237	0.011	TUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	00.33	0 00193	
0.00933	30.628	JUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	00.33	0.00195	
0.00342	7.429	JUNCTION	0.002	0.003	0	00:34	0.00329	
JII 1.1	0.026	JUNCTION	0.000	0.550	0	01:25	0	
J12 0.0519	0.024	JUNCTION	0.002	0.026	0	01:25	0.00305	
J13 0.0489	0.043	JUNCTION	0.024	0.024	0	01:25	0.0489	
J14 0.074	0.017	JUNCTION	0.002	0.037	0	01:25	0.00447	
J15 0.0695	0.033	JUNCTION	0.002	0.035	0	01:25	0.00381	
J16 0.0658	0.067	JUNCTION	0.027	0.033	0	01:25	0.0544	
J17 0 0114	0 008	JUNCTION	0.006	0.006	0	01:25	0.0114	
J18	0.026	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.00833	
J19	0.020	JUNCTION	0.021	0.488	0	01:25	0.0418	
J2	0.041	JUNCTION	0.010	1.031	0	01:25	0.0182	
J20	0.0/1	JUNCTION	0.001	0.464	0	01:25	0.00147	
0.923 J21	0.040	JUNCTION	0.005	0.444	0	01:25	0.00848	
0.883 J22	0.005	JUNCTION	0.025	0.440	0	01:25	0.0499	
0.874 J23	0.033	JUNCTION	0.002	0.002	0	01:25	0.00284	
0.00284 J24	0.027	JUNCTION	0.009	0.020	0	01:25	0.0176	
0.039 J25	0.031	JUNCTION	0.011	0.011	0	01:25	0.0214	
0.0214 J26	0.043	JUNCTION	0.050	0.415	0	01:25	0.099	
0.822 J27	0.072	JUNCTION	0.008	0.008	0	01:25	0.0142	
0.0142	0.084	TUNCTION	0 033	0 140	0	01.25	0 0658	
0.278	0.052	TUNCTION	0.033	0.010	0	01.25	0.0000	
0.0204	-0.031	TINGUTON	0.010	0.010	0	01-05	0.0204	
J3 1.25	0.004	JUNCTION	0.015	0.608	U	U1:25	0.0316	

