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#### Abstract

Data mining is a relatively new field emerging in many disciplines. It is becoming more popular as technology advances, and the need for efficient data analysis is required. The aim of data mining itself is not to provide strict rules by analysing the full data set, data mining is used to predict with some certainty while only analysing a small portion of the data. This project seeks to compare the efficiency of a decision tree induction method with that of the neural network method.

MATLAB has inbuilt data mining toolboxes. However the decision tree induction method is not as yet implemented. Decision tree induction has been implemented in several forms in the past. The greatest contribution to this method has been made by DR John Ross Quinlan, who has brought forward this method in the form of ID3, C4.5 and C5 algorithms. The methodologies used within ID3 and C4.5 are well documented and therefore provide a strong platform for the implementation of this method within a higher level language.

The objectives of this study are to fully comprehend two methods of data mining, namely decision tree induction and neural networks. The decision tree induction method is to be implemented within the mathematical computer language MATLAB. The results found when analysing some suitable data will be compared with the results from the neural network toolbox already implemented in MATLAB.

The data used to compare and contrast the two methods included voting records from the US House of Representatives, which consists of yes, no and undecided votes on sixteen separate issues. The voters are grouped into categories according to their political party. This can be either republican or democratic. The objective of using this data set is to predict what party a congressman is affiliated with by analysing their voting trends.

The findings of this study reveal that the decision tree method can accurately predict outcomes if an ideal data set is used for building the tree. The neural network method has less accuracy in some situations however it is more robust towards unexpected data.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

Data mining is a relatively new field emerging in many disciplines. It is becoming more popular as technology advances, and the need for efficient data analysis is required. The aim of data mining itself is not to provide strict rules by analysing the full data set, data mining is used to predict with some certainty while only analysing a small portion of the data. Therefore 'rules generated by data mining are empirical'- 'they are not physical laws' (Read 2000) Many methods of data mining exist. Some of these methods include genetic algorithms, neural networks, decision tree induction and clustering methods. These methods are mostly considered numerical methods and therefore lend well to software implementation. One particular group of algorithms are the ID3, C4.5 and C5 algorithms developed by Quinlan (Quinlan 1993). An implementation of neural network algorithms can be found in the neural network toolbox for matlab. This toolbox contains several approaches to the neural network method.

### 1.2 Background

With an increasing number of implementations being made in all approaches of data mining, there is a need to have a single platform that can perform both neural network
methods and decision tree induction methods. This project aims to develop a software implementation of these two methods, within a single high level language.

### 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are to fully comprehend two methods of data mining, namely decision tree induction and neural networks. Investigation of the frameworks encompassing the inbuilt and developed modules of neural networks will be made. An decision tree induction method is to be implemented with the mathematical computer language MATLAB. The algorithm is to be verified by means of comparing the output of the C4.5 software package. The neural network method will be examined and implemented on some suitable data used to build the decision trees. The results found when analysing the data using the decision tree method will be compared with the results from the neural network toolbox already implemented in matlab.

### 1.4 Scope

The study will include analysing data that is suitable for simple analysis. The knowledge required is the ability to understand the processes involved in creating decision trees via the method presented in Ross Quinlans C4.5 program. An understanding of the MATLAB language will be required along with an understanding of the neural network toolbox. Analysis of the data will only commence after testing with known data is completed and an assurance of correctness of the program is made. The methods required for this will be presented later.
An algorithm based upon C4.5 is to be developed and implemented in matlab. This algorithm will then be supported by input and output methods in order to simplify the use of the algorithm.

All data to be examined will be of the categorical type and therefore continuous data will not be examined at this stage in the project. The algorithm will however leave room for adaption to include this capability. The algorithm will be tested against C4.5 for verification purposes. Verification will be made by comparing the outputs on an
simple case study. The case study to be examined is a common example used to verify and explain data mining methods. The case study contains data that is used to decide whether a person should play golf or not. When considering this particular question, four variables can be examined to make a decision. These variables include weather conditions such as the temperature, humidity, wind strength and the outlook. Records of data are known to result in an 'outcome' of either play or don't play. We are only concerned with this final outcome and therefore verification will consist on examining whether the same values of the weather variables produce the same outcome. For example, if the decision tree method is correct then both trees should give the outcome 'play' when the outlook is 'rainy' and the wind strength is 'weak'.

The neural network toolbox is to be fully understood. A method of creating a network and testing the network is to be developed. A set of suitable data will be found and from this data a decison tree shall be created using the MATLAB algorithm. Some data is to be kept aside in order to test the decision tree. Once a suitable/correct decision tree is found, the data used to find and test the tree will be kept. This data will then be imported into the neural network toolbox and an network will be trained using this data. The Testing data used to test the decision tree will be input into the neural network toolbox and the outcomes of this compared to the actual outcome of each record in the testing data set. Comparison of the two methods will involve examining the correctness of the predictions made by both methods using the testing data. The percentage of correct predictions for each method will be presented.

The case study being used to compare the two methods is categorical based on the voting trends of U.S. congressmen. The records are grouped in two partitions, these being democratic and republican. The data consists of the votes made by 300 congressmen on sixteen issues. The possible values for each vote are yes, no and undecided.

## Chapter 2

## Literature Review

### 2.1 Data mining applications in engineering

The field of data mining has potential within engineering as a predictive tool. For example, within a factory, downtime can be expensive both in personell and in maintenance costs, such as hiring maintenance teams on call. However with data logging and monitoring of equipment, an induction can be made as to when equipment is likely to fail. This is not reliant on S-N curves and other similar probability methods. If one is able to predict when equipment will fail, then preparations can be made and downtime can be prearranged. Also safety can be somewhat improved as the expected failure can be stopped prematurely.

Another example may be the prediction of road deterioration as well as the deterioration of other infrastructure, such as powerlines. One may be able to include lightning strike data in the latter example as well as wind and other data to minimise the requirement of visual inspection. For road deterioration, one could predict the need for upgrades based on the weather, amount and size of transport using the roads, as well as the soil conditions and other factors that are present in transport infrastructure design.

### 2.2 Machine Learning

### 2.2.1 Introduction

Data mining can be achieved using various machine learning techniques. The one being examined here is the decision tree induction approach. This approach will be contrasted with the neural network approach, which will be discussed at a later time.

### 2.2.2 Decision Tree Learning

Decision tree learning is 'a method for approximating discrete valued functions that is robust to noisy data and capable of learning disjunctive expressions' according to (Mitchell 1997).

Ross Quinlan has produced several working decision tree induction methods that have been implemented in his programs, ID3, C4.5 and C5. Decision tree induction takes a set of known data and induces a desicision tree from that data. The tree can then be used as a rule set for predicting the outcome from known attributes. The initial data set from which the tree is induced is known as the training set. The decision tree takes the top-down form given in figure 2.1, at the top is the first attribute and its values, from this the next branch leads to either an attribute or an outcome. Every possible leaf of the tree eventually leads to an outcome.

Figure 2.1 shows a simple decision tree, however in complex decision trees, the number of attributes and their values can be very large. A decision tree can be generated quite easily, but if the wrong attribute is used at the root, then the length of the tree can become quite large. This property can be overcome by using entropy to determine the information gain of each attribute. In order to minimise the size of the tree, and minimise the number of attributes required, the attribute with the highest information gain is used as the root of the tree.


Figure 2.1: Example of a simple decision tree

### 2.2.3 ID3

'The Iterative Dichotomizer 3 (ID3) algorithm is a descendant of Hunt's Concept Learning System, CLS' (Durkin 1992). The CLS is based on a binary decision, using the discrimination $p+$ and $p$ - to define the probability of each branch of the tree. Only two leaves can branch from each node of the tree. An example of this is given in figure 2.2. In this example, attribute A can only take the values 0 or 1 . This is the type of binary decisions that CLS uses.


Figure 2.2: Example of a two leaf tree

The CLS algorithm according to (Durkin 1992):

Starting with an empty decision tree.

1. If all examples within the training set are positive, then create a YES node and then stop.

If all examples within the training set are negative, then create a NO node and then stop.

Else select an attribute A with values $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}$ and create the desision node.
2. Arrange the training examples in to subsets $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}$ of the training set $C$, according to the values of $V$.
3. Apply Recursively to each of the training subsets $C_{i}$.
(Quinlan 1985) introduces the ID3 algorithm and presents the dilemma for decision trees with large data sets. The feasibilty of such an approach to create a full set of decision trees is unviable, therefore Quinlan presents the solution of entropy and information gain.

ID3 was designed with these methods in mind to create a 'desirable' decision tree, however it may not create the 'best' decision tree. That is the tree which comes to a conclusion quickly and contains all of the desired rules. Quinlan introduces the training set, which if carefully selected will then pass all of the desired rules to the algorithm. It follows that a training set should be critically chosen. He also states that two different sets of attributes and attribute values that lead to the same outcome are inadequate for the training process.

According to (Quinlan 1985), in ID3, the training set is divided into subsets known as windows. During the training process a window is chosen at random and this forms the root of the decision tree. The window is formed into a tree and this tree is then tested on the remaining objects within the training set. If this testing procedure succeeds then the tree is satisfactory, if not, then the process iterates. This method is considered to be much faster than creating a tree based on the entire training set.

### 2.2.4 Entropy

Quinlan (Quinlan 1985) introduces the process of information gain for the determination of the root of a tree. This method is used for arbitrary data sets. Given a set C containing p objects of class P and n objects of class N .

The expected information is:
$E(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{V} \frac{p_{i}+n_{i}}{p+n} I\left(p_{i}, n_{i}\right)$
where $I(p, n)=-\frac{p}{p+n} \log _{2} \frac{p}{p+n}-\frac{n}{p+n} \log _{2} \frac{n}{p+n}$
and the information gain:
$\operatorname{gain}(A)=I(p, n)-E(A)$

### 2.2.5 Noise

Noise within the data set has to be dealt with, under most circumstances. This noise includes misclassified information as well as missing information. Misclassification can include incorrect nomeclature for attribute values, this arises when multiple people or systems are used to collect data. Simple human error can add to misclassification. Two modifiactions to the decision tree induction methods have been described by Quinlan, (Quinlan 1985). These include:

1. The ability to decide whether certain attributes will present a true gain of accuracy to the tree, and
2. Inadequate attributes need to be dealt with, as noise can corrupt any data set.
(Quinlan 1985) presents an adaption of the information gain algorithm using the chisquare test, to overcome the first situation. This method is favoured over the simple addition of a percentage threshold for the information gain as this method can also limit legitimate patterns. These methods help assure that 'outliers' are not included within the data. The chi-square method determines the confidence that the attribute can be rejected. This test gives the algorithm the power to determine whether further developing of the decision tree will result in a more accurate tree. This eliminates
the risk of developing trees which encompass all possible situations including those generated by noise.

The second situation can be corrected by assigning each leaf containing the attribute with the noise to a class using the following tests: if $\mathrm{p}>\mathrm{n}$ then assign to class P or if $\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{n}$ then assign to class N . This solution has been found to minimise the expected error.

The ID3 algorithm as shown by (Durkin 1992) is:

1. Select a random subset of size W from the entire set of training examples. (select a window)
2. Apply the CLS algorithm to form the decision tree or rule for the window.
3. Scan the entire set to find contradictions to the present rule.
4. If contraditions exist, incorporate these into the window and repeat from the rule generation step.

### 2.2.6 C4.5

The C4.5 algorithm was also written by Ross Quinlan and finds its origin in ID3 and CLS, according to (Quinlan 1993). It is also based on the binary descision basis seen in CLS. The CLS algorithm is once again used to generate the initial decision tree from the training set. C 4.5 sees the introduction of the gain ratio criterion alongside the information gain criterion for the selection of the most 'useful' attribute. The gain criterion was found to be biased towards tests with several outcomes, (Quinlan 1993). The information gain ratio criterion is given below:
$\operatorname{gainratio}(X)=\frac{\operatorname{gain}(X)}{\operatorname{splitinfo}(X)}$
where $\operatorname{splitinfo}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|T_{i}\right|}{|T|} \times \log _{2}\left(\frac{\left|T_{i}\right|}{|T|}\right)$

### 2.2.7 Missing Values

Missing values within training sets can be managed by assigning values that are seen in similar cases (Mitchell 1997). For example the value found that is most common when another attribute matches that of a full record. This method requires some inference into which attribute is most relevant to the missing attribute. According to (Mitchell 1997), another method is to assign the average of the missing attributes that correspond with another relevant attribute as above.
(Mitchell 1997) presents a third method, which is the method used within C4.5. The attributes which contain missing values are given probabilities for each possible value. When the missing value is being considered, the probabilities are assigned as values of a new fractional attribute weighted by considering the aforementioned probabilities, and the decision tree is created as normal (Quinlan 1985).

### 2.2.8 Continuous Data

Continuous data must be dealt with in decision tree induction in order to broaden the potential of the method. Continuous data may be used easily after being discretised. That is the data must be cut up into portions, depending on the immediate use of the data. (Mitchell 1997) presents the method which dynamically defines new discrete valued attributes that partition the continuous attribute value into a set of discrete values. Consider a continuous valued attribute $A$ and its set of two possible discrete values where $A_{c}$ is the threshold. That is $A<c$ or the boolean opposite.

### 2.2.9 Discretization techniques

Several discretization techniques have been used within data mining. In order to provide categorical data sufficient for data mining, these techniques must be utilized on continuous data. The methods being examined are relative to decision tree induction. Partitioning of a continuous data set involves splitting the attribute on some random threshold, finding the number of missclassified records and comparing this with another split in order to find an acceptable threshold. Another method involves calculating the
mean of the data in question and choosing some distance relative to the mean as the threshold. For example, all of the values that are greater or less than $\frac{1}{2}$ a standard deviation from the mean are considered one category and the remaining data would be considered a different category. This method is described in figure 2.3. Take the example of a range of temperatures, if the thresholds are set at, for example, $22^{\circ}$ and $32^{\circ}$ (the mean is $27^{\circ}$ and one standard deviation is $10^{\circ}$ ), then the data can be split into three categories, hot, mild and cool. The left hand shaded area would correspond to the cool data, the unshaded to mild and the right hand shaded section is the hot area. In a binomial distribution as presented in figure 2.3, the mean, $\bar{x}$ of the data is found in the center of the distribution. The upper and lower thresholds are found by taking the standard deviation of the distribution and adding or subtracting this from the mean respectively. These calculations are presented below.
mean $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{N} x}{N}$
where

- $x$ is the value of each record and,
- $N$ is the total number of records
standard deviation $\sigma^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{N}$
where
- $\sigma^{2}$ is the square of the standard deviation
- $\bar{x}$ is the mean
- $x_{i}$ are the record values
- $N$ is the number of records

Other methods of splitting continuous data include using the variance or the gini index to determine the best split. However these methods provide no way to compare the splits on discrete data.


Figure 2.3: Standard deviation descretization method

### 2.3 Artificial Neural Networks

Neural networks are designed to mimic the neurons within the human nervous sytem, according to (Rojas 1996). One must understand how these systems work to fully understand the workings of artificial neural networks. Biological neural networks are systems of signals which are forwarded from neuron to neuron. 'Neurons receive signals and produce a response' (Rojas 1996). In general, information comes into the motor neuron via dendrites. These dendrites receive the information via the synapses, which can be considered as storage mechanisms. The synapses distribute the information between independent neurons. At the center of the neuron are the organelles, which provide the neurons with all of the requirements for survival. Also the mitochondria supply the energy to keep the cell working. Axon's transmit the output signals made by the neurons. Each cell may only have one axon, according to (Rojas 1996). Some cells however do not have an axon as they are only required to link two sets of cells. (Rojas 1996) states that artificial neural networks are similar to biological neural networks in that they have inputs, an output and a working body. The synapses are simulated via weightings on the external and internal input and output channels. Figure 2.4 is an adaption of an abstract neuron as described in (Rojas 1996). It describes a simple model of a neuron, having $x_{i}$ as the inputs, $w_{i}$ as the weighting and $f$ is the output of the neuron. (Where $f=\sum_{0}^{i} x_{i} w_{i}$ )

According to (Rojas 1996), a neural network can be thought of as containing many of


Figure 2.4: Example of a back propagation neural network
these nodes which are interconnected.
(Rojas 1996) introduces several types of neural networks, and there are many in existance. However, only the Back Propagation neural network will be examined here. A typical back propagation network will be similar to figure 2.5. Figure 2.5 has been adapted from (Widrow \& Lehr 1990).


Figure 2.5: Example of a back propagation neural network

Neural networks consist of neurons, that have a number of weighted inputs and only a single output. The typical network is a grouping of interconnected neurons with the input layer of neurons outputs connected via a weighting term, to the inputs of the next
layer. The final layer is the output layer and the layers between input and output are known as hidden layers. The layout of a network ensures difficulty in understanding the method of which artificial neural networks use. This creates the notion that neural networks are an abstract method of learning.

Training a neural network entails determining the most effective values for the weights of each input. A neuron must also contain a single unit input as well as all variable inputs. The training method being analysed here is backpropagation.

### 2.3.1 Backpropagation

(Werbos 1990) states that backpropagation is a method that finds just one of its uses within neural networks. Backpropagation or feed forward, is a method of determining the parameters required for an efficient neural network. (Werbos 1990) states, 'backpropagation is simply an efficient and exact method for calculating all the derivatives of a single target quantity with respect to a large set of input quantities'.(Rojas 1996) introduces feed-forward networks as a form of 'threshold logic'. Backpropagation is a form of supervised learning, with the goal of modifying the neural network so that its actual outputs approach a desired set of outputs (Werbos 1990). According to (Rojas 1996) threshold logic is based on computer logic decisions with the added threshold used to compare continuous inputs. According to (Rojas 1996) neural networks can be thought of as a black box approach to learning. (Werbos 1990) introduces the sigmoidal function as the most common transfer function used in backpropagation.

The Sigmoid function: $f=\frac{1}{1+e^{-Z}}$, where $z=\sum_{0}^{i} x_{i} w_{i}$. The sigmoid function outputs values between 0 and 1 (Mitchell 1997).


Figure 2.6: The Sigmoid function

### 2.3.2 Multilayer Networks

Single layer networks are only capable of expressing linear decisions (Mitchell 1997). Multilayer networks provide nonlinear decisions.

### 2.3.3 Training Neural Networks

Neural networks must be set up such that a set of inputs will produce a desired set of outputs (Kröse \& van der Smagt 1996). In order for neural networks to become efficient in their decision making, they must be trained correctly and efficiently. The amount of training time required varies greatly from seconds to hours. However the method reduces the effect of overfitting and the training time required is overcome by the fast evaluation made by the properly trained network. (Mitchell 1997) presents gradient descent as the training method used within backpropagation. The gradient descent method converges on local minima (Mitchell 1997). This property can be overcome in most situations by adding a momentum term. This term tends to cause the iterations to converge on global solutions rather than local solutions. The backpropagation function (Mitchell 1997) using a momentum term is given below.

The backpropagation rules for updating weights can be described by:
$\Delta w_{j i}(n)=\eta \delta_{j} x_{j i}+\alpha \Delta w_{j i}(n-1)$
Where:

- $\Delta w_{j i}(n)$ are the updated weights
- $\alpha$ is the momentum constant, ranging between 0 and 1
- $\eta$ being the learning rate
- $\delta_{j}$ is the error term
- $x_{j i}$ is the input from node i to unit j
$\eta \delta_{j} x_{j i}$ updates the weights, while $\alpha \Delta w_{j i}(n-1)$ provides the momentum to ensure a global solution. (Mitchell 1997)

The backpropagation method consists of updating the weights in order to change the output of the network. The weights are updated until convergence of the weights has been made. If convergence is not made, then training should be stopped after some number of iterations. Convergence can be seen either locally or globally, therefore the momentum term is added in order to prevent local convergence. Firstly the weights are set at some arbitrarily chosen values, and the output of the network is examined. The weights are then adjusted in order to bring the outputs closer to their desired values. The weights are adjusted in this manner until an acceptable group of outputs are found or the number of iterations reaches some pre set limit.

### 2.3.4 Applications of Neural Netwoks

Neural networks in particular have extensive applications within the engineering industry. These include the unsupervised learning of physical limits for industrial robots. (Mitchell 1997) gives an example of the automatic steering of an automobile using neural networks. The ALVINN system, which used the feed forward type of neural network, taking in images from a front mounted camera could steer the automobile effectively at speeds of up to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Other examples provided by (Mitchell 1997), that carry some success, include hand writing recognition, face and speech recognition.

## Chapter 3

## Methodology

### 3.1 Program Methodology

### 3.2 Decision Tree Induction

Implementation of the ID3 algorithm to build a decision tree for given categorical data is the initial aim of this project. The ID3 algorithm was considered to be an appropriate algorithm for implementation due to its simplicity. The C 4.5 algorithm contains the ID3 algorithm and some efficiency improvements, as well as adaptions for handling missing data, noise and other expected problems.

The algorithm used to provide the base for this project is given below:

1. Input the attributes, target attribute and examples
2. Create a node
3. Test if all the attributes have the same outcome, if yes return the outcome and exit
4. Test if attributes array is empty, if yes return the most common value of the target attribute and exit
5. Find the attribute with smallest entropy
6. Create a group of subsets for each value of the best attribute
7. For each non-empty subset send the subset as examples to step 1

This can be represented visually in the flow chart provided in figure 3.1.

### 3.3 Program Modules

### 3.3.1 Data Input Methods/Data Structure

In order to manipulate any data, it must be imported into the working environment. For ease of use and simple pre-processing on the data, the input files are in the Microsoft Excel format. Matlab uses the xlsread function to read the data into its workspace. The data is split into two separate Excel files. One file contains the data to be analysed, with the attributes in the columns, records in the rows and the final column being the outcomes. The second file is similar to the C4.5 names file, in the manner that it contains the attributes and attribute values that correspond to the data.

The files have the respective filenames of:

- filename.xls
- filename.types.xls

The term types was used as to highlight the differences between the names and types files.

Table 3.1 shows an example of the layout of a typical data file and table 3.2 shows the types file for this data set.

Table 3.1 is the simple data set used for verification of the algorithm. The data consists of four attributes, outlook, temperature, humidity and wind, in the first four columns


Figure 3.1: Flow chart representing the ID3 algorithm

| Sunny | Hot | High | Weak | Don't Play |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunny | Hot | High | Strong | Don't Play |
| Overcast | Hot | High | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | High | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Cool | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Cool | Normal | Strong | Don't Play |
| Overcast | Cool | Normal | Strong | Play |
| Sunny | Mild | High | Weak | Don't Play |
| Sunny | Cool | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Sunny | Mild | Normal | Strong | Play |
| Overcast | Mild | High | Strong | Play |
| Overcast | Hot | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | High | Strong | Don't Play |

Table 3.1: A typical input data set
and the final column contains the outcome, which can be to play golf or don't play golf. The next data set is the description of the possible attribute values for each attribute. Sample data is provided in table 3.2. The first column consists of the name of each attribute and each consecutive column contains a possible value for each attribute. As can be seen in table 3.2 the number of values for each attribute can vary, therefore the data set is not necessarily square. Therefore looking at row three and the attribute humidity, the values that are possible are high and normal.

| Outlook | Sunny | Overcast | Rain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Temperature | Hot | Mild | Cool |
| Humidity | High | Normal |  |
| Wind | Weak | Strong |  |

Table 3.2: The types file for the data in table 3.1

These files are imported into the matlab workspace by the functions getdata(filename), getvalues(filename) and gettypes(filename). The getdata function imports the examples and stores these in an array. gettypes retrieves the attributes and getvalues retrieves the attribute values.

Unknown data is handled by the function replace_missing_data(). Any missing values found within the examples data set are replaced with the most common value for that particular attribute. This is a crude method of dealing with missing data, however it is sufficient for an initial version of the algorithm. The training and testing data shall be carefully chosen in order to eliminate the possibility of operating on missing data. A variable named data_type was created in order to handle both numerical and string categorical data. For example, an attribute can have the values of sunny, overcast and rain or 1,2 , and 3 . Continuous data is to be handled separately. In order to make a decision based on continuous data one or more best split thresholds must be found. Once these thresholds are determined, the data can be split into discrete attribute values, for example if humidity $\geq 75 \%$ then humidty is high otherwise humidity is normal. These values then can be parsed to the decision tree algorithm.

The main algorithm is located in $i d 3()$. This algorithm follows the steps outlined below.

1. If there are no examples present then break
2. Remove the subset that was previously examined (if applicable)
3. Let examples equal the first set contained in examples_now via choose_set()
4. Save this set to file filename.set via write_set()
5. Determine the entropy for each value and find the attribute with the smallest entropy using find_entropy
6. Write the leaf information to the tree and add the best attribute
7. Create a reference row to be used in finding each subset in examples_now using create_ref_point
8. Add subsets to examples_now for each value of the best attribute that does not have an outcome. If an outcome is present then this is reported in the tree
otherwise an identifier (discussed later) is placed in the tree for this attribute value.
9. Remove the attributes that have been used in this branch via remove_attributes
10. Return to step 1 using the examples_now as the current example set

The function choose_set takes the examples, attributes and outcome arrays as inputs. From the example array the function finds the first 'eor' (end of records or 12345 if numerical data) index in the data set, it then saves the rows of data from the first row up to the first index as the example set to be examined. This acts as a shift register in order to keep all examples in one array but only operate on the subset that is in question. Similarily the outcome array is divided up in the same manner. The column corresponding to the previous attribute in the tree is also removed so that it cannot occur more than once in the same branch. These arrays are then returned back to the id3() algorithm.
write_set is used to save each subset being examined, to file. The file can be used for backtracing and verification purposes. The output of this function is provided in table 3.3.

### 3.3.2 Data Output Method/Structure

The output method employed by the matlab algorithm is the use of the fprintf function to write the results to file. This allows for simple extraction of the data and allows the data to be examined outside of the matlab program.

The output files include:

- filename.set
- filename.tree
- filename_test.dat
- filename_train.dat
filename.set is a record of all of the sets and subsets that have been created by the algorithm. This is a grouping of all of the subsets for each attribute value that was chosen as a best split. filename.tree is the graphical output of the tree. The information given by this file includes the tree level, the branch number, the attribute and its values and their outcomes. The tree begins with the root attribute of the tree (Tree level 1 branch 1). From here each value for this attribute is presented with its outcome directly below. An attribute value that has no definite outcome is assigned the value $\ggg>$. This is used as an identifier in order to be recognised as an attribute value that leads to no outcome. For each attribute value that doesn't have a definite outcome, a branch is added. The branches can be found in tree_level $=k+1$ where $k$ is the current tree level and the branch number will match the index of $\ggg>$ found at the current tree level. A $\gg$ denotes an attribute and $\mathrm{a}->$ denotes and attribute value. An example is given in figure 3.2.


Figure 3.2: Example output of a .tree file and its corresponding tree

In figure 3.2 at tree level 2, branch 1 forks off from value A1 and branch 2 forks from value A3. The need for stating the tree level and the branch number becomes apparent as the size of the tree increases. The algorithm uses recursion and local variables to move through the tree branch by branch rather than level by level and therefore the tree is written to the file in an illogical manner.
The layout of filename.set is represented in table 3.3.

|  | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Training Set | sunny | mild | high | weak | 0 |
|  | sunny | hot | high | strong | 0 |
|  | rain | mild | high | strong | 0 |
|  | rain | cool | normal | strong | 0 |
|  | rain | mild | normal | weak | 1 |
|  | overcast | cool | normal | strong | 1 |
|  | rain | mild | high | weak | 1 |
|  | overcast | hot | high | weak | 1 |
|  | sunny | mild | normal | strong | 1 |
|  | overcast | mild | high | strong | 1 |
|  | sunny | cool | normal | weak | 1 |


|  | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunny Subset | mild | high | weak | 0 |
|  | hot | high | strong | 0 |
|  | mild | normal | strong | 1 |
|  | cool | normal | weak | 1 |


|  | Temperature | Wind | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rain Subset | mild | strong | 0 |
|  | cool | strong | 0 |
|  | mild | weak | 1 |
|  | mild | weak | 1 |

Table 3.3: Listing of the file golf.set

The file filename.set consists of three space delimited tables that represent the subsets that the ID3 algoritm has operated on. This includes the original full set of data and a subset of the data that contains the sunny attribute value and one that contains the rain value. From these subsets, it is easy to see how a decision is made on which attribute is best. In the sunny subset, when the humidity is high an outcome of 0 or don't play is reached while the humidity is normal an outcome of play is reached. Therefore the attribute humidity contains enough data to make a decision depending on the state of the humidity. The same process can be applied to the wind attribute in the rain subset.

The files filename_test.dat and filename_train.dat contain a listing of the data used to test and train the decision tree respectively. The data is in a space delimited format for ease of import into the MS excel format. The order of the data is the same as that of the input data mentioned previously.

### 3.3.3 Continuous Data

In order to represent continous data in a form which can be compared with the categorical data, the standard deviation technique of discretization will be implemented. This is considered a preprocessing technique, and therefore will be only an appendage to the ID3 algorithm. The algorithm takes in the column of discrete data and returns the data in three categories, high, moderate and low. The function has the following inputs and outputs:
[categories] $=$ discretize\{thiscolumn, percentdeviation\} Where:

- categories is the array of discrete data to be parsed into the ID3 algorithm
- thiscolumn is the column of continuous data that is to be discretized
- percentdeviation is the percentage of the standard deviation that will be used to create the upper and lower decision thresholds (suggested value $=50 \%$ )

In order to use the discretized data in the ID3 algorithm, the types file must be edited manually to contain the values high, moderate and low.

The discretization module uses two thresholds to partition the data in to three partitions. The mean of the data is found and thresholds are made at a percentage of the standard deviation on either side of the mean. The mean and standard deviation are included in the matlab language and therefore do not need to be implemented. Some control over the size of the partitions is gained by using an index which is relative to the size of the standard deviation. Thereby creating a partition that can be resized to better describe the data. The need for this control arises when the data contains outlying values and therefore is not described well by the normal binomial distribution.

Improvements could be made on this method, however the data being examined at this stage is categorical and therefore more complex methods have not been examined.

The discretisation method has been tested using the data in table 3.4 and the outputs of the function are also provided here. The thresholds found for this set of data were high $=0.6690$ and low $=0.0324$. The data is simply a group of twenty-five randomly generated numbers that lie between zero and one. MATLAB's rand() function was used to generate the numbers. The mean has then been taken and the standard deviation found. A percentage of the standard deviation is used to place the thresholds thereby resizing the moderate field. In this case a half of a standard deviation was taken ( $50 \%$ ). The thresholds are found in this manner and any records that have values larger than the upper threshold, are replaced with 'high', any values lower than the lower threshold are replaced with 'low'. The values in between the two thresholds are replaced with 'moderate'.