J30	0.055	JUNCTION	0.001	0.097	0	01:25	0.00224
0.192 J31	0.055	JUNCTION	0.000	0.019	0	01:25	0
0.038 .T32	0.098	JUNCTION	0 000	0 003	0	01.25	0
0.00511	0.125		0.000	0.000	0	01.05	0 00511
J33 0.00511	0.073	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00511
J34 0.0329	0.027	JUNCTION	0.016	0.016	0	01:25	0.0329
J35	0.024	JUNCTION	0.017	0.076	0	01:25	0.0344
J36	0.024	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.0189
0.0189 J37	0.068	JUNCTION	0.009	0.050	0	01:25	0.0187
0.0983 .T38	0.028	JUNCTION	0 018	0 025	0	01.25	0 0347
0.0501	0.049		0.010	0.020	0	01.20	0.0017
J39 0.0154	0.020	JUNCTION	0.008	0.008	0	01:25	0.0154
J4 1.21	0.049	JUNCTION	0.027	0.607	0	01:25	0.0519
J40	0.046	JUNCTION	0.000	0.015	0	01:25	0
J41	0.046	JUNCTION	0.010	0.015	0	01:25	0.0195
0.0295 J42	0.013	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.00996
0.00996 .T43	0.129		0 001	0 218	0	01.25	0 0027
0.432	0.052		0.001	0.210	0	01.25	0.0027
0.017	0.079	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.017
J45 0.233	0.012	JUNCTION	0.015	0.117	0	01:25	0.031
J46	0.022	JUNCTION	0.015	0.102	0	01:25	0.0292
J47	0.023	JUNCTION	0.032	0.092	0	01:24	0.063
0.179 J48	0.051	JUNCTION	0.000	0.087	0	01:25	0
0.173 J49	0.041	JUNCTION	0.012	0.053	0	01:25	0.0228
0.106	0.015	TUNCETON	0.002	0 022	0	01.25	0 00207
0.0643	0.039	JUNCIION	0.002	0.032	0	01:25	0.00387
J50 0.0832	0.066	JUNCTION	0.015	0.042	0	01:25	0.0287
J51 0 0545	0 044	JUNCTION	0.010	0.027	0	01:25	0.0187
J52	0.000	JUNCTION	0.008	0.018	0	01:25	0.0157
J53	0.060	JUNCTION	0.010	0.010	0	01:25	0.0201
0.0201 J54	0.008	JUNCTION	0.024	0.034	0	01:25	0.0478
0.0671	0.072		0 002	0 010	0	01.25	0 00491
0.0193	0.032		0.002	0.010	0	01.25	0.00491
J56 0.00582	-0.022	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00582
J57 0.00858	0.092	JUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	01:25	0.00237
J58	0 000 1+r	JUNCTION	0.000	0.000	0	00:00	0
J59	ICT	JUNCTION	0.003	0.003	0	01:25	0.00621
U.00621 J6	0.057	JUNCTION	0.011	0.030	0	01:25	0.0223
0.0605 J60	0.026	JUNCTION	0.001	0,066	Ω	01:24	0.00249
0.116	0.017	5 51.6 1 1 01/	0.001	0.000	0		0.00210
J61		JUNCTION	0.007	0.058	0	01:25	0.0131
---------------	-----------	----------	-------	-------	---	----------------	---------
0.114 J62	0.084	JUNCTION	0.023	0.051	0	01:25	0.046
0.101 J63	0.018	JUNCTION	0.005	0.028	0	01:25	0.0102
0.0548	0.031	TUNCTION	0 023	0 023	0	01.25	0 0446
0.0446	0.016	OUNCIION	0.025	0.025	0	01.25	0.0440
J65 0.131	-0.004	JUNCTION	0.065	0.065	0	01:25	0.131
J66 0.708	0.054	JUNCTION	0.006	0.349	0	01:25	0.0121
J67 0.696	0.050	JUNCTION	0.037	0.350	0	01:25	0.0739
J68 0 623	0 045	JUNCTION	0.000	0.313	0	01:25	0
J69	0.013	JUNCTION	0.013	0.074	0	01:25	0.0264
J7	0.005	JUNCTION	0.000	0.019	0	01 <b>:</b> 25	0
0.0382 J70	0.027	JUNCTION	0.002	0.061	0	01:25	0.00292
0.119 J71	0.018	JUNCTION	0.021	0.241	0	01:25	0.0401
0.477 J72	0.092	JUNCTION	0.021	0.059	0	01:25	0.0419
0.116	0.020	TUNCTION	0 015	0 038	0	01.25	0 0296
0.0745	0.020	TUNCETON	0.013	0.000	0	01.25	0.0146
0.045	0.018	JUNCIION	0.007	0.025	0	01:25	0.0140
J75 0.0304	0.072	JUNCTION	0.000	0.015	0	01:25	0
J76 0.0304	0.046	JUNCTION	0.010	0.015	0	01:25	0.0203
J77 0.0101	0.006	JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.0101
J78	0 000 1+r	JUNCTION	0.000	0.000	0	00:00	0
J79	0.000 101	JUNCTION	0.029	0.037	0	01:25	0.0558
J8	0.001	JUNCTION	0.000	0.019	0	01:25	0
0.0383 J80	-0.006	JUNCTION	0.000	0.009	0	01:25	0
0.0175 J81	0.027	JUNCTION	0.009	0.009	0	01:25	0.0176
0.0175 J82	0.005	JUNCTION	0.009	0.184	0	01:25	0.0183
0.364	0.070	TUNCTION	0.005	0 056	0	01.25	0 00946
0.109	0.023	TUNCETON	0.000	0.010	0	01.25	0.00040
0.035	-0.007	JUNCIION	0.008	0.010	0	01:25	0.0157
J85 0.0193	0.001	JUNCTION	0.010	0.010	0	01:25	0.0193
J86 0.0647	0.027	JUNCTION	0.002	0.034	0	01:25	0.00295
J87 0.014	0.007	JUNCTION	0.007	0.007	0	01:25	0.014
J88 0 0478	0.021	JUNCTION	0.005	0.025	0	01:25	0.0084
J89	0.021	JUNCTION	0.015	0.020	0	01:25	0.0294
J9	0.009	JUNCTION	0.015	0.015	0	01:25	0.0289
U.0289 J90	0.021	JUNCTION	0.001	0.005	0	01:25	0.00238
0.01 J91	0.134	JUNCTION	0.004	0.004	0	01:25	0.00766
0.00766	0.027						