From the data given in table 3.4 the most common values are moderate values. In order to even out the distribution, the percentage of the standard deviation should be lowered in order to shorten the 'spread' of the moderate threshold.

### 3.3.4 Rule Contradictions

Contradictions to some rules exist in real life data. However at this initial stage of the algorithm, contradictions have not been dealt with fully.

### 3.4 Data mining utilities within Matlab

### 3.4.1 Neural Network Toolbox

The Neural net toolbox incorporated within matlab, consists of implementations of various architectures. In this study, the most commonly used backpropagation network is being examined. The neural network toolbox consists of functions as well as a gui implementation. The first step in building a network is defining the network. This is done via the newff function.

| Input data | Output data |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.8529 | 'high' |
| 0.1803 | 'moderate' |
| 0.0324 | 'low' |
| 0.7339 | 'high' |
| 0.5365 | 'moderate' |
| 0.276 | 'moderate' |
| 0.3685 | 'moderate' |
| 0.0129 | 'low' |
| 0.8892 | 'high' |
| 0.866 | 'high' |
| 0.2542 | 'moderate' |
| 0.5695 | 'moderate' |
| 0.1593 | 'moderate' |
| 0.5944 | 'moderate' |
| 0.3311 | 'moderate' |
| 0.6586 | 'moderate' |
| 0.8636 | 'high' |
| 0.5676 | 'moderate' |
| 0.9805 | 'high' |
| 0.7918 | 'high' |
| 0.1526 | 'moderate' |
| 0.833 | 'high' |
| 0.1919 | 'moderate' |
| 0.639 | 'moderate' |

Table 3.4: Discretisation module output

A description of the arguments parsed to this function follows:
net $=$ newff(data limits,[neurons in first layer, neurons in second layer]\{transfer function for hidden layer,transfer fuction for output layer\}, training method)

1. Data limits: the minimum and maximum value for each attribute value
2. Neurons in each layer defines the architecture of the network
3. Transfer functions: the transfer functions used to train the network
4. Training method: defines which training algorithm will be used

The possible values for these arguments are:

1. Continuous values or 0 and 1 for categorical data
2. Typical networks should only have one hidden layer and the output layer. The number of neurons required varies with the size of the data.
3. The transfer functions provided within matlab are: tan-sigmoid, log-sigmoid and pure linear.
4. The methods for training are either the gradient descent method or the gradient descent method with a momentum term

The network once defined can be modified to define a customised network that is suitable for the data being used. The parameters that will be of interest for this project are:

1. net.trainParam.lr, the learning rate which determines the step size for adjusting the weights
2. net.trainParam.mc, the momentum constant which modifies the learning rate depending on the gradient of changes in the weights

The next step in building a network within matlab is to train the new network using the function train.
$[n e t, t r]=\operatorname{train}(n e t, p, t)$
Where:

- net is the network created with the newff
- $\operatorname{tr}$ is the training record (performace feedback)
- p is the training data
- t is the training outcome data

The data that is input into the neural network via the variables $p$ and $t$ can be of two forms, either continuous data within a range or binary data for categorical variables. The comparison between the decision tree output and the neural network outputs concerns categorical data. In order to describe a catergorical set of attribute values in binary form, they must first be split up into an array the size of the total number of values for the concerned attribute. For example, examining the outlook attribute from the golf set, there are three possible values that the outlook can take. These values are sunny, overcast or rain. Table 3.5 describes how each of these values would be input to the network.

| Value | Sunny | Overcast | Rain |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sunny | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Overcast | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Rain | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Table 3.5: Binary input into the neural network

The rows contain the inputs given to the network. If the outlook is sunny then the sunny column must have a value of 1 and the rest must be 0 . In this way it is not possible to be sunny and overcast. This method does ensure the integrity of each value is sustained however the number of inputs to the network can become extremely large. Consider the temperature attribute. This may be described by a single continuous variable or this variable could be divided into categories such as hot, mild and cool. In this simple example the number of variables input to the network is increased threefold.

The outputs of the network can be described in a similar manner. Because the output of the network should be play or don't play, a variable for each outcome is created. Therefore a play outcome is made from an output of the network given as $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and don't play would be [01]. This is a novel method, however the output of the network is very rarely as neat as [01]. More likely values may be [0.12 0.94], this property may be overcome by rounding these values to the nearest whole number. However this does not describe the actual output of then network when a value of say [0.58 0.47] is produced. This value would most likely mean that the data is inconclusive, but the chances are an outcome of play will be reached. In order to monitor this property, we introduce a 'confidence' value. The confidence is simply the absolute value of the difference between the two values. in this case the confidence would be $0.58-0.47=0.11$ whereas the earlier values would give confidence $=0.94-0.12=0.82$. From the two values it can be seen that there is more confidence in the outcome being don't play for the first example than there is for the play outcome of the second example.
In order to test the network, the sim function needs to be called. This function simulates the network with regards to the single input record that is given to it. The testing data should contain several records while each of these should be presented to the sim function seperately. The inputs and outputs to the sim function are given below. outcome $=\operatorname{sim}($ net, test $)$

Where:

- outcome is the outcome presented by the network
- net is the network created with newff
- test is the data that is being examined

In order to produce a binary outcome, the outcome variable is subjected to the round function, however firstly the confidence must be found. These outcome values can then be replaced with their respective values, for example 'play'. If the outcome does not correspond to one of the outcome values, then the value should be presented as 'inconclusive'.

The structure of the network that was used for comparison was found by trial and
error. This structure consists of six hidden neurons and two output neurons. It can be best described by figure 3.3.


Figure 3.3: Neural network used for comparison

## Chapter 4

## Results

### 4.1 Decision Tree Induction Implementation

### 4.1.1 Example Data

The training data being used to initially verify the decision tree algorithm and the neural network method is given in table 4.1. This trivial data set has four attributes and two possible outcomes. The outcome will either be to play golf (Play) or not to play golf (Don't Play). The attributes and their corresponding values follow, Outlook \{sunny\}, \{overcast\}, \{rain\}, Temperature - \{hot $\},\{$ mild $\},\{$ cool $\}$, Humidity - $\{$ high $\}$, \{normal\} and Wind - \{strong\}, \{weak\}.

The C4.5 decision tree given for this data set is shown in figure 4.1.

Another data set that will be examined is the vote data set. The vote set is included with the C4.5 distribution. It originates from voting records taken from the congressional quarterly almanac in the 98th congress (2nd session 1984, volume XL). The data describes the votes by each U.S. house of representatives congressmen on sixteen issues. Each vote has a value of yes, no or undecided. The possible outcomes for each voter is either a democrat or a republican congressman. The data consists of sixteen attributes (issues) each with three values. There are 300 records in the set. The set is known

| Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sunny | Hot | High | Weak | Don't Play |
| Sunny | Hot | High | Strong | Don't Play |
| Overcast | Hot | High | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | High | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Cool | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Cool | Normal | Strong | Don't Play |
| Overcast | Cool | Normal | Strong | Play |
| Sunny | Mild | High | Weak | Don't Play |
| Sunny | Cool | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Sunny | Mild | Normal | Strong | Play |
| Overcast | Mild | High | Strong | Play |
| Overcast | Hot | Normal | Weak | Play |
| Rain | Mild | High | Strong | Don't Play |

Table 4.1: To play, or not - golf data set


Figure 4.1: Decision tree for the data in table 4.1
to contain some contradictory records, however there are no missing records or values. The voting data can be used to determine which party a congressman may originate from by examining their voting trends over the sixteen issues. From these trends it may be inferred that the voting trends followed may be simillar for each particular member of a party. Some noise exists in the data in the form of conflicts of interest that may be realised by some congressmen. The issues were deemed key votes for this session by the congressional quarterly almanac. Clarification of the voting data may be found in appendix B.1.

### 4.1.2 Verification

The verification using the simple golf data set is also backed up by comparisons of the C4.5 output for each data set being examined.

The actual C4.5 output follows. From this the tree was interpreted and compared with the output found in golf.tree, created by matlab.

```
Decision Tree:
outlook = overcast: yes (3.0)
outlook = sunny:
| humidity = high: no (3.0)
| humidity = normal: yes (2.0)
outlook = rain:
| windy = strong: no (2.0)
| windy = weak: yes (2.0)
```

The rules for this data set can then be interpreted as:

1. If Outlook is Sunny and Humidity is High then Don't play
2. If Outlook is Sunny and Humidity is Low then Play
3. If Outlook is Overcast then Play
4. If Outlook is Rainy and Wind is Strong then Don't play
5. If Outlook is Rainy and Wind is Weak then Play

From these rules, verification of the neural network can be made. This will follow in the next section.
Listing 4.1.2 is the decision tree created by the matlab algorithm.

```
Tree_Level 1 branch 1
```

>> outlook
-> sunny
>>>>
-> overcast
yes
-> rain
>>>>
Tree_Level 2 branch 1
>> humidity
-> high
no
-> normal
yes
Tree_Level 2 branch 2
>> wind
-> weak
yes
-> strong
no

The parameters used to generate this tree were, precisionthreshold $=0$ and percenttotrain $=100$.

As there were no contradictions within the data the whole data was used as the training window. The verification for this example may therefore be considered trivial as the test data was used to train the algorithm, however the aim of this experiment is to identify the correctness of the tree versus the known correctness of the C 4.5 generated tree.

The decision tree generated by the matlab algorithm is the same as the C4.5 tree and therefore the rules must be the same.

The voting data set was analysed, two subsets of the data set were created for training, and the remaining records in each case were saved for testing purposes. In both cases $40 \%$ of the full data set was chosen as a window. $75 \%$ of this data was used for building the decision tree and $25 \%$ kept for verification. Such a small percentage of the data was used for training because of the existance of contraditions in the data. A smaller training portion realises less contradictions. The subsets were also written to files in order to use the same training set for building the C4.5 tree as well as for use with the neural network method. The tree obtained in matlab for the first case study is provided in appendix C. The graphical representation is shown in figure 4.2


Figure 4.2: Decision tree for a subset of the voting data created in matlab

The data does contain some contradictory data as can be seen from the C 4.5 output given in appendix C. The graphical representation is provided in figure 4.3. The superfund right to sue yes and undecided branches both have one record that doesn't support the outcome. C4.5 uses pruning techniques to reduce the size of the tree. This leads to the tree being cut off at the third level, rather than progressing into the fourth. The pruning confidence level can be set for C 4.5 to reduce this effect, however this is not recommended for larger trees. The yes vote in question has one record out of eight that does not support the outcome of republican, conversely the undecided vote has one contradiction out of two.


Figure 4.3: Decision tree for a subset of the voting data created using C4.5

It can be noticed from the two trees that the same rules can be generated although the matlab tree has more attributes to be examined. One way to simplify the tree would be to remove the fourth level and replace this with the most common outcome for the attribute. This leads to the trees being matched exactly.

C4.5 implements further pruning of the trees, however in this example the difference between the two trees is trivial as a tree with ten branches is sufficiently small for quick analysis. The options that were parsed to the C 4.5 program use the information gain method rather than the information gain ratio method. The matlab algorithm uses information gain. The C4.5 program also created ten trees, in order to create at least
one tree that was similar to the matlab generated tree. C 4.5 generates different trees depending on the window it chooses to begin with. From the matlab voting tree, the following rules can be manually extracted.

1. If Physician fee freeze is No then congressman is Democrat
2. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is No then outcome is Democrat
3. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Yes and Mx missile is No then outcome is Republican
4. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Yes and Mx missile is Yes then outcome is Democrat
5. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Yes and Mx missile is Undecided then outcome is Republican
6. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Undecided and Adoption of the budget resolution is No then outcome is Democrat
7. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Undecided and Adoption of the budget resolution is Yes then outcome is Republican
8. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is No and Superfund right to sue is Undecided and Adoption of the budget resolution is Undecided then outcome is Democrat
9. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is Yes then outcome is Republican
10. If Physician fee freeze is Yes and immigration is Undecided then outcome is Republican
11. If Physician fee freeze is Undecided and Handicapped infants is No then outcome is Democrat
12. If Physician fee freeze is Undecided and Handicapped infants is Yes then outcome is Democrat
13. If Physician fee freeze is Undecided and Handicapped infants is Undecided then outcome is Republican

In order to verify the rules, the test data is compared against the rules that have been generated. Thirty records will be examined as this equates to $25 \%$ of the subset chosen to build the tree.

The records are given in appendix B.2. The records have been grouped into subsets in order of the relevant attributes. These subsets are presented in table 4.2.

| Attributes | Values | Outcome | Actual Outcome | Instances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physician Fee Freeze | Undecided |  |  |  |
| Handicapped Infants | Undecided | Republican | Republican | 1 |
| Physician Fee Freeze | No | Democrat | Democrat | 21 |
| Physician Fee Freeze | Yes |  |  |  |
| Immigration | Yes | Republican | Republican | 6 |
| Physician Fee Freeze | Yes |  |  |  |
| Immigration | No |  |  | 2 |
| Mx Missile | No | Republican | Republican | 2 |

Table 4.2: Test results using the matlab algorithm

Analysing the test data it was found that $100 \%$ of the records complied to the rules that had been extracted. This is reinforced by the fact that the number of records in the test data was relatively small.

The second case study produced the two decision trees found in figure 4.4 and figure 4.5.

From the trees it can be seen that the pruning and windowing methods create a smaller tree although the rules will generally be the same. As before matlab creates a tree that complies to all rules found in the training set whereas C4.5 generalises the rules that are not commonly encountered.

The rules that can be interpreted from the second matlab tree are presented in table 4.3.


Figure 4.4: Decision tree for the second subset of the voting data created in matlab


Figure 4.5: Decision tree for the second subset of the voting data created using C4.5

| Attributes | Values | Outcome | Actual Outcome | Instances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physician Fee Freeze | No | Democrat | Democrat | 14 |
| Physician Fee Freeze | No | Democrat | Republican | 1 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Adoption of the Budget Resolution | Yes <br> No <br> No | Republican | Republican | 12 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Adoption of the Budget Resolution | Yes <br> No <br> Yes | Republican | Republican | 1 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Adoption of the Budget Resolution | Yes <br> No <br> Undecided | Democrat | Na | 0 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Handicapped Infants | Yes <br> Yes <br> No | Democrat | Democrat | 1 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Handicapped Infants | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes | Republican | Na | 0 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports <br> Handicapped Infants | Yes <br> Yes <br> Undecided | Democrat | Na | 0 |
| Physician Fee Freeze <br> Duty Free Exports | Yes <br> Undecided | Republican | Na | 0 |
| Physician Fee Freeze | Undecided | Democrat | Democrat | 1 |

Table 4.3: Count of correct test records against the rules generated from the second case study

Analysing the data presented in table 4.3 it can be seen that the tree gave one incorrect prediction concerning the test data. This equates to $96.7 \%$ correct predictions. Taking into account the first case study gave $100 \%$ correct and the second gave $96.7 \%$, the decision trees results are reliable on these moderate sized data sets. The data from these case studies will now be evaluated using the neural network method.