J92		JUNCTION	0.006	0.120	0	01:25	0.0125
0.237	0.055						
J93		JUNCTION	0.026	0.114	0	01:25	0.0504
0.225	0.098						
J94		JUNCTION	0.011	0.016	0	01:25	0.0219
0.0323	-0.056						
J95		JUNCTION	0.000	0.005	0	01:25	0
0.0103	0.042						
J96		JUNCTION	0.005	0.005	0	01:25	0.0104
0.0103	0.012						
J97		JUNCTION	0.014	0.073	0	01:25	0.0284
0.142	0.133						
J98		JUNCTION	0.020	0.020	0	01:25	0.0396
0.0396	0.027						
J99		JUNCTION	0.016	0.039	0	01:25	0.0311
0.0744	0.202						
OF1		OUTFALL	0.008	1.089	0	01:25	0.0165
2.22	0.000						

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

Node	Туре	Hours Surcharged	Max. Height Above Crown Meters	Min. Depth Below Rim Meters
J1	JUNCTION	0.08	0.655	0.135
J10	JUNCTION	1.60	0.540	0.000
J2	JUNCTION	0.09	0.590	0.160
J3	JUNCTION	0.07	0.563	0.117
J4	JUNCTION	0.02	0.830	0.000
J65	JUNCTION	0.01	0.325	0.000
J67	JUNCTION	0.02	0.489	2.911

Flooding refers to all water that overflows a node, whether it ponds or not.

Node	Hours Flooded	Maximum Rate CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Total Flood Volume 10^6 ltr	Maximum Ponded Depth Meters
J10	0.19	0.003	0 00:24	0.001	0.000
J4	0.01	0.024	0 01:25	0.000	0.000
J65	0.01	0.019	0 01:23	0.000	0.000

Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow CMS	Max Flow CMS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr
OF1	98.86	0.498	1.089	2.216
System	98.86	0.498	1.089	2.216

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *

	_	Maximum  Flow	Time Occu	of Max rrence	Maximum  Veloc	Max/ Full	Max/ Full
Link 	Туре	CMS	days	hr:min	m/sec	F'TOM	Depth
C1	CONDUIT	1.081	0	01:25	2.53	1.24	0.92
C10	CONDUIT	0.004	0	01:25	0.33	0.23	0.63
C100	CONDUIT	0.018	0	01:25	0.50	0.16	0.52
C101	CONDUIT	0.016	0	01:24	1.05	0.07	0.19
C102	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:26	0.29	0.06	0.61
C103	CONDUIT	0.002	0	00:35	0.05	0.03	0.71
C104	CONDUIT	0.001	0	01:25	0.04	0.00	0.52
C105	CONDUIT	0.005	0	01:25	0.28	0.03	0.56
C11	CONDUIT	0.550	0	01:25	3.95	0.94	0.90
C12	CONDUIT	0.026	0	01:25	0.60	0.07	0.59
C13	CONDUIT	0.024	0	01:25	1.81	0.20	0.25
C14	CONDUTT	0.037	0	01:25	0.82	0.12	0.62
C15	CONDUTT	0 035	0	01.25	3 10	0 25	0 33
C16	CONDUTT	0.033	0	01.25	2 02	0.48	0.00
C17	CONDUITT	0.005	0	01.25	0 65	0.14	0.13
C18	CONDUIT	0.000	0	01.25	3 57	1 01	0.91
C10 C10	CONDUIT	0.407	0	01.25	0.10	1.01	0.00
	CONDUIT	1 021	0	01.25	2 22	1 55	1 00
	CONDUIT	1.031	0	01:25	2.33	1.55	1.00
	CONDUIT	0.463	0	01:25	3.51	0.8/	0.77
C21	CONDULT	0.019	0	01:25	0.37	0.04	0.50
C22	CONDUIT	0.011	0	01:25	1.51	0.05	0.16
C23	CONDUIT	0.444	0	01:25	3.59	0.49	0.56
C24	CONDUIT	0.440	0	01:25	4.24	0.45	0.48
C25	CONDUIT	0.002	0	01:25	0.07	0.01	0.54
C26	CONDUIT	0.414	0	01:25	3.64	0.59	0.52
C27	CONDUIT	0.008	0	01:25	0.18	0.04	0.57
C28	CONDUIT	0.139	0	01:25	2.34	0.63	0.79
C29	CONDUIT	0.010	0	01:25	0.49	0.18	0.53
C3	CONDUIT	0.608	0	01:25	3.82	0.65	1.00
C30	CONDUIT	0.096	0	01:25	2.27	0.64	0.58
C31	CONDUIT	0.019	0	01:25	0.52	0.31	0.52
C32	CONDUIT	0.003	0	01:25	0.22	0.03	0.36
C33	CONDUIT	0.003	0	01:25	0.88	0.02	0.09
C34	CONDUIT	0.016	0	01:25	0.87	0.07	0.32
C35	CONDUIT	0.076	0	01:25	2.09	0.40	0.51
C36	CONDUIT	0.009	0	01:25	0.60	0.13	0.41
C37	CONDUIT	0.050	0	01:25	2.21	0.54	0.56
C38	CONDUIT	0.025	0	01:25	1.36	0.38	0.48
C39	CONDUIT	0.008	0	01:25	0.95	0.20	0.47
C.4	CONDUTT	0.595	0	01:27	4.23	1.03	1.00
C40	CONDUIT	0.015	0	01:25	0.90	0.25	0.44
C41	CONDUIT	0.015	0	01:25	1.30	0.21	0.34
C42	CONDUIT	0 005	0	01.25	0 72	0.06	0.24
C12	CONDUITT	0.009	0	01.25	2 72	0.00	0.21
CAA	CONDUIT	0.210	0	01.25	0 31	0.01	0.00
C44	CONDUIT	0.009	0	01.25	2 27	0.00	0.43
C45	CONDUIT	0.117	0	01.25	2.27	0.50	0.47
C40	CONDUIT	0.091	0	01.25	2.04	0.51	0.01
C47	CONDUTT	0.102	0	01.25	∠.ŏ⊃ 2.21	0.50	0.51
	CONDULT	0.08/	U	01.25	2.31	0.33	0.53
	CONDULT	0.053	U	01.25	2.39	0.34	0.35
	CONDULT	0.032	U	01:25	1.0/	0.35	0.70
	CONDULT	0.042	U	01:25	1.//	0.84	0.58
051	CONDULT	0.027	U	01:25	1.02	0.56	0.64
052	CONDUIT	0.018	0	01:25	1.12	1.08	0.87
C53	CONDUIT	0.010	0	01:25	0.93	0.23	0.63
C54	CONDUIT	0.034	0	01:25	1.25	0.68	0.65
055	CONDUIT	0.010	0	01:25	0.64	0.11	0.41
056	CONDUIT	0.003	0	U1:25	0.75	0.10	0.27