### 4.1.3 Neural Network Method

The initial verification of the neural network method in matlab was done using the golf data set presented in table 4.1. The network used was a backpropagation network using the tan-sigmoid transfer function. The training method is gradient descent with momentum. The network contains six neurouns in the hidden layer and two output neurons. The data structure for the network is in the binary form where each categorical value has its own bit. The bits are allocated as in the following set [sunny overcast rain hot mild cool high normal weak strong]. For example, the set \{sunny,hot,high,weak\} will be $\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 10\right.$. The outcome is in the same form with a neuron for each possible outcome, [Play Don't Play]. Therefore the example set given should result in the output $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]$, that is don't play.

Training a network correctly proves to be more effective using trial and error methods. Analysing $75 \%$ of the golf data, a network was found that had $100 \%$ correct outcomes for the training and test sets. However an incorrect outcome was given for one record that was not part of the test or training data.

The record was:
Outlook: rain, Temperature: mild, Humidity: normal and Wind: strong
This record gave an outcome of play when the decision tree method gives an outcome of don't play. The incorrect outcome for this record gave a percentage of precision for the neural network method of $87.5 \%$. This is out of only eight records and therefore carries little weight, however it is sufficient for verification of the method of data input. This record may be trivial as there are no actual records which contain the data, however using some intuition, any weather conditions that contain strong winds should lead to
a no play outcome when considering the game of golf.
The output of the script used, netgolf.m is provided in appendix D.1.

### 4.2 Method Comparison

### 4.2.1 Comparision Data

The data being used for comparison of the two methods is the voting data mentioned in section 4.1.1. The subset of the data being used has 120 records with 30 of these reserved for testing.

### 4.2.2 Neural Network Outputs

The comparison technique consists of building a decision tree using the algorithm developed in matlab and comparing the rules found with the outputs given by using the neural network toolbox. The two methods have been outlined in previous sections. A percentage of difference between the outcomes of the two methods was found.

The data shown in table 4.4 represents the outcomes and expected outcomes for five trained networks. The data consists of an outcome for each test record in each trial and a confidence for each of these records. Each trial consists of the same network (described later) with the only difference being the initial weights of the neurons. A value of confidence was introduced in order to rank the accuracy of each outcome that was found. The confidence is made up of the maximum outcome value minus the minimum outcome value in the outputs of the network. For example if a record has an outcome of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.1 & 0.9\end{array}\right]$ then a confidence of $0.9-0.1=0.8$. This value therefore represents the accuracy of each outcome that has been predicted.

All of the example networks had incorrect predictions. Network four was the only network that did not give an incorrect prediction for record 21. This suggests that while the decision tree method only concentrates on consecutive best attributes, the neural network method examines all of the data. There is most likely an attribute that
weighs more heavily on the outcome in the neural network that is not considered in the decision tree method. Network one had incorrect predictions for the records number six and twenty-one, while network three gave records thirty and twenty one incorrect predictions. Network trials two, four and five had only one incorrect prediction, and therefore are better trained networks. Of these networks, trial four presented the incorrect prediction with the lowest confidence, this suggests that it is the most correct network. The parameters used for training the networks were as below:

- Number of hidden neurons $=6$
- Number of output neurons $=2$
- net.trainParam.lr $=0.4$
- net.trainParam.mc $=0.3$
- net.trainParam.epochs $=500$

The training script netvote is provided in appendix E.2.1.

These parameters were chosen by trial and error. The number of hidden neurons was varied between three and twelve neurons, without much change being experienced. The momentum constant was varied from 0.1 to 0.9 without much improvement in any direction. The learning rate was also varied and it was found that the network converges quickly and directly at a value of 0.4 . The network seems to converge well with these values and with the number of epochs (total number of iterations before breaking) set at 500 .

| Trial | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five |  | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Outcome |
| 1 | 1.11 | rep | 1.22 | rep | 1.04 | rep | 1.21 | rep | 1.20 | rep | rep |
| 2 | 1.00 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 0.96 | dem | dem |
| 3 | 1.13 | rep | 1.03 | rep | 1.13 | rep | 1.12 | rep | 0.85 | rep | rep |
| 4 | 1.06 | rep | 1.21 | rep | 1.18 | rep | 0.93 | rep | 0.90 | rep | rep |
| 5 | 1.02 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |
| 6 | 0.21 | rep | 0.87 | dem | 0.58 | dem | 0.56 | rep | 0.30 | dem | dem |
| 7 | 1.12 | rep | 1.13 | rep | 1.02 | rep | 0.88 | rep | 1.12 | rep | rep |
| 8 | 1.04 | dem | 1.14 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | dem |
| 9 | 1.05 | dem | 1.10 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |
| 10 | 1.11 | dem | 1.07 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 0.96 | dem | dem |
| 11 | 1.02 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.02 | dem | dem |
| 12 | 1.13 | rep | 1.04 | rep | 1.14 | rep | 1.57 | rep | 1.04 | rep | rep |
| 13 | 1.02 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 1.06 | dem | dem |
| 14 | 0.99 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | dem |
| 15 | 1.02 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 16 | 0.98 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 0.42 | dem | dem |
| 17 | 1.03 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 0.98 | dem | dem |
| 18 | 0.99 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 0.98 | dem | dem |
| 19 | 0.90 | rep | 1.02 | rep | 0.61 | rep | 1.00 | rep | 0.86 | rep | rep |
| 20 | 0.88 | dem | 0.84 | dem | 0.89 | dem | 0.90 | dem | 0.77 | dem | dem |



| Trial | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five |  | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Outcome |
| 21 | 0.70 | dem | 0.79 | dem | 0.70 | dem | 1.27 | rep | 0.92 | dem | rep |
| 22 | 0.99 | rep | 1.01 | rep | 1.19 | rep | 0.95 | rep | 1.01 | rep | rep |
| 23 | 1.13 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.03 | dem | dem |
| 24 | 1.02 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.03 | dem | dem |
| 25 | 1.00 | dem | 1.09 | dem | 0.98 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 26 | 1.12 | rep | 1.09 | rep | 0.84 | rep | 1.24 | rep | 1.01 | rep | rep |
| 27 | 0.98 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 1.07 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.05 | dem | dem |
| 28 | 1.01 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 29 | 1.05 | dem | 1.23 | dem | 0.95 | dem | 0.98 | dem | 1.06 | dem | dem |
| 30 | 0.85 | dem | 1.12 | dem | 0.20 | rep | 0.25 | dem | 0.29 | dem | dem |

Table 4.5: The remaining data from table 4.4

The same parameters were used in the second case study in order for consistency. The results are presented below.

| Trial | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five |  | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Outcome |
| 1 | 1.03 | rep | 1.12 | rep | 1.06 | rep | 1.03 | rep | 1.02 | rep | rep |
| 2 | 1.04 | rep | 1.08 | rep | 0.95 | rep | 0.97 | rep | 1.05 | rep | rep |
| 3 | 0.95 | rep | 0.69 | rep | 0.85 | rep | 0.99 | rep | 0.87 | rep | rep |
| 4 | 1.00 | rep | 0.82 | rep | 1.06 | rep | 1.00 | rep | 1.06 | rep | rep |
| 5 | 1.02 | dem | 0.98 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 0.98 | dem | dem |
| 6 | 1.05 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.06 | dem | dem |
| 7 | 1.03 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 0.97 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 8 | 1.05 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.13 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |
| 9 | 1.04 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |
| 10 | 1.03 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 1.07 | dem | dem |
| 11 | 1.04 | rep | 1.09 | rep | 1.06 | rep | 0.99 | rep | 1.05 | rep | rep |
| 12 | 1.03 | rep | 1.06 | rep | 1.03 | rep | 0.97 | rep | 1.02 | rep | rep |
| 13 | 0.99 | dem | 0.97 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.11 | dem | 0.74 | dem | dem |
| 14 | 1.02 | rep | 1.62 | rep | 1.06 | rep | 0.82 | rep | 0.95 | rep | rep |
| 15 | 0.67 | rep | 0.69 | dem | 0.23 | rep | 0.37 | rep | 0.84 | dem | rep |
| 16 | 1.02 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 0.97 | dem | 1.04 | dem | dem |
| 17 | 0.01 | inconclusive | 0.53 | rep | 0.09 | inconclusive | 0.68 | rep | 1.88 | dem | dem |
| 18 | 0.98 | dem | 0.87 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 0.95 | dem | dem |
| 19 | 1.03 | dem | 1.04 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |
| 20 | 1.01 | dem | 0.97 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 0.98 | dem | dem |



| Trial | One |  | Two |  | Three |  | Four |  | Five |  | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Confidence | Outcome | Outcome |
| 21 | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 0.82 | dem | dem |
| 22 | 1.05 | dem | 0.98 | dem | 0.98 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.51 | dem | dem |
| 23 | 1.05 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.17 | dem | 1.10 | dem | dem |
| 24 | 1.03 | dem | 1.05 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.00 | dem | 0.97 | dem | dem |
| 25 | 1.01 | rep | 0.14 | rep | 0.97 | rep | 0.93 | rep | 0.75 | rep | dem |
| 26 | 1.04 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.14 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 27 | 0.29 | dem | 0.55 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 0.33 | dem | 0.67 | dem | dem |
| 28 | 1.03 | dem | 0.96 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 1.01 | dem | 1.03 | dem | dem |
| 29 | 1.02 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.02 | dem | 0.99 | dem | 1.00 | dem | dem |
| 30 | 1.04 | dem | 1.06 | dem | 1.03 | dem | 0.97 | dem | 1.01 | dem | dem |

Table 4.7: The remaining data from table 4.6

Note that an outcome of inconclusive was applied to any record that did not comply to the $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ or $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ outcome as discussed in section 3.4.1. Networks one and three both gave inconclusive results for record seventeen. This record was only correctly predicted in network trial number five. Record fifteen also produced mixed results with only networks one, three and four giving the correct prediction of republican. The networks number two and five would both be good choices for correctness. Network number five had incorrect predictions for only two records, and number two had three incorrect records. The second network trial did produce lower confidences for the incorrect records than the fifth trial. This suggests that while trial five had less wrong preditions in the test set, trial two was more correct than trial five in the incorrect predictions. With a broader test set, the second trial network would probably exceed, however for comparision of the methods, network five will be used as it produced better results for the 'control' test set.

The neural network method reveals that it is possible to build a non rule based method in order to predict outcomes with some certainty. This method is more flexible than the decision tree method and the results are comparitively less novel than those of the decision tree method. The results given by the networks method should be examined while taking a value such as the confidence presented here into account. The networks method can produce results that are deemed inconclusive whereas the rule based decision tree method will always result in an outcome. The correctness of such a prediction should however be subject to some scrutiny.

The Decision tree for both case studies have been presented previously, and the predictions for the testing data that have been used in the neural network method have been determined. These are the basis for comparison.

The comparison results for three methods of analysis of the two case studies are provided in table 4.8. An incorrect prediction is denoted by N and correct by Y .

Examining table 4.8 it appears that both decision tree methods are producing similar results even though the decision trees do not completely match. The neural network does provide incorrect preditions, however the training methods have some weighting on this result. In the second case study, record number fifteen produced an incorrect result with each method. This leads to the possibility that that particular record was not

|  | Case study one |  | Case study two |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record | C4.5 | Matlab | Neural Net | C 4.5 | Matlab | Neural Net |
| 1 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 2 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 3 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 4 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 5 | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y |
| 6 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 7 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 8 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 9 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 10 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 11 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 12 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 13 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 14 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 15 | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |
| 16 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 17 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 18 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 19 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 20 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 21 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 22 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 23 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 24 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 25 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N |
| 26 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 27 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| 28 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| $200 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |  |
|  | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  |  | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |

Table 4.8:
represented fully in the training data set, and is therefore not a fault of either method. The correctness of both methods therefore seems similar in both case studies. While the neural network method does produce some incorrect predictions, the percentage incorrect is only slightly greater than that of the decision tree method. This may not be the case however when a larger test set is employed.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusions and Further Work

### 5.1 Discussion

### 5.1.1 Matlab vs C4.5

The advantages of using matlab coding for decision tree induction are prevelant in the simplicity of the code. Whilst C4.5 does come to conclude a decision tree more rapidly, the matlab coding is both smaller and and provides simpler interpretation. C4.5 has many more features included in the coding, such as multiple windowing methods, the use of information gain ratio and the tree consulting modules. The consulting modules determine an outcome from a set of user selected values. This module is extremely valuable for large trees as the tree does not need to be seen by the user.
C4.5 and its predecessors are implemented via command line, while using the matlab coding an graphical environment can easily be adapted to create a 'shell' for the algorithm. The matlab algorithm is adapted to receive the data directly from microsoft excel, which along with the matlab web server, shows potential for further adaption of the algorithm for remote capabilities.

The tree that is output by C4.5 is more intelligible than the matlab tree, however with some post processing methods, this property could be changed to superceed the C4.5 trees. This would be done using matlab's vast graphical capabilities. From the data structure of the .set file it would be possible to create a consulting algorithm using
indexing and searching to determine the outcome from some given data without consulting the tree itself.

The matlab algorithm does not contain methods of handling continuous data. In order to address this issue, a script was written to categorize continouous data into high, medium and low ranges. The script preprocesses the data using the mean and proportions of the standard deviation to partition the data. This method is effective if the data takes a binomial distribution, however the results would be less correct if the data took another distribution form. The methods employed in C4.5 are far more effective as the discretization is done as the tree is being built and the partitions that are found are the best split on that particular attribute. This method creates the best split on the attribute values as well as the best split on the attributes.

### 5.1.2 Tree comparision

The results presented here show that the matlab algorithm is capable of generating trees that are similar if not the same as the C 4.5 trees. Some problems were encountered when building the trees for comparison. Several windows were chosen in order to build a tree that did not have leaves that weren't complete with an outcome or that created an error due to contradictory data. The results presented here were found by trial an error in order to find subsets of the vote data that had full conclusive records.

Once the suitable subsets were found, the method of building a C4.5 tree included using large windows and the information gain method. This method minimised the ability for error. Several trees built on different windows of the data were needed in order to find a matching tree. This is due to the need to find the combination of records that were deemed critical by the matlab algorithm. Once these were found a tree that was similar was found. The tree may have been longer however the majority of outcomes that could be generated by analysis of the two trees would be simillar.

The difference between the two trees can be explained by the fact that the decision tree induction method itself is considered 'greedy'. This means that the best split is always used first. The initial attribuite is chosen as the best split, however when only windows are being considered by the C 4.5 algorithm, the starting attribute differs with each window. Once all records have been used by the C 4.5 method, the rest of the training
data is then examined in order to find exceptions to the tree. This property means that attributes may be in the same branch as the matlab tree, however its location in the tree may vary. This accounts for most of the differences found in the trees.
Other differences can be explained by the choice of initial attribute and the inclusion of contradictory data. Contradictory data can be dealt with by using pruning methods as the tree is built. C4.5's windowing methods use this type of pruning to build trees. The initial tree is built on a subset of the window, and after this the rules that are generated are compared with the unused portion of the window, with any contraditions that prove to be more correct being adapted into the tree. This is an effective method of adapting to contraditions in the data.

### 5.1.3 Neural networks

The neural networks method requires some modification of the data structure in order to employ an effective analysis of the data. This modification includes transforming the data into a binary form that represents each attribute as a bit. This method creates a clear distinction between attribute values, thereby removing the ability to have values that are not clearly represented.