	Infill Development Impact	on the (	Capacit	y of	Reg	gional I	Drainag	ge Netv	vorks	2019	
C.57	CONDITT	0 0	0.5	0	01	:25	0 0	) ) (	0.05	0.19	
C58	CONDUIT	0.0	00	0	00	• 0 0	0.0	0 0	0 00	0 08	
C59	CONDUIT	0.0	03	0	01	:25	1.2	28 (	).07	0.20	
C6	CONDUIT	0.0	30	0	01	:25	0.9	)3 (	).70	0.47	
C60	CONDUIT	0.0	60	0	01	:25	1.8	8 (	).37	0.46	
C61	CONDUIT	0.0	65	0	01	:24	1.6	6 1	L.03	0.55	
C62	CONDUIT	0.0	52	0	01	:25	1.2	27 (	).38	0.57	
C63	CONDUIT	0.0	28	0	01	:25	0.9	9 (	.27	0.42	
C64	CONDUIT	0.0	23	0	01	:25	1.2	23 (	0.12	0.31	
C65	CONDUIT	0.0	82	0	01	:23	2.1	.5 0	0.24	1.00	
C66	CONDUIT	0.3	847	0	01	:25	1.5	57 (	0.43	0.73	
C67	CONDUIT	0.3	344	0	01	:25	2.6	54 1	L.18	0.81	
C68	CONDUIT	0.3	814	0	01	:25	2.1	.4 0	0.94	0.89	
C69	CONDUIT	0.0	74	0	01	:25	1.6	54 0	0.11	0.61	
С7	CONDUIT	0.0	19	0	01	:25	1.0	)4 (	0.08	0.30	
C70	CONDUIT	0.0	061	0	01	:25	4.4	3 (	0.16	0.25	
C71	CONDUIT	0.0	159	0	01	:25	3.1	.7 (	0.24	0.31	
C72	CONDUIT	0.0	38	0	01	:25	1.9	91 (	0.20	0.32	
C73	CONDUIT	0.0	23	0	01	:25	1.6	51 (	).29	0.39	
C74	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0	01	:25	1.1	.2 (	).32	0.38	
C75	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0	01	:25	0.9	0 0	).51	0.44	
C76	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0	01	:25	0.6	64 (	0.07	0.46	
C77	CONDUIT	0.0	000	0	00	:00	0.0	0 0	).00	0.37	
C78	CONDUIT	0.2	240	0	01	:25	1.7	3 1	1.12	0.84	
C79	CONDUIT	0.0	137	0	01	:25	1.3	33 (	).24	0.67	
C8	CONDUTT	0.0	19	0	01	:25	2.5	0 (	).04	0.16	
C8U	CONDULT	0.0	109	0	01	:25 •25	1.1	.8 ( )2 (	07	0.25	
C01	CONDULT	0.0	0.9	0	01	:25	1.5		) 01	0.17	
C82	CONDULT	0.1	.84	0	01	:25 •25	1.3		) 43	0.79	
C03	CONDUIT	0.0	118	0	01	•25	1 5		) 10	0.73	
C85	CONDULT	0.0	10	0	01	•25	2 0	15 (	) 22	0.33	
C86	CONDULT	0.0	134	0	01	•25	2.0	5 C	) 17	0.32	
C87	CONDUIT	0.0	07	0	01	·25	0 0	) <u> </u>	) 04	0.17	
C88	CONDUIT	0.0	25	0	01	:25	2.3	36 (	).09	0.21	
C89	CONDUIT	0.0	20	0	01	:25	1.8	3 (	).11	0.22	
C 9	CONDUIT	0.0	15	0	01	:25	1.4	8 (	).14	0.20	
C90	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0	01	:25	0.8	30 0	0.05	0.23	
C91	CONDUIT	0.0	04	0	01	:25	1.1	.3 (	0.02	0.10	
C92	CONDUIT	0.1	.19	0	01	:25	1.2	20 0	).53	0.60	
C93	CONDUIT	0.1	13	0	01	:25	1.5	i8 (	0.69	0.62	
C94	CONDUIT	0.0	16	0	01	:25	0.7	0 0	80.0	0.60	
C95	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0	01	:25	0.9	6 (	0.03	0.13	
C96	CONDUIT	0.0	05	0	01	:25	1.5	54 (	0.15	0.25	
C97	CONDUIT	0.0	72	0	01	:25	1.2	27 (	).89	0.75	
C98	CONDUIT	0.0	20	0	01	:25	0.8	3 (	0.07	0.58	
C99	CONDUIT	0.0	139	0	01	:25	0.6	58 (	).95	0.75	
* * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *										
Flow *****	Classification Summary *****										
				_	-	_					
	Adjusted			Fr	act	ion of	Time	in Flo	ow Cla	ss	
	/Actual		Un	Do	wn	Sub	Sup	Un	Down	Norm	
Inlet.	/11000001		~P	20		5 4 5	0 ap	~1~	200011	1101111	
Condu	it Length	Drv	Drv	Dr	y	Crit	Crit	Crit	Crit	Ltd	
Ctrl		4	4	-	-	-	-		-		
			0.55	~		0 0 -	0 = -	0	<b>a</b>	0.11	
C1	1.00	0.01	0.00	Ο.	00	0.20	0.79	υ.00	0.00	0.41	
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0	00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 1 5	
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	υ.	00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	

C100	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
C101	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.87
0.00 C102	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.18
0.00 C103	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 03	0 00	0 96	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 82
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95
C11 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.94
C12 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.94
C13	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C14	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.93
C15	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C16	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 C17	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 99	0 00	0 00	0 22
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
C2 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.06
C20 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.87
C21	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.93
C22	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.95
C23	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.86
C24	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.69
0.00 C25	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C26	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 03	0 00	0 96	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 82
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.95
C3 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.74
C30	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.30
C31	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.73
C32	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81
C33	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.63
0.00 C34	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00 C35	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.89
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 99	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 95
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

C37 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.92
C38	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C39	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 03	0 96	0 00	0 00	0 04
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.04
C40 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.68
C41	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.88
C42	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C43	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C44	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.09
C46 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.90
C47	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.26
C48	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.87
0.00 C49	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.92
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 98	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 94
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.91
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C51 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.95
C52	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.60
C53	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00 C54	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.87
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.91
C57 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.82
C58	1.00	0.04	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C59	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00 C6	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19
0.00 C60	1.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 04
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.04
C62 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.54
C63 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.57
C64	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.94
C65	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.86
U.UU C66	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94
0.00 C67	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.14
0.00									

C68	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.70
C69	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00 C7	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.93
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0.05
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.05
C71 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C72	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.95
C73	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C74	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.06
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 12	0 87	0 00	0 00	0 00
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.95
C77 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C78	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.31
C79	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C8	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.91
0.00 C80	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C82 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81
C83	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.95
C84	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00 C85	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.66
0.00 C86	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
0.00	1 00	0 01	0 00	0 00	0 03	0 97	0 00	0 00	0 90
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.50
C88 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.76
C89 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C9	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
C90	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.82
0.00 C91	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.80
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90
0.00	1 00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 50
0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.58
C94 0.00	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.95
C95	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.95
C96	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.14
0.00 C97	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.81
0.00 C98	1.00	0,01	0,00	0,00	0,82	0.17	0,00	0,00	0.95
0.00								2.00	

C99 0.00

1.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.99 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.23

Conduit Surcharge Summary \*\*\*\*\*

Conduit	Both Ends	Hours Full Upstream	Dnstream	Hours Above Full Normal Flow	Hours Capacity Limited
C1	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.15	0.01
C10	0.01	0.01	1.60	0.01	0.01
C105	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01
C11	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
C12	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.01
C14	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.01
C18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
C19	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.01
C2	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.20	0.08
C25	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.01	0.01
C3	0.07	0.07	0.40	0.01	0.01
C 4	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.01
C5	0.01	0.01	0.46	0.01	0.01
C52	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.01
C61	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
C65	0.01	0.01	1.28	0.01	0.01
C66	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.01
C67	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.01
C68	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
C69	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.01
C78	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.01
C79	0.01	0.01	0.44	0.01	0.01
C83	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.01	0.01
C94	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01

Analysis begun on: Thu Oct 17 07:27:04 2019 Analysis ended on: Thu Oct 17 07:27:05 2019