The binary method does create some confusion with the network outputs because these may be seen to be any combination of numbers if the training of the network is not entirely correct. The output is very rarely exactly [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ or $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]$, therefore a confidence value was determined in order to simply display a term that would represent the correctness of the outcome. With this value being employed, it was acceptable to use rounding methods in order to determine which outcome is actually predicted.

When using particular training and test sets, it was experienced that some of the test records would produce values such as $[-1.020 .26]$ which do not correspond to any known outcome. This output represents the fact that the training set did not contain any similar records and the record being tested may have even been contradictory to most of the training data. In this case an inconclusive result was presented for the record. The parameters sent to the neural network toolbox created varying results, with convergence rarely being experienced. This is most likely due to the size of the training set and the fact that the data has been found as a good set for decision tree induction.

It has been found that neural networks respond better to training sets that contain a proportion of noise. These training sets remove the possibility of the network being restricted to predicting only records that have been in the training set and incorrectly predicting records that it has not seen before. This property can explain the manner of predictions that are completely different to any of the desired outcomes.

### 5.1.4 Method Comparison

When considering categorical data the most powerful method seems to be the decision tree induction methods because the 'greediness' of the algorithms brings the program to a conclusion much quicker than the networks method. Although the decision tree methods are very dependant on the training set containing suitable data records, the networks methods can actually adapt to the data and still create a set of useful weights. The network method is reliant on the training data being broad enough to cover most of the examples that are experienced in test/working data set. As a side-note, the matlab network toolbox contains an adaptive tool that can be used to update the network with new data when required. This tool re-adjusts the weights of the network. Such a tool boasts superiority over the decision tree method as a new tree must be built in order to accomodate changing data.

The trees create an easier to understand method of recording the results of the induction whereas the networks method is given the record to be examined and no other knowledge is required. In some instances an understanding of the relations that occur within the data is required. For example, when examining medical data, it may be possible to predict when a disease is prevalent with the networks method, however it is much more useful to examine the decision tree in order to determine the causation of the disease. The neural network method requires some preprocessing of the data in order to create a data structure with which it can operate. This data structure incorporates an expansion of the amount of data required to represent the attributes. For example an attribute with three values would be represented by three binary objects rather than one single string variable.

The neural network method requires a large amount of consideration when training the network. For example the number of iterations to train the weights for, the number
of hidden neurons required and the constants (momentum and learning rate) must be considered. Decision tree induction does not require these considerations, however this provides less control over the learning process. In the case of the matlab decision tree algorithm, the outcome of each record must be a binary variable, for example a positive or a negative outcome. The neural network method can be used to predict any number of possible outcomes, in order to create such a network, the number of output neurons should be increased respective of the number of possible outcomes required. This adaptability of the method is extremely useful.

The results provided by both methods are acceptable in relation to performance. The training and test sets used for both methods provided suitable data to compare the two methods. The high percentage of correct predictions within the test sets illustrates each method is capable of providing useful results. In the present form the networks method provides a more accurate portrait of the predictions by giving the confidence value, however with some modification, a similar method to that used by C4.5 could be integrated into the algorithm. This method would include recording the information gain for each decision and providing the number of records that support over the number of records that do not support the decision for each leaf of the tree.

### 5.1.5 Further Work

In order to continue this study, the following areas are worth due attention:

- Pre/Post pruning

The resulting decision trees could be created with much more speed using prepruning. Post pruning can help reduce the size of the final tree by 'pruning' branches at relevant points in the tree.

- Information feedback

The final tree should include information on the percentage of contradictory data and pruning points should feedback the percentage of values that opposed the pruning.

- Contradictory data handling

A method such as C4.5's windowing method could be adopted in order to lessen
the effects of contradictory data. The greater percentage of supporting values would be chosen as the best split.

- Continuous data handling

A more correct 'on the fly' method of analysing continuous data should be adopted. Such as regression tree methods or using the information gain ratio to find the best continuous split points.

- Graphical user interfaces

Matlabs graphical interfaces are a powerful method in increasing the user freindliness of such an algorithm. Selection of the excel spreadsheets files could be simplified using dialog boxes. All options to parse to the algorithm could be selected via check boxes and input dialogs.

- Graphical tree representation

The final decision tree could be represented as a visual tree within a matlab figure dialog thereby heightening the understanding of the programs outputs.

- Toolbox bundling

The final algorithm would be best bundled into toolbox and distributed within a self installing file.

### 5.1.6 Implications of the study

This study provided an algorithm for decision tree analysis within the matlab coding environment. The output of the decision tree was found to be quite similar to that of the output given by the neural network toolbox that has been implemented for matlab. An analysis of the effectiveness of the two methods within the matlab language was conducted. The analysis showed that both implementations are quite effective when analysing categorical data although the neural network method requires more user input in order to produce good results.

### 5.2 Conclusion

Both the neural network and the decision tree induction methods have their merits. The neural network method is more adaptable, which makes it useful for many situations. The decision tree method is straightforward and the results are extremely simple to interpret. Each method provides an avenue for prediction of outcomes based on sections of data. In each case, the selection of training data is imperative for the success of the final decisions.

The decision tree induction method lends itself well to implementation within higher level programming languages such as found in the matlab environment. The environment provides many tools in which the implementation becomes a simpler process. The data input methods provided within matlab create an implementation method which reduces the amount of data preparation required.

When considering categorical data, the matlab implementation of the decision tree induction method provides an equivalent tree to that found by the C 4.5 implementation when no pruning of the tree is involved. Some further implementation is required for the use of this method in prediction outcomes for continuous data sets. Some preprocessing of the data is required in order to remove the possibility of contradictory data. Data mining provides a very useful avenue for examining large amounts of data without succumbing to the high cost of processing complete data sets. The neural network method is a capable data mining method that is highly adaptable. The adapability of this method is not overshadowed by the higher numbers of incorrect predictions found in this study. Better results may be found using the matlab decision tree method. This study resulted in the full implementation of an algorithm to handle categorical data only. Preliminal implementation of the continuous data handling has been completed however in this respect, the neural networks method's results would most likely be more relevant than any obtained using the decision tree algorithm in its current state. The case studies evaluated here have little relevance to the current political arena, however they do serve their purpose as a platform for analysis of the two methods.
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Appendix A

## Project Specification

# ENG 4111/4112 Research Project PROJECT SPECIFICATION 

FOR: Rodney Joseph Woolf

TOPIC: Data Mining Using MATLAB
SUPERVISOR: DR. Sai-Cheong Fok
PROJECT AIM: To enhance and extend the data-mining facilities within MATLAB using the included toolboxes as well as developing further data-mining tools.
PROGRAMME: Issue A: 22, March 2004

1. Research various data mining techniques and understand the logic behind the various forms of data analysis and manipulation.
2. Investigate frameworks encompassing the inbuilt and developed modules.
3. Implement and verify selected algorithms and techniques in matlab code.
4. Implement the various modules using clear case studies to evaluate the effectivness of the system.
5. Develop an user friendly graphical interface for the extended facilities.

As time permits:
6. Develop a help system for the tools.
7. Test the system on various advanced applications for effectiveness.
8. Encompass the tools within an distributable toolbox.

## AGREED:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ (Supervisor)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Appendix B

## Used Data Sets

## B. 1 Vote data clarification

```
I
| Voting records drawn from the Congressional Quarterly Almanac, 98th
| Congress, 2nd session 1984, Volume XL: Congressional Quarterly Inc.
| Washington, D.C., 1985.
I
| This data set includes votes for each of the U.S. House of
| Representatives Congressmen on the 16 key votes identified by the
| CQA. The CQA lists nine different types of votes: voted for, paired
I for, and announced for (these three simplified to yea), voted
| against, paired against, and announced against (these three
| simplified to nay), voted present, voted present to avoid conflict
| of interest, and did not vote or otherwise make a position known
| (these three simplified to an unknown disposition).
|
| Jeff Schlimmer, 23 April 1987.
\|
democrat,republican | classes
handicapped infants: \(n, y, u\)
```

```
water project cost sharing: n, y, u
adoption of the budget resolution: n, y, u
physician fee freeze: n, y, u
el salvador aid: n, y, u
religious groups in schools: n, y, u
anti satellite test ban: n, y, u
aid to nicaraguan contras: n, y, u
mx missile: n, y, u
immigration: n, y, u
synfuels corporation cutback: n, y, u
education spending: n, y, u
superfund right to sue: n, y, u
crime: n, y, u
duty free exports: n, y, u
export administration act south africa: n, y, u
```


## B. 2 Test Data

B.2.1 Test records for case study one

| Record | Att 1 | Att 2 | Att 3 | Att 4 | Att 5 | Att 6 | Att 7 | Att 8 | Att 9 | Att 10 | Att 11 | Att 12 | Att 13 | Att 14 | Att 15 | Att 16 | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | u | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 5 | y | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 9 | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | y | u | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 10 | n | y | y | n | y | y | n | y | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 11 | n | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | u | n | y | y | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 13 | n | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | n | n | y | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 14 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 18 | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 24 | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | y | n | n | n | n | u | dem |
| 28 | y | u | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 23 | y | y | y | n | y | y | n | y | n | n | y | n | y | n | y | y | dem |
| 8 | n | n | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | y | n | y | y | u | dem |
| 15 | y | n | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 16 | n | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | u | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 17 | y | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 20 | n | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 25 | u | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | u | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 27 | y | y | y | n | u | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 6 | u | u | u | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | y | n | y | y | dem |



| Atrribute reference | Actual attributes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Att 1 | Handicapped infants |
| Att 2 | Water project cost sharing |
| Att 3 | Adoption of the budget resolution |
| Att 4 | Physician fee freeze |
| Att 5 | El salvador aid |
| Att 6 | Religious groups in schools |
| Att 7 | Anti satellite test ban |
| Att 8 | Aid to nicaraguan contras |
| Att 9 | Mx missile |
| Att 10 | Immigration |
| Att 11 | Synfuels corporation cutback |
| Att 12 | Education spending |
| Att 13 | Superfund right to sue |
| Att 14 | Crime |
| Att 15 | Duty free exports |
| Att 16 | Export administration act south africa |

Table B.3: Reference labels for voting attributes
B.2.2 Test records for case study two

| Record | Att 1 | Att 2 | Att 3 | Att 4 | Att 5 | Att 6 | Att 7 | Att 8 | Att 9 | Att 10 | Att 11 | Att 12 | Att 13 | Att 14 | Att 15 | Att 16 | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | y | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | dem |
| 6 | n | n | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 7 | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 8 | n | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | u | n | y | y | dem |
| 9 | n | y | y | n | n | n | n | y | y | n | y | n | n | y | y | y | dem |
| 10 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | y | n | y | y | dem |
| 13 | y | y | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | y | dem |
| 16 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | u | y | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 17 | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | y | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | dem |
| 18 | n | n | y | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | y | n | n | y | dem |
| 19 | y | n | y | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 20 | y | y | y | n | n | y | u | y | n | n | y | y | n | y | n | u | dem |
| 21 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | n | y | y | n | n | n | n | u | dem |
| 22 | n | u | y | n | u | u | y | y | y | y | u | u | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 23 | n | u | y | n | n | y | n | y | n | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 24 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | y | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 25 | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | y | dem |
| 26 | n | u | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 27 | n | u | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | n | u | dem |
| 28 | n | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | n | u | u | dem |


| Record | Att 1 | Att 2 | Att 3 | Att 4 | Att 5 | Att 6 | Att 7 | Att 8 | Att 9 | Att 10 | Att 11 | Att 12 | Att 13 | Att 14 | Att 15 | Att 16 | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | dem |
| 30 | y | n | y | n | n | n | y | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | y | u | dem |
| 1 | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | n | y | y | y | n | u | rep |
| 2 | n | y | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | rep |
| 3 | n | y | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | n | y | rep |
| 4 | n | u | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | u | rep |
| 11 | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | y | n | y | y | y | n | n | rep |
| 12 | n | y | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | y | rep |
| 14 | n | y | n | y | y | y | u | u | n | y | n | y | u | u | u | u | rep |
| 15 | n | n | n | n | y | y | y | n | n | n | n | u | n | y | y | y | rep |

Table B.5: Remaining test data for second vote case study

| Atrribute reference | Actual attributes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Att 1 | Handicapped infants |
| Att 2 | Water project cost sharing |
| Att 3 | Adoption of the budget resolution |
| Att 4 | Physician fee freeze |
| Att 5 | El salvador aid |
| Att 6 | Religious groups in schools |
| Att 7 | Anti satellite test ban |
| Att 8 | Aid to nicaraguan contras |
| Att 9 | Mx missile |
| Att 10 | Immigration |
| Att 11 | Synfuels corporation cutback |
| Att 12 | Education spending |
| Att 13 | Superfund right to sue |
| Att 14 | Crime |
| Att 15 | Duty free exports |
| Att 16 | Export administration act south africa |

Table B.6: Reference labels for voting attributes

## B. 3 Training Data

## B.3.1 Training data for case study one

$1, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, d e m$
$2, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$
$3, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$
$4, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, u, d e m$
$5, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, u, r e p$
$6, n, n, y, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, r e p$
$7, n, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$8, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{dem}$
$9, n, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$10, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$11, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$12, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$
$13, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$14, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, u, y, n, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$
$15, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$16, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$17, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$18, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$19, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$20, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$
$21, n, y, y, n, n, u, y, y, y, y, y, n, u, y, y, y, d e m$
$22, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$23, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$24, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$
$25, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$26, y, y, n, n, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, u, d e m$
$27, y, n, y, n, n, y, n, y, u, y, y, y, u, n, n, y, d e m$
$28, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$29, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, y, y, n, n, y, n, y, d e m$
$30, y, n, n, y, y, n, y, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, r e p$
$31, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p ~$
$32, n, y, n, y, y, y, u, u, n, y, n, y, u, u, u, u, r e p$
$33, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, y, n, r e p$ $34, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, u, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $35, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, r e p$ $36, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $37, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $38, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, u, r e p$ $39, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $40, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $41, y, y, n, n, y, u, n, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, n, y, d e m$ $42, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$, rep $43, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $44, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $45, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $46, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $47, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$ $48, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $49, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, y, n, y, y, d e m$ $50, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, d e m$ $51, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $52, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $53, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $54, y, u, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $55, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $56, y, y, y, n, y, y, n, y, u, y, n, n, y, y, n, u, d e m$ $57, n, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $58, n, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, y, y, d e m$ $59, y, y, u, u, u, y, n, n, n, n, y, n, y, n, n, y, d e m$ $60, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, u, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $61, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, u, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, u, r e p$ $62, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $63, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}$ $64, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p ~$ $65, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, y, y, n, n, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $66, n, u, y, n, y, y, n, y, n, n, y, n, n, n, n, u, d e m$ $67, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$ $68, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$, rep $69, n, u, y, n, u, u, y, y, y, y, u, u, n, n, y, y, d e m ~$
$70, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$71, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, u, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$
$72, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$73, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$74, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$
$75, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$76, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$
$77, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$78, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$
$79, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$80, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, y, n, y, n, u, d e m$
$81, n, y, y, y, y, y, y, u, n, n, n, n, u, u, y, u, r e p$
$82, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$
$83, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, \operatorname{dem}$
$84, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, u, r e p ~$
$85, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \operatorname{dem}$
$86, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, n, y, r e p$
$87, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$, rep
$88, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, n, y, d e m$
$89, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$
$90, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$

Note: The columns are arranged in the same order as that of the test data

## B.3.2 Training data for case study two

$1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{dem}$
$2, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}$
$3, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$
$4, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$
$5, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$
$6, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $\begin{aligned} & 7, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep} \\ & 8, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep} \\ & 9, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep} \\ & 10, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{dem}\end{aligned}$
$11, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $12, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, n, y, n, y, r e p$ $13, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$ $14, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $15, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $16, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$ $17, n, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $18, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $19, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $20, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, u, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $21, n, y, n, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $22, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $23, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, u, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $24, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $25, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $26, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, u, r e p$ $27, n, u, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, d e m$ $28, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $29, n, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, n, y, u, y, d e m$ $30, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, u, n, y, r e p$ $31, y, y, u, u, u, y, n, n, n, n, y, n, y, n, n, y, d e m$ $32, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$ $33, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}$, rep $34, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $35, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$, rep $36, y, u, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, u, y, u, u, r e p$ $37, n, y, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, u, n, n, n, n, y, d e m$ $38, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, y, n, y, y, y, y, d e m$ $39, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$ $40, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$ $41, n, y, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, d e m$ $42, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $43, n, y, y, u, y, y, n, y, n, y, u, n, y, y, u, y, d e m$ $44, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $45, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $46, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $47, n, u, y, n, n, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, n, y, u, d e m$
$48, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \operatorname{dem}$ $49, n, y, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $50, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $51, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $52, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{rep}$ $53, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, y, n, y, n, u, d e m$ $54, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $55, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $56, y, n, y, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, n, n, y, d e m$ $57, y, n, n, y, y, n, y, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, r e p$ $58, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, u, n, u, r e p$ $59, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $60, y, u, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, y, y, d e m$ $61, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $62, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}$, rep $63, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$ $64, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}$ $65, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u}, \operatorname{dem}$ $66, n, u, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $67, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, y, n, y, y, y, n, y, r e p$ $68, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}$ $69, y, y, u, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, y, u, y, y, n, n, d e m$ $70, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, n, u, y, y, y, d e m$ $71, n, y, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, n, n, r e p$ $72, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $73, n, n, y, y, y, y, y, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, r e p$ $74, y, y, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, n, n, n, u, u, y, y, d e m$ $75, y, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, u, n, y, y, d e m$ $76, y, n, y, n, y, y, n, n, n, n, n, n, n, n, n, y, d e m$ $77, n, n, n, y, y, n, y, n, y, y, n, n, n, y, n, y, r e p$ $78, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep}$ $79, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $80, n, n, y, y, y, y, n, n, y, n, n, n, y, y, y, u, d e m$ $81, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $82, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}$ $83, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$ $84, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 85, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem} \\
& 86, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem} \\
& 87, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{dem} \\
& 88, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{rep} \\
& 89, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{rep}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The columns are aligned in the same order as in the test data

## Appendix C

## Tree Outputs

Decision tree for the first case study of the voting data, found using Matlab

```
Tree Level 1 branch 1
>> physician fee freeze
-> n
    dem
-> y
    >>>>
-> u
    >>>>
Tree Level 2 branch 1
>> immigration
-> n
    >>>>
-> y
    rep
-> u
    rep
```

```
Tree Level 3 branch 1
>> superfund right to sue
    -> n
        dem
    -> y
    >>>>
    -> u
        >>>>
```

Tree Level 4 branch 1
>> mx missile
-> $n$
rep
-> $y$
dem
-> u
rep
Tree Level 4 branch 2
>> adoption of the budget resolution
-> $n$
dem
-> $y$
rep
-> u
dem

Tree Level 2 branch 2
>> handicapped infants

```
-> n
    dem
-> y
    dem
-> u
    rep
```

Decision tree for the first case study, built by C4.5

```
Decision Tree:
physician fee freeze = n: dem (13.0)
physician fee freeze = y:
| immigration = y: rep (13.0)
| immigration = u: rep (0.0)
| immigration = n:
| | superfund right to sue = n: dem (1.0)
| | superfund right to sue = y: rep (8.0/1.0)
| | superfund right to sue = u: dem (2.0/1.0)
physician fee freeze = u:
| handicapped infants = n: dem (1.0)
| handicapped infants = y: dem (2.0)
| handicapped infants = u: rep (2.0)
```

Matlab generated decision tree for the second case study of the voting data

```
Tree_Level 1 branch 1
```

```
>> physician fee freeze
```

-> $n$
dem
-> y
>>>>
-> u
dem

```
Tree_Level 2 branch 1
>> duty free exports
-> n
    >>>>
-> y
    >>>>
-> u
    rep
    Tree_Level 3 branch 1
>> adoption of the budget resolution
-> n
    rep
-> y
    rep
-> u
    dem
```

    Tree_Level 3 branch 2
    >> handicapped infants
-> $n$
dem
-> y
rep
-> u
dem

C4.5 decision tree for second voting case study

Decision Tree:

```
physician fee freeze = n: dem (14.0/1.0)
physician fee freeze = u: dem (3.0)
physician fee freeze = y:
| duty free exports = y: dem (3.0/1.0)
| duty free exports = u: rep (2.0)
| duty free exports = n:
| | adoption of the budget resolution = n: rep (8.0)
| | adoption of the budget resolution = y: rep (2.0)
| | adoption of the budget resolution = u: dem (1.0)
```


## Appendix D

## Neural Network outputs

## D. 1 Golf script and outputs

```
test data =
11000001101000
00100010000110
00011100010001
11100000000010
00010001011101
000011110100000
11110001000101
00001110111010
10111001110010
01000110001101
```

test outcomes $=$

00111010111110
11000101000001
\% Testing the network \% \% Decision Tree via ID3 \% Outlook \% —— Overcast -i Play \% - Sunny - \% - Humidity \% ——-High -i Don't Play \% - -Normal -i Play \% - Rain - \% - Wind \% --Strong -i Don't Play \% -Weak -i Play \% \% Input data is: $\%$ Sunny, Hot, High, Weak. $\%=$ Dont'Play echo off
outcome $=$

Dont Play
\% Overcast, Mild, High, Weak.
$\%=$ Play
echo off
outcome $=$

Play
\% Rain, Cool, High, Weak
$\%=$ Play
echo off
outcome $=$

Play
\% Rain, Mild, Normal, Strong
$\%=$ Don't Play
echo off
outcome $=$

Play

\% Sunny, Hot, Normal, Strong

$\%$ = Play
echo off
outcome $=$

Play
\% Overcast, Mild, Normal, Weak
\% = Play
echo off
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

1
outcome $=$

Dont Play
outcome $=$

Dont Play
record $=$

2
outcome $=$

Dont Play
outcome $=$

Dont Play
record $=$

3
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

4
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

5
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

6
outcome $=$

Dont Play
outcome $=$

Dont Play
record $=$

7
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

8
outcome $=$

Dont Play
outcome $=$

Dont Play
record $=$

9
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

10
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

11
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

12
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

13
outcome $=$

Play
outcome $=$

Play
record $=$

14
outcome $=$

Dont Play
outcome $=$

Dont Play

## Appendix E

## Program Listings

## E. 1 C4.5 algorithm

## E.1.1 start.m

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \%file_name='golf'; } \\
& \text { \%pos_outcome='yes'; } \\
& \text { \%neg_outcome='no'; }
\end{aligned}
$$

file_name='vote_used_2';
pos_outcome='dem';
neg_outcome='rep';
\% file_name='votewind';
\% pos_outcome='democrat';
\% neg_outcome='republican';
\% file_name='mush';
\% pos_outcome='e';
\% neg_outcome=' ${ }^{\prime}$ ';
\% file_name='mush';
\% pos_outcome='e';
\% neg_outcome=' ${ }^{\prime}$ ';
buildtree(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,75,0);

## E.1.2 buildtree.m

function [] = buildtree(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,percent_to_train,precision_threshold)
\% \% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\% \% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\% \% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
$\% \%$ $\qquad$
\% \%
\% \% —————————————
\% \%-- Decision Tree Algorithm --\%
\% \%- Based on Quinlans C4.5 and ID3 -\%
\% \%-Written by Rodney Woolf 2004 -\%
\% \% ————————————
\% \% $\qquad$
\% \%
\% \% Limitations
\% \% * This algorithm does not handle data which is contradictory.
\% \% A solution to this problem is to use a training window small enough to exclude
\% \% all contradictory terms, this may require several runs in order to randomly choose
\% \% such a set.
$\% \%$ * This algorithm as yet is not suitable for continuous data.
\% \% In order to overcome this problem, a seperate discretisation algorithm is provided.
\% \% $\qquad$
\% \%
\% \% How to call this function
\% \% buildtree(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome)
\% \% eg buildtree('golf','yes','no')
\% \% $\qquad$
\% \%
\% \% Basic steps of the algorithm
\% \%
\% \%
\% \% Create a root node for the tree
\% \%
\% \% if all leaves of the tree are positive, return a positive identifier ( + )
$\% \% \quad$ if all leaves of the tree are negative, return a negative identifier (-)

```
% %
% % else
% %
% % for each attribute value, find the next best value for information gain
% % then use this value to recursively find the next best attribute value
% % until all valuess lead to a result (ie 100% positive or negative)
% %
% % then return the information in an understandable tree form.
% %
% %
```

\% \%
\% \% Input/Output Files:
\% \%
\% \% Input files:
\% \% Filename.xls
\% \% Filename.types.xls 50
\% \%
\% \% Output Files:
\% \% Filename.tree - The decision tree - explanation given later
\% \% Filename.set - Listing of the sets and subsets used in building the tree
\% \% Filename_train.dat - Portion of data used to build the tree
\% \% Filename_test.dat - Portion of the data left for testing purposes
\% \%
\% \% Input Variables:
\% \%
\% \% file_name $=$ The prefix of the data files, eg file_name $=$ 'golf', for golf.xls and golf.types.xls
60
$\% \%$ pos_outcome $=$ The outcome that will be considered a positive outcome, eg pos_outcome $=$ 'yes'
\% \% if the outcomes are binary ie $1 / 0$ then pos_outcome $=1$
\% \% neg_outcome $=$ The negative outcome, as above
\% \% precision_threshold $=$ The threshold for deciding what proportion of the data will be considered
$\%$ \% $100 \%$ one attribute value. ie the information gain should be less than this for an
$\% \% \quad$ attribute to be chosen as the best attribute
\% \% percent_to_train $=$ The percentage of the data that will be used to build the tree
\% \%
\% \%
$\qquad$
\% \% Tree structure
\% \%
\% \% An example of the decision tree file golf.tree is given below.
\% \%
\% \% Tree_Level 1 branch 1
\% \%
\% \% >> outlook
\% \% -> sunny
$\% \% \quad \ggg>$
$\% \%->$ overcast
\% \% yes
\% \%
$\% \% \rightarrow$ rain
\% \% $\quad \ggg>$
$\% \%$
\% \% Tree_Level 2 branch 1
\% \%
\% \% >> humidity
$\% \% \rightarrow$ high
$\% \quad \% \quad$ no
\% \%
\% \% $\rightarrow$ normal
\% \% yes
\% \%
$\% \%$
\% \% Tree_Level 2 branch 2
\% \%
\% \% >> wind
$\% \% \rightarrow$ weak
\% \% yes
\% \%
$\% \%->$ strong
$\% \% \quad$ no
\% \%
$\% \%$
\% \%
\% \% Interpreting the trees:
$\% \% *$ An attribute is identified by ' $\gg$ '
\% \% and its values by '->'
$\% \% *$ If an outcome is present for this attribute value, it will be seen
\% \% below the value, otherwise a '>>>>' is present.
$\% \% * A \ggg>$ ' means that the tree moves on to another branch/level
$\% \% *$ The Tree_Level is given as the tree progresses, and a branch number is given.
\% \%
\% \% * The root attribute will be the first attribute.
\% \% The next attribute corresponds to the topmost branch in the root attribute
\% \% eg For the attribute Outlook, the value sunny has no outcome, this is branch 1 therefore
$\% \%$ the next level of the tree will begin with the attribute humidity.
\% \% The value overcast has an outcome of yes, therefore no branch is required.
\% \% Obviously rain branches to the attribute wind.
\% \%
\% \% * The tree will therefore be:
\% \%
Outlook
\% \%
/ |
$\% \% \quad / \quad \mid$
\% \% Sunny / | \Rain
$\% \% \quad|\quad|$
\% \% / |Overcast $\backslash$
$\% \% \quad 1 \quad 1$
$\%$ Humidity Yes Wind 130
$\% \% 111$
\% \% High / \Normal Weak / \Strong
\% \% / $\quad$ /
\% \% No Yes Yes No
\% \%
\% \% $\qquad$
\% \%
\% \% Program/Function listing
\% \% buildtree.m
\% \% id3.m
\% \% getdata.m
\% \% gettypes.m
\% \% getvalues.m
\% \% choose_set.m
\% \% create_ref_point.m
\% \% build_subsets.m
\% \% find_entropy.m
\% \% find_entropy_numerical.m
\% \% save_data.m
\% \% remove_missing_data.m
\% \% write_set.m
\% \% remove_attributes.m
\% \%
\% \%

```
set(0, 'RecursionLimit', 500)
%% Set the recursion limit for debugging purposes
%% ----------
%% Initialise Variables
examples_start={};
store_k =[];
tree_level=0;
target_attribute=[];
record_target=[];
examples_index=[];
%% -----------।
\%\% Set a precision threshold for the information gain default:0
echo
\% Loading data, Please wait ...
echo
tic
\(a=\) getdata(file_name);
\%\% Call function to input training and test data from an XLS worksheet
toc
store_a=a;
index \(=\) randperm(length(store_a) \()\);
\(\% \%\) select random indexes for the order of the attribute values
train_window =round(percent_to_train / \(100 *\) length(store_a));
\(\% \%\) Set the percentage of the initial set in order to build the
\%\% training and test sets
\(\mathrm{a}=\) store_a(index(1:train_window), \(:\) );
\%\% Select the training set
train_data \(=\) a;
\%\% Record training set for output to file
```

```
test_data = store_a(index(train_window+1:length(store_a)),:);
echo
% Loading attributes and values ...
echo
tic
attributes_start=gettypes(file_name)'; \(\quad \% \%\) Extract the attributes to be examined
attribute_values_start=getvalues(file_name);
\%\% Extract the attribute values to be examined
toc
\(\mathrm{a} 1=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{a}) ;\)
\(\mathrm{b} 1=\mathrm{a} 1(1) ;\)
\(\mathrm{c} 1=\mathrm{a} 1(2) ;\)\(\quad\) \%\% Find dimensions of the data
\(\mathrm{a}=\operatorname{sortrows}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c} 1) ; \quad \% \%\) Sort the data according to the outcome
outcome_array \(=\mathrm{a}(:, \mathrm{c} 1)\); \(\quad \% \%\) Save the outcomes as a seperate var
for \(\mathrm{i}=1: \mathrm{c} 1-1\)
examples_start \(=[\) examples_start \(\mathrm{a}(:, \mathrm{i})] ; \quad\) \%\% Save the examples as a seperate var
end
\(\% \% /\)-——————————
\(\%\) Build a binary outcome set 220
\%\% Replace a positive outcome with 1
\%\% Replace a negative outcome with 0
\(\% \%\)
if iscellstr(outcome_array) \(==1\)
\%\% Test for string outcomes, otherwise
for \(i=1: b 1\);
if strcmp(outcome_array(i),pos_outcome) \(==0 ; \quad \% \%\) assume binary type data
\[
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{i})=0
\]
elseif strcmp(outcome_array(i),neg_outcome) \(==0\);
\[
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{i})=1
\]
end
end
outcomes_start=y';
else outcomes_start=outcome_array;
end
\(\% \%--\) - ———————/
\(\% \% /-\ldots-\ldots-\ldots\)
\(\% \%\) Initialise other var's 240
examples_now=examples_start;
outcomes_now=outcomes_start;
attributes_orig=attributes_start;
attributes_now \(=\) attributes_start;
examples_orig=examples_start;
examples_locations=[b1 c1]';
last_examples=examples_start;
first_run=1;
outcomes_orig \(=\) outcomes_start;
\(\% \%\) - —————————/
```

%%
%% Initialise file for output
file_ext='.tree';
output_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
file_ext='.set';
examples_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
file_id=fopen(output_file,'wt ');
set_file_id=fopen(examples_file, 'wt ' );
%% ----------/
if iscellstr(attribute_values_start)
%% Find data type 1 for string, 0 for numerical
data_type=1;
else
270
data_type=0;
end

```
```

save_data(file_name,test_data,data_type,1);
clear test_data train_data;
%% Replace missing attribute values with the most
%% common value for that attribute
280
echo
% Removing missing data
echo
[examples_start] = remove_missing_data(examples_start,outcomes_start,attribute_values_start);
echo
% Starting to build tree, Please wait ...
echo
tic
$\% \% /$
\%\% Call the recursive tree building
$\% \%$ algorithm $\rightarrow i d 3()$
[attributes, target_attribute, examples,outcomes,record_target,store_k] = id3(pos_outcome,neg_outcome, attribute_values_start,target_attribute, examples_start,outcomes_start,attributes_start,examples_orig, examples_index,examples_locations,first_run,outcomes_orig,record_target,precision_threshold,examples_now, outcomes_now,file_id,data_type,set_file_id,store_k,attributes_now,last_examples,tree_level); 300
$\% \%-$-————————/
status=fclose('all');
\%\% Close files now that writing is finished
toc

```

\section*{E.1.3 getdata.m}
```

function [a]=getdata(file_name)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%

```
```

%%
%% This function grabs the exdample data and outcome data
%% from file_name.xls
%% Called by buildtree.m
file_ext='.xls';
input_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
input_file=char(input_file)
[aa a]=xlsread(input_file);
if isempty(a)
a= aa;
end

```

\section*{E.1. 4 gettypes.m}
```

function [b]=gettypes(file_name)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% This function grabs the attributes from file_name.types.xls
%% Called by buildtree.m
%%
file_ext='.types.xls';
input_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
input_file=char(input_file);
[aa file] = xlsread(input_file);
k=length(file);
for i= 1:k
b(i) = file(i);
end

```

\section*{E.1.5 getvalues.m}

\footnotetext{
function \([\mathrm{c}]=\) getvalues(file_name)
}
```

%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% This function grabs the attribute values from file_name.types.xls
%% Called by buildtree.m
%%
file_ext='.types.xls';
input_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
input_file=char(input_file);
[aa file]=xlsread(input_file);
k=size(file);
l=k(2);
k=k(1);
if isempty(aa)
for i=1:k
for j=2:1
if iscellstr(file(i,j))==0
c(i,j-1)={'void'};
else
c(i,j-1)= file(i,j);
end
end
end
else
for i=1:k
for j=2:1
if isreal(aa(i,j-1))==0
c(i,j-1)=[12345];
else
c(i,j-1) = aa(i,j-1);
end
end
end
end

```

\section*{E.1.6 save_data.m}
```

function []=save_data(file_name,test_data,data_type,flag)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% This function is used to output the test and training sets to
%% their respective files
%% Called by buildtree.m
%%
file_ext='.dat';
if flag == 1
file_name=strcat(file_name,'_test');
elseif flag == 0
file_name=strcat(file_name,'_train');
end
file_name=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
file_name=char(file_name);
file_id=fopen(file_name, 'wt ');
size_test_data=size(test_data);
for j=1:size_test_data(1)
for i=1:size_test_data(2)
if data_type==1
write_object=char(test_data(j,i));
fprintf(file_id,'%10s',write_object');
end
if data_type==0
write_object=test_data(j,i);
fprintf(file_id,'%10d',write_object');
end
end
fprintf(file_id,'\n');
end
status=fclose(file_id);

```

\section*{E.1.7 id3.m}
```

function [attributes, target_attribute, examples,outcomes,record_target,store_k] = id3(pos_outcome,neg_outcome,
attribute_values,target_attribute, examples,outcomes,attributes,examples_orig,examples_index,examples_locations,
first_run,outcomes_orig,record_target,precision_threshold,examples_now,outcomes_now,file_id,data_type,set_file_id,
store_k,attributes_now,last_examples,tree_level)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% ID3.m
\%\% The main recursive algorithm called by buildtree.m and id3.m
%% This function chooses the best attribute via entropy, if the
%% attribute has outcomes for each value then the algorithm exits.
%% Otherwise the algorithm builds subsets that contain each of
%% this attributes values and returns these subsets to itself
%% recursively.
%%
%% An example of how to call this function is found in buildtree.m,
%% however buildtree.m will format the data correctly for use with
%% id3.m.
%% It is not suggested call id3.m directly
%%
%% ----- Initialisation
——————
minent_record=[];
first_attrib=1;
branch}={'branch'}; % branch identifier in tree
no_outcome={' No outcome - Most probably conflict in data '};
attribute_values_now=attribute_values;
outcomes_now=outcomes;
30
examples_now=examples;

```
\(\% \%\)
\% return if all outcomes are the same
tree_level=tree_level +1 ;
\%\% increment the tree level in order to aid determination of the tree in file_name.tree
if first_run \(==1\)
examples_locations \(=[\) length \((\) examples \()+1] ; \% \%\) Set the initial index of the set
length_index \(=\) length(examples_locations);
else
examples_locations=find(outcomes_now==10); \%\% Set indexes for each subset of examples length_index \(=\) length(examples_locations \()-1 ;\)
end
for \(\mathrm{k}=1\) :length_index \(\% \%\) for each set find the best split
if isempty(examples_locations) \%\% exit if no data present
errordlg('examples_locations empty'); fclose('all') return
end
if isempty(examples_now)
errordlg('no more examples'); fclose('all') return
end
if first_run \({ }^{\sim}=1\)
\%\% Remove the last used subset examples_now =examples_now(examples_locations(1)+1:length(examples_now),:); outcomes_now=outcomes_now \((\) examples_locations(1)+1:length(outcomes_now) \()\); examples_locations=find(outcomes_now==10);
end
\%\% Create a node for referencing
\%\% ————— Discrete attributes
if data_type==1 corresponding_values \(=\{\) 'node' \(\} ;\)
end
\% \% \(\qquad\) Numerical attributes
```

if data_type $==0$
corresponding_values $=[12345]$;
end

```
this_index \(=\) find \((\operatorname{strcmp}(\) target_attribute,attributes_now \()==0)\);
\%\% Find the index to remove the attributes that have been used in the tree
if first_run \(\sim=1\)
attributes_now=attributes_now(this_index);
examples_now=examples_now(:,this_index); \%\% Remove the examples that are the used attribute values end
if isempty(examples) \%\% Exit if no data present 90
return
end
\%\% ————— Choose the current set for the attribute value being examined \(\qquad\)
[examples,outcomes,attributes] = choose_set(examples,examples_now,examples_locations,attributes,outcomes, outcomes_now,first_run,k,target_attribute,first_attrib,this_index);
\(\% \%\) ————— Output the decision tree and current data set to their respective files —————— write_set(examples,outcomes,set_file_id,data_type);

\% return if attributes array is empty
if isempty (attributes) \(==1\)
no_outcome=char(no_outcome);
\%\% If there are no more attributes left to build the tree output an error and exit fprintf(file_id,' \(\%\) s',no_outcome);
return
end
\%\% \(\qquad\) Find the entropy for each value and attribute in the current set
```

%%

```
\(\qquad\)
``` Discrete attributes
if data_type \(==1\)
[minent,entrop,corresponding_values,entropi] = find_entropy(examples,attribute_values,outcomes, 120 corresponding_values);
end
\%\% —————— Numerical attributes
if data_type \(==0\)
[minent,entrop,corresponding_values,entropi] = find_entropy_numerical(examples,attribute_values,outcomes, corresponding_values);
end
```

```
%% Find the best attribute from attributes
current_attribute=attributes(minent);
target_attribute=attributes(minent);
```

\%\% Add next reference point
if data_type==1
node_index=find(strcmp(corresponding_values, ' node' $)$ );
end
if data_type $==0$
node_index=find(corresponding_values==12345);
end
fprintf(file_id,' Tree_Level \%d branch \%d $\backslash \mathrm{n} \backslash \mathrm{n}$ ',tree_level,k);
\%\% Output the current attribute to the data file
write_attribute $=$ char(current_attribute);
fprintf(file_id, ' >> \%s \n', write_attribute);

## \%\%

$\qquad$ Build the next lot of subsets that correspond to the current value

150
[line_end] $=$ create_ref_point(data_type,examples); \%\% Create correctly dimensioned reference point
\%\% Build subsets for each value of the best attribute
[examples,outcomes] = build_subsets(examples,outcomes,branch,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,minent,node_index, corresponding_values,entrop,line_end,precision_threshold,file_id,data_type,tree_level);
$\% \%$ Record the used attributes for removal
minent_record $=[$ minent_record minent $]$
record_target $=[$ record_target target_attribute $] ;$
\%\% Remove the used attributes
[attributes,attribute_values] = remove_attributes(minent_record,attributes,attributes_now,attribute_values);
attributes_low=attributes(1:minent_record-1);
attributes_high=attributes(minent_record+1:length(attributes));
attributes_this_run=[attributes_low; attributes_high];

## \%\% Reset flag

first_attrib $=0$;
first_run=first_run +1 ;

## \%\% Recurr the algorithm in order to build the tree

[attributes, target_attribute, examples,outcomes,record_target,store_k] = id3(pos_outcome,neg_outcome, attribute_values,target_attribute,examples,outcomes,attributes_this_run,examples_orig,examples_index, examples_locations,first_run,outcomes_orig,record_target,precision_threshold,examples_now,outcomes_now, file_id,data_type,set_file_id,store_k,attributes_now,last_examples,tree_level);
end

## E.1.8 choose_set.m

function [examples,outcomes,attributes] = choose_set(examples,examples_now,examples_locations,attributes,outcomes, outcomes_now,first_run,k,target_attribute,first_attrib,this_index)
\%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\%\% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
$\% \%$
$\% \%$
\%\% This function chooses the set for which the best attribute will
\%\% be found in this instance
\%\% If it is the first run of the algorithm, the entire set is chosen
\%\% Otherwise the first set in the examples set is chosen
\%\% ie up to the first 'eor' index
if first_run==1
examples=examples_now;

```
    outcomes=outcomes_now;
    if isempty(target_attribute)}==
        examples=examples(:,this_index);
    end
else
    examples=examples_now(1:examples_locations(1)-1,:);
    20
    outcomes=outcomes_now(1:examples_locations(1)-1);
end
```


## E.1.9 write_set.m

```
function [] = write_set(examples,outcomes,set_file_id,data_type)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% This function is used to output the subsets to a file
%% for verification purposes
%% Called by buildtree.m
```

size_examples=size(examples);
for $\mathrm{j}=1$ :size_examples(1)
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ :size_examples $(2)$
if data_type==1
write_object=char(examples(j,i));
fprintf(set_file_id, ' $\%$ 10s',write_object') ;
end
if data_type $==0$
write_object=examples(j,i);
fprintf(set_file_id, ' $\%$ 10d', write_object') ;
end
end
write_object=outcomes(j);
fprintf(set_file_id, ' $\%$ 2d', write_object') ;
fprintf(set_file_id,' $\backslash n$ ');
end

```
fprintf(set_file_id,'\n' );
```


## E.1.10 find_entropy.m

```
function [minent,entrop,corresponding_values,entropi] = find_entropy(examples,attribute_values,outcomes,
```

corresponding_values)
\%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\%\% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
$\% \%$
$\% \%$
$\mathrm{a}=\operatorname{size}($ examples); $\%$ determine size of data
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}(1) ; \%$ number of records
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}(2) ; \%$ number of attributes
$\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{size}($ attribute_values);
inst $=[]$;
pr $=[]$;
index $6=1$;

```
for i=1:d(1)
    for j=1:d(2)
        if strcmp(attribute_values(i,j),'void')==0
            if i <= a(2)
            corresponding_values = [corresponding_values attribute_values (i,j)];
            [inst_i, inst_j, inst_k] = find(strcmp(attribute_values(i,j),examples(:,i))==1);
            if isempty(inst_i)
                    prob = 2;
                    inst = [inst 1];
            else
                    inst=[inst sum(strcmp(attribute_values(i,j),examples(:,i))==1)];
                    prob=length(find(outcomes(inst_i)==1));
                        end
            pr=[pr prob];
            end
    end
```

```
    end
    corresponding_values = [corresponding_values {'node'}];
end
```

\% determine probability
index1 $=$ find(inst $==0$ );
inst (index1) $=1 \mathrm{e}-12$;
probpos $=$ pr./inst;
probneg $=1-$ probpos;
\% if 0 values are present, replace them with $1 e-12$ as $\log (0)$ results in overflow
index1 $=$ find $($ probpos $==0)$;
index2 $=$ find $($ probneg $==0)$;
$\operatorname{probpos}($ index 1$)=1 \mathrm{e}-12$;
probneg(index 2$)=1 \mathrm{e}-12$;
phi $=(-$ probpos.$* \log 2($ probpos $))-($ probneg.$* \log 2($ probneg $)) ;$
entrop $=1 / \mathrm{b} *$ (inst. $*$ phi $)$;
\% fix the replacing that occurred above
index $3=$ find (entrop $<1 \mathrm{e}-10$ );
entrop(index3) $=0$;
entropi=[];
for $\mathrm{i}=1: \mathrm{d}(1)$
if $\mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{a}(2)$
index $5=\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{strcmp}\left(\right.\right.$ attribute_values $(\mathrm{i},:)$, ' $^{\text {void' }}$ ) $==0$ );
entropi $=[$ entropi sum(entrop(index6:index5+index6-1))];
index6=index6+index5;
end
end

minent $=\min ($ entropi $) ;$

```
minent = find(minent==entropi})
% select first occurence if more than one exists
if isempty(minent)==1
    errordlg('Could not calculate entropy values','Error')
    return
end
if length(minent)~}=
    minent=minent(1);
end
```


## E.1.11 find_entropy_numerical.m

```
function [minent,entrop,corresponding_values,entropi] = find_entropy_numerical(examples,attribute_values,outcomes,
```

corresponding_values)
\%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\%\% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
$\% \%$
$\% \%$
$\mathrm{a}=$ size(examples); $\%$ determine size of data
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}(1)$; \% number of records
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}(2) ; \%$ number of attributes
$\mathrm{d}=$ size(attribute_values);
inst $=[]$;
pr $=[]$;
index $6=1$;
for $\mathrm{i}=1: \mathrm{d}(1)$
for $j=1: d(2)$
if isnan(attribute_values $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}))==0$
if $\mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{a}(2)$
corresponding_values $=[$ corresponding_values attribute_values $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})] ;$

```
                        [inst_i, inst_j, inst_k] = find(attribute_values(i,j)===examples(:,i));
                        inst=[inst sum(attribute_values(i,j)==examples(:,i))];
                prob=length(find(outcomes(inst_i)==1));
                pr=[pr prob];
                    end
        end
    end
    corresponding_values = [corresponding_values 12345];
end
% determine probability
index1 = find(inst==0);
inst(index1)=1e-12;
probpos = pr./inst;
probneg = 1-probpos;
% if 0 values are present, replace them with 1e-12 as log(0) results in overflow
index1 = find(probpos==0);
index2 = find(probneg==0);
probpos(index 1 ) =1e-12;
probneg(index2)=1e-12;
phi =(-probpos.*log2(probpos))}-(\mathrm{ probneg.*log2(probneg)})
entrop = 1/b*(inst. *phi);
% fix the replacing that occurred above
index3=find(entrop<1e-10);
entrop(index3)=0;
entropi=[];
for i = 1:d(1)
    if i <= a(2)
    index5 = sum(isnan(attribute_values(i,:)));
    entropi}=[\operatorname{entropi sum(entrop(index6:index5+index6-1))];
        index6=index6+index5;
```

```
    end
end
```



```
minent = min(entropi);
minent = find(minent==entropi})
% select first occurence if more than one exists
if isempty(minent)==1
    errordlg('Could not calculate entropy values','Error')
    return
end
if length(minent) }~=
    minent=minent(1);
end
```


## E.1.12 build_subsets.m

function [examples,outcomes] = build_subsets(examples,outcomes,branch,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,minent, node_index,corresponding_values,entrop,line_end,precision_threshold,file_id,data_type,tree_level)
\%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\%\% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
$\% \%$
\% \%
\%\% This function builds subsets of the data set examples.
\%\% The sets are also recorded in the file file_name.set
\%\% Called by id3.m
\%\%
if minent $==1$
if length(node_index) $>1$
number_values $=$ node_index $(\operatorname{minent}+1)-1 ;$
else
number_values $=1$;

```
end
else
    number_values=node_index(minent+1) -node_index(minent);
end
entropy_now=[];
for i=1:number_values-1 %% build the subsets
    entropy_now = entrop(node_index(minent)+i-minent);
    if data_type==1
        write_object=char(corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i));
        fprintf(file_id,'> %s \n',write_object);
    end
    if data_type==0
        write_object=corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i);
        fprintf(file_id,'>> %d \n',write_object);
    end
    if entropy_now <= precision_threshold
        if data_type==1
        outcome_index=find(strcmp(corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i),examples(:,minent))==1);
    end
        if data_type==0
            outcome_index=find(corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i)===examples(:,minent));
        end
        if isempty(outcome_index)==0
            outcome_index=outcome_index(1);
            if outcomes(outcome_index)==1
                write_object= char(pos_outcome);
                fprintf(file_id,' %s',write_object);
            end
            if outcomes(outcome_index)==0
            write_object=char(neg_outcome);
            fprintf(file_id,' %s',write_object);
            end
            fprintf(file_id,'\n\n');
            else
```

```
        most_common = find(outcomes == 1);
                if (length(outcomes)/2)<=(length(most_common))
                    write_object=char(pos_outcome);
        else
                        write_object=char(neg_outcome);
            end
                        fprintf(file_id,' %s',write_object);
                fprintf(file_id,'\n\n');
    end
    else
    %% Create subsets containing the current attribute value and the unused attribute values
    if data_type==1
            [rmove_x rmove_y] = find(strcmp(corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i),examples(:,minent))==1);
    end
    if data_type==0
            [rmove_x rmove_y] = find((corresponding_values(node_index(minent)+i))==examples(:,minent));
    end
    remove_rows = rmove_x;
    examples=[examples; line_end];
    examples=[examples; examples(remove_rows,:)];
    outcomes=[outcomes; 10];
    outcomes=[outcomes; outcomes(remove_rows,:)];
    fprintf(file_id,' >>>> \n');
    end
end
fprintf(file_id,'\n');
examples=[examples; line_end];
outcomes=[outcomes; 10];
```


## E.1.13 remove_attributes.m

function [attributes,attribute_values] = remove_attributes(minent_record,attributes,attributes_now,attribute_values)
\%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
\%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
\%\% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
\%\%
$\% \%$
\%\% This function removes the attribute_values that have been used in this branch

```
%% Called by id3.m
%%
for j = 1:length(minent_record)
    m=size(attribute_values);
    m=m(1);
    index=[];
    for i=1:m
        if i~}=m\mathrm{ minent_record
            index=[index i];
        end
    end
```

    attribute_values=attribute_values(index,:);
    end

## E.1.14 discretize.m

```
function [categories]=discretize(thiscolumn,percentdeviation)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% Simple discreization algorithm
categories={};
percentdeviation=percentdeviation/100;
if percentdeviation >=1
    error('value must be a percentage (0-100)');
end
if percentdeviation <=0
    error('value must be a percentage (0-100)');
end
%% find the mean of the data
themean = mean(thiscolumn);
diffs=abs(thiscolumn-themean);
%% find the value in the data that is closest to the mean
index=find(min(diffs));
```

```
index=index(1);
threshmid=thiscolumn(index);
%% find the standard deviation of the data
deviation=std(thiscolumn);
%% determine the high threshold
diffs=abs(thiscolumn }-((\mathrm{ deviation*percentdeviation ) + themean )})
index = min(diffs);
index=find(diffs===index);
index=index(1);
threshhigh=thiscolumn(index)
%% determine the low threshold
diffs=abs(thiscolumn - ((deviation/percentdeviation) - themean)})
index=min(diffs);
index=find(diffs===index);
index=index(1);
threshlow=thiscolumn(index)
%% create a new categorical array with the high, moderate and low values
for i=1:length(thiscolumn);
    if thiscolumn(i)>=threshhigh
        categories(i)={'high'};
    elseif thiscolumn(i)>threshlow
        if thiscolumn(i)<threshhigh
                categories(i)={'moderate'};
        end
    elseif thiscolumn(i)<=threshlow
        categories(i)={'low'};
    else
        categories(i)={'?'};
    end
end
```


## E.1.15 remove_missing_data.m

[^0]```
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
%% This function is used to replace missing data within the
%% set with the most common value for that attribute
%% Called by buildtree.m
%%
echo
% Removing missing values, Please wait ...
echo
[missing_data_x missing_data_y]= find(strcmp(examples, ' '''));
size_attribute_values = size(attribute_values);
if isempty(missing_data_x)}==
    for i=1:length(missing_data_x)
            most_common_value=[];
            most_common_value_i=[];
            j=missing_data_y(i);
            for k=1:size_attribute_values(2)
            most_common_value=[most_common_value sum(strcmp(attribute_values(j,k),examples))];
                    for l=1:size_attribute_values(2)
                most_common_value_i=[most_common_value_i k];
                    end
            end
        index=find(most_common_value===max(most_common_value)})
            examples(missing_data_x(i),missing_data_y(i))=attribute_values(j,most_common_value_i(index));
        end
end
```


## E. 2 Neural Networks scripts

## E.2.1 netgolf.m

```
percent_to_train=75;
sunny=[llll
overcast=[\begin{array}{lll}{0}&{1}&{0}\end{array}];
rain=[[\begin{array}{lll}{0}&{1}\end{array}];
hot=[\begin{array}{lll}{1}&{0}&{0}\end{array}];
mild=[[\begin{array}{lll}{0}&{1}&{0}\end{array}];
cool=[[001}001]
high=[1 0];
normal=[[01];
weak=[\begin{array}{ll}{1}&{0}\end{array}];
strong=[01];
no=[01];
yes=[10}0]
p=[
sunny,hot,high,weak;
sunny,hot,high,strong;
overcast,hot,high,weak;
rain,mild,high,weak;
rain,cool,normal,weak;
rain,cool,normal,strong;
overcast,cool,normal,strong;
sunny,mild,high,weak;
sunny,cool,normal,weak;
rain,mild,normal,weak;
sunny,mild,normal,strong;
overcast,mild,high,strong;
overcast,hot,normal,weak;
rain,mild,high,strong;]';
\% outlook \(=\) [sunny sunny overcast rain rain rain overcast sunny sunny rain sunny overcast overcast rain ]'
\%
\(\%\) temperature \(=[\) hot hot hot mild cool cool cool mild cool mild mild mild hot mild ]'
\%
\% humidity \(=[\) high high high high normal normal normal high normal normal normal high normal high ]'
```

%
% wind = [weak strong weak weak weak strong strong weak weak weak strong strong weak strong ]'
play = [no; no; yes; yes; yes; no; yes; no; yes; yes; yes; yes; yes; no ];
index=randperm(length(play));
t=play ';
a=round(percent_to_train/100*length(t));
test_data=p(:,a:length(p));
test_outcomes=t(:,a:length(p));
p=p(:,1:a)
t=t(:,1:a)
test_data=p
test_outcomes=t
net=newff(minmax(p),[6,2],{'tansig','purelin'},'traingdm');
net.trainParam.show = 1000;
net.trainParam.lr = 0.4;
net.trainParam.mc = 0.5;
net.trainParam.epochs = 400;
net.trainParam.goal = 1e-5;
[net,tr]=train(net,p,t);
echo on
% Testing the network
%
% Decision Tree via ID3
% Outlook
% |- Overcast -> Play
% |-Sunny|
% | Humidity
% | |-High }->\mathrm{ D Don't Play }7
%| |-Normal -> Play
% |-Rain |
% | Wind
% |-Strong }->>\mathrm{ Don't Play
% |-Weak > Play
%

```
```

% Input data is:

```
% Input data is:
echo off
echo on
    80
    % Overcast, Mild, High, Weak.
% = Play
echo off
test = [overcast mild high weak]';
outcome=sim(net,test);
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome==[[1 0] '
        outcome='Play';
elseif outcome===[\begin{array}{ll}{0}&{1}\end{array}\mp@subsup{]}{}{\prime}
        outcome='Dont Play';
end
end
outcome
```

echo on
\% Rain, Cool, High, Weak
$\%=$ Play
echo off
test $=[$ rain cool high weak]';
outcome $=\operatorname{sim}($ net,test $)$;
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Dont Play';
end
outcome

```
% Rain, Mild, Normal, Strong
```

% Rain, Mild, Normal, Strong
% = Don't Play
% = Don't Play
echo off
echo off
test = [rain mild normal strong]';
test = [rain mild normal strong]';
outcome=sim(net,test);
outcome=sim(net,test);
outcome=round(outcome);
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome==[[1 0] '
if outcome==[[1 0] '
outcome='Play';
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome==[[$$
\begin{array}{ll}{0}&{1}\end{array}
$$]
elseif outcome==[[$$
\begin{array}{ll}{0}&{1}\end{array}
$$]
outcome='Dont Play';
outcome='Dont Play';
end
end
outcome

```
outcome
```

echo on
\% Sunny, Hot, Normal, Strong
$\%=$ Play
echo off
test $=[$ sunny hot normal strong $]$ ';
outcome $=\operatorname{sim}($ net,test $)$;
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Dont Play';
end
outcome
echo on
\% Overcast, Mild, Normal, Weak
$\%=$ Play
echo off
test $=[$ overcast mild normal weak]' ;
outcome $=\operatorname{sim}($ net,test $)$;
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome $==\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$
outcome='Dont Play';
end
outcome
for i = 1:length(test_outcomes)
record = i
test = test_data(:,i);
outcome=sim(net,test);
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome==[1 0] '
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome==[[01}0\mathrm{ 1'
outcome='Dont Play';
end
outcome
outcome=test_outcomes(:,i); 170
if outcome==[10] '
outcome='Play';
elseif outcome==[[0}1]
outcome='Dont Play';
end
outcome

```
end

\section*{E.2.2 netvote.m}
```

%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
file_name='vote_used_2_train';
pos_outcome={'dem'};
neg_outcome={'rep'};
% file_name='vote_used_train';

```
[a, values, outcomes]=netprepare(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome);
\(\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\);
t=outcomes' ;
net=newff(minmax(p),[3,2],{'tansig','purelin'},'traingdm');
net.trainParam.show = 1000;
net.trainParam.lr = 0.4;
net.trainParam.mc = 0.3;
net.trainParam.epochs = 500;
net.trainParam.goal = 1e-5;
[net,tr]= train(net,p,t);
file_name='vote_used_2_test';
% file_name='vote_used_test';
```

$[\mathrm{b}$, values, outcomes $]=$ netprepare(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome); test_index=length(b);
testnet(b,net,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,file_name,test_index)

## E.2.3 netprepare.m

```
function [c, values, d]=netprepare(file_name,pos_outcome,neg_outcome)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
a=getdata(file_name);
b=getvalues(file_name);
c=[];
d=[];
c_now=[];
values={};
m=size(b);
```

```
n=m(2);
```

n=m(2);
m=m(1);
m=m(1);
m2=size(a);
m2=size(a);
n2=m2(2);
n2=m2(2);
m2=m2(1);
m2=m2(1);
% build a binary set for neural network training
% build a binary set for neural network training
for i = 1:m
for i = 1:m
for j=1:n
for j=1:n
if strcmp('void',b(i,j))==0
if strcmp('void',b(i,j))==0
values =[values (b(i,j))];
values =[values (b(i,j))];
x=find(strcmp (b(i,j),a(:,i))==1);
x=find(strcmp (b(i,j),a(:,i))==1);
c_now=[];
c_now=[];
for k=1:m2
if isempty(find(k==x))
c_now=[c_now; 0];
else
c_now = [c_now; 1];
end
end
c=[c c_now];
end
end
end
outcomes=a(:,n2);
for i=1:m2
if strcmp(outcomes(i),pos_outcome)==1
d=[d; 1 0];
else
d=[d;0 1];
end
end

```

\section*{E.2.4 testnet.m}
```

function []=testnet(b,net,pos_outcome,neg_outcome,file_name,test_index)
%% Written by R Woolf, q10222583
%% University of Southern Queensland, Australia
%% Accompanying ID3 algorithm
%%
%%
file_ext='.testnet';
output_file=strcat(file_name,file_ext);
output_file=char(output_file);
file_id=fopen(output_file,'wt ');
index=size(b);
for i=1:index(1)
test = b(i,:);
test = test';
outcome=sim(net,test);
confidence = (max(outcome)})-(\operatorname{min}(\mathrm{ outcome )})
outcome=round(outcome);
if outcome==[$$
\begin{array}{lll}{1}&{0}\end{array}
$$]
outcome=pos_outcome;
elseif outcome==[[01]'
outcome=neg_outcome;
else
outcome=char('inconclusive');
end
outcome = char(outcome);
fprintf(file_id, '%3d, ', i);
fprintf(file_id, '%3d, ' ,confidence);
fprintf(file_id,'%s \n',outcome);
end
status $=$ fclose('all');


[^0]:    function [examples]= remove_missing_data(examples,outcomes,attribute_values)
    \%\% Written by $R$ Woolf, q10222583
    \%\% University of Southern Queensland, Australia